

HISTORY OPTIONAL NOTES

PART – I

NAME- AMARTYA BANERJEE

NB-I. (PROVIDING A BASIC INSIGHT INTO THE WHOLE SYLLABUS)

NB-II- NEEDS TO SUPPLEMENTED BY PRIMARY SOURCES- I.E- BOOKS

➤ **MESOLITHIC PAINTINGS-**

MESOLITHIC PAINTINGS-

a) KHARWAR

b) JAORA

c) KATHOTIA

- APART FROM THAT – BHIMBETKA

- 1ST ROCK PAINTINGS IN INDIA & THE WORLD- DISCOVERED, 1867-68- KAIMUR HILLS, MIRZAPUR DISTT, UP
- DISCOVERED BY- ACL CARLYLE, WHO WAS WITH THE ASI

➤ SOURCES 600-300 BCE (MAHAJANAPADAS)- LITERARY & ARCHAEOLOGICAL

- GRIHASUTRAS- APASTAMBA, BAUDHAYANA
- APASTAMBA- NORTH INDIA MAINLY BUT GLIMPSE OF SOUTH AS WELL
- DYANSTIC HISTORY- PURANAS, JAIN & BUDDHIST SOURCES
- FOREIGN- HISTORY OF ALEXANDER'S INVASION- QUINTUS CURTIUS, ARRIAN, JUSTIN
- ARCHAEOLOGICAL- NORTHERN BLACK POLISHED WARE (NBPW)
- INCLUDE- PUNCH MARKED COINS- BEGINNIG OF MINEY ECONOMY IN SUBCONTINENT

➤ MEGALITHIC ECONOMY-

- DETAILED ANALYSIS OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL, BOTANICAL & ZOOLOGICAL MATERIALS YIELDED MUCH DATA

I. AGRICULTURAL

- SCHOLARS- ER HUNT, NR BANERJEE- OPINE- MEGALITHIC PEOPLE WERE BEGINNERS OF TANK BASED AGRIL SYSTEM IN SOUTH
- CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE- MEGALITHS ARE INVARIABLY ON SLOPES OF HILLS, ELEVATED GROUND - NOT SUITABLE FOR AGRIL
- HOWEVER- MANY WERE FOUND- FORESTS – HENCE CANNOT BE SAID FOR SURE
- RICE, WHEAT, HORSE GRAM- GROWN

II. PASTORALISM-

- REMAINS OF CATTLE, SHEEP, BUFFALO

III. HUNTING/ FISHING

- AUGMENTED FOOD SUPPLY- IMPLEMENTS SUCH AS JAVELINS, ARROWHEADS ETC
- FISHING HOOKS TOO

IV. TECHNOLOGY-

- A) METALS- MANY SITES CPUKD HAVE BEEN PRODUCTION SITES OF METALS SICH AS IRON, COPPER

- B) WOODCRAFT- AXES , CHISELS, USED FOR WORKING ON WOOD
- C) POTTERY- BLACK & RED WARE, GREY WARE
- D) BEAD MAKING

V. CONCLUSION-

- MEGALITHIC PEOPLE – PRACTICED- SPECIALISED AGRO- PASTORALIST ECONOMY

➤ TRADE & EXCHANGE NETWORK OF MEGALITHIC PERIOD-

- YIELDED NON LOCAL ITEMS
- CARNELIAN BEADS- INDICATE PRESENCE OF TRADEM ACTIVITIES
- AVAILABILITY OF BRONZE/ COPPER- SUGGESTM ARRIVAL OF ALLOY OF COPPER/ ZINC
- LATER SOURCES – SANGAM, GRAECO-ROMAN- SUGGEST- MARITIME EXCHANGE WAS IMPORTANT
- INTER REGIONAL NETWORKS- FROM IRON AGE ONWARDS & HAD EXPANDED
- WAS WELL ESTABLISHED- IN SOUTH INDIA BY 3RD CENTURY BCE
- SCHOLARS- HAVE SUGGESTED INTER REGIONAL VARIATIONS IN TRADE

✓ CONCLUSION-

- HUNTER GATHERERS, SHIFTING CULTIVATORS
- PARTOCIPATION IN EXCHANGE NETWORK

➤ MEGALITHIC SOCIETY & SETTLEMENT PATTERN-

✓ *SOCIETY*

- NOT ARCHAEOLOGY BUT RATHER ANTHROPOLOGY THAT PROVIDES EVIDENCE TO ASSUME POSSIBILITY OF TIES/ KINSHIP ETC

- DIFFERENCE IN SIZE/ GRAVE GOODS- INDICATE SOME SORT OF SOCIAL DIFFERENTIATION , EG- MULTI CHAMBERED, ROCK CUT BURIALS WITH MANY GRAVE GOODS – URN BURIALS WITH NO GRAVE GOODS

✓ SETTLEMENT-

- LIVED- VILLAGES OF SIZEABLE POPULATION
- MANUAL LABOUR PRESENT- INDICATED BY THE LABOIR NEEDED TO SHIFT HEAVY DOLMENS, STONES ETC
- LARGE SIZE OF POPULATION INDICATED- BURIAL GROUNDS WITH MANY GRAVES
- BRAHMAGIRI, MASKI- INDICATE HUTS WITH THATCHED ROOFS- POSTHOLES PRESENT
- MAX SITES- PRESENT IN RIVER VALLEYS, BASINS

➤ NEOLITHIC FOOD PRODUCING SITES-

B) NORTH WEST-

- MEHRGARH
- DAMB SADAT
- KILE GUL MOHAMMED

C) NORTH-

- BURZAHOM
- GUFKRAL

D) VINDHYA- SOUTH UP-

- KOLDIHWA
- MAHADAHA

E) RAJASTHAN-

- GANESHWAR
- JODHPURA
- AHAR-BANAS

F) SOUTH-

- UTNUR
- KUPGAL
- KODEKAL

➤ CHARACTERISTICS OF NEOLITHIC PHASE-

- IMPROVEMENTS IN STONE TOOLS
- BEGINNINGS OF FOOD PRODUCTION
- INVENTION OF POTTERY
- AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION- SEDENTARY LIVING
- EMERGENCE- SMALL, SELF SUFFICIENT VILLAGES

➤ FOOD PRODUCTION VARIATIONS IN NEOLITHIC PHASE IN THE SUB CONTINENT-

A) NORTH WEST-

NO MESOLITHIC, DIRECTLY NEOLITHIC

B) NORTH VINDHYAS-

NEOLITHIC PHASE EMERGED OUT OF A WELL DEVELOPED MESOLITHIC PHASE

C) RJ, MALWA-

FOOD PRODUCTION- DIRECTLY FROM CHALCOLITHIC

➤ ORIGIN OF HARAPPA-

A) OUTSIDE INFLUENCE-

- FROM MESOPOTAMIA, CENTRAL ASIA ETC
- PROBLEMS WITH THIS THEORY-
- SCRIPTS DISSIMILAR
- NO MONUMENTAL ARCHITECTURE IN HARAPPA AS IN MESOPOTAMIA

B) LAMBERG- KARLOVSKY-

- CULTURAL SPHERE IN TURKISTAN- SISTAN- 3000 BCE

C) CULTURAL CONVERGENCE- ALLCHINS

- TRANSITION FROM A PRE URBAN PHASE TO URBAN
- SPREAD OF UNIFORMITY ACROSS REGIONAL CENTRES

D) WHAT MADE THIS POSSIBLE?

- CRAFT PRODUCTION/ SPECIALIZATION WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS SIMILARITY

➤ WHY CITIES AROSE?

1. BETTER TECHNOLOGY-
 - WHEELED TRANSPORT
 - COPPER BRONZE TECH
 - SAILBOATS
2. INCREASING AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION
3. MARKET FACILITIES REQUIRED
4. SECURITY OF LIFE/ PROPERTY BETTER IN CITIES

➤ NATURE OF THE HARAPPAN STATE-

A) CENTRALIZED EMPIRE- BY PIGGOT, WHEELER

- BASED ON –
 - ✓ UNIFORM SCRIPT
 - ✓ UNIFORM CRAFTS
 - ✓ LACK OF WARFARE AMONGST STATES
- CANNOT BE – THAT SUCH A WIDE AREA EXISTED FOR 700 YEARS WITHOUT SOME KIND OF – VIOLENCE/ COERCION

B) NOT EMPIRE- FAIRSERVIS-

- HARAPPA - NOT EMPIRE, NOT EVEN A STATE
- DUE TO- NO EVIDENCE OF RULING ELITE, PRIEST KINGS ETC
- LATER- RECANTED- SAID THAT MAYBE SOME DEGREE OF CENTRALISATION
- HOWEVER- REJECTED IDEA OF FORCE

C) NETWORK OF TRADE- JIM SHAFFER

- NOT KINGS, RULING ELITE- RATHER – WELL DEVELOPED NETWORK OF TRADE- PRODUCED HOMOGENITY

D) CONCLUSION-

- ABSENCE OF TEMPLES, MONUMENTAL ARCHITECTURE – NOT MEAN THAT STATE WASNT THERE
- DIFFERENT KIND OF STATE
- COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM, STANDARDISED ARTEFACTS, SCRIPT ECONOMY
- INDICATE- SIMILARITY

➤ **M. R MUGHAL-**

- STUDIED PRE HARAPPAN PHASES
- FOUND- SIMILARITY IN CRAFTS TRADITIONS

➤ **RELIGION OF HARAPPA CAN BE LOOKED AT-**

- MOTHER GODDESS
- PASHUPATI
- HUMPED BULL
- SWASTIKA
- PIPAL TREE
- LINGA / YONI FIGURINES- LINGA WORSHIP BECOMING IMP IN LATER HINDUISM

➤ **WET CLIMATE DURING HARAPPAN PERIOD- HOW?**

1. BURNT BRICKS- WOULD REQUIRE WOOD & FOREST
2. PRESENCE OF CANALS- WATER
3. SEALS- SHOW TIGER, RHINOS- FOUND IN FORESTS
4. RICE/ WHEAT GROWN- REQUIRE WATER

➤ **DECLINE THEORIES-**

1. **ARYAN INVASION**
 - WHEELER- ARYANS INVADED

- EVIDENCE-
- ✓ INDRA AS PIRANDARA- BREAKER OF FORTS
- ✓ HARIYUPIA- NAME IN VEDAS
- ✓ SKELETONS- LYING IN MOHENJODARO – AS EVIDENCE OF MASSACRE

2. REFUTATION OF THIS THEORY-

- SKELETONS- NO NEW ETHNIC TYPES
- THERE IS 250 YEAR GAP BETWEEN END OF HARAPPAN PHASE AND COMING OF ARYANS

3. FLOODING-

- DUE TO FLOODING OF INDUS
- NO DIRECT EVIDENCE FOUND

4. TECTONIC SHIFTS-

- COULD HAVE LEAD TO MOVING OF RIVER
- INCREASINGLY ARID AREA

5. ENVIRONMENTAL DEGRADATION-

- OVER GRAZING, OVER DEFORESTATION, EXCESS CULTIVATION
- LED TO-
- ✓ LOWER YIELD OF CROPS
- ✓ DECREASE SOIL FERTILITY
- ✓ FLOODS ETC

➤ CHARACTERISTICS OF LATE HARAPPAN PHASE-

- SOME PLACES- SINDH, CHOLISTAN SETTLEMENTS DECREASED
- OTHER PLACES- RAJASTHAN, WESTERN UP, PB- INCREASED
- CHANGES IN POTTERY- LATER POTTERY – THICKER & STURDIER
- DOUBLE CROPPING- WHEAT, BARLEY IN WINTER, RICE & MILLETS IN SUMMER
- ✓ OVERALL PICTURE-
- BREAKDOWN OF URBAN NETWORKS
- EXPANSION OF RURAL AREAS
- PGW SITES COEXISTED WITH LATE HARAPPAN
-

➤ RV RELIGION-

- 33 GODS MENTIONED
- NO FIXED ORDER- MAX MUELLER CALLED IT HENOTHEISM
- IMPORTANCE TO – NATURAL PHENOMENA- WATER, FIRE, THUNDER ETC
- HENCE- RV – NATURALISTIC POLYTHEISM
- ALSO- ANTHROMORPHISM – GODS TAKING HUMAN FORM

➤ EXCERPTS FROM THE VEDIC CORPUS-

- SHATPATHA BRAHMAN- MENTIONS MANY OCCUPATIONS
- TAITTREYA UPANISHAD- MENTIONS MANY SUBJECTS FOR STUDY
- CHHANDOGYA UPANISHAD- TALKS OF CHANDALAS

REGARDING RITUALS-

✓ CHHANDOGYA UPANISHAD-

- THE CONVO 'TWEEN GARGI & YAGNAVALKYA-
- WHERE THE SAGE TELLS GARGI THAT MERE PERFORMANCE OF RITUALS IS MEANINGLESS WITHOUT KNOWLEDGE OF BRAHMAN.

✓ BRIHADARANYAKA UPANISHAD-

- PERFORMANCE OF RITUALS- WORLD OF FATHERS
- KNOWLEDGE OF BRAHMAN- WORLD OF GODS

➤ UTTARAPATHA & DAKSHINAPATHA-

✓ UTTARAPATHA-

- CONNECTED NORTH WEST TO TAMRALIPTI
- HAD A NORTHERN & SOUTHERN AXES-
- NORTHERN- FROM LAHORE – SAHARANPUR, BIJNOR TO BIHAR, BENGAL

- SOUTHERN- LAHORE- DELHI- HASTINAPUR- LOWER GANGA VALLEY

✓ DAKSHINAPATH-

- FROM MAGADHA TO PAITHAN
- PASSED ALONG WESTERN COASTAL PORTS

➤ HOW DO WE KNOW THAT RULES PRESCRIBED IN DHARMASHASTRAS WERE ACTUALLY NOT BEING FOLLOWED?

✓ CONCEPT OF APAD DHARMA

- DHARMA IN TIMES OF DISTRESS
- GAUTAMA DHARMASHASTRA
- WHERE EVEN BRAHMINS ARE ALLOWED TO PRACTICE AGRICULTURE

✓ CONCEPT OF ANULOMA/ PRATILOMA MARRIAGES

- ANULOMA-
 - HIGHER CASTE MAN MARRYING LOWER CASTE WOMAN- DISFAVOURED YET PERMITTED
- PRATILOMA-
 - LOWER CASTE MAN MARRYING HIGHER CASTE WOMAN- NOT APPROVED

➤ DIFFERENCE IN VARNA & JATI-

4	
FIRST	LATER
4-5	ROUS
ENDOGAMOUS	EXOGAMOUS
ORDERED HIERARCHICALLY	UNORDERED HIERARCHICALLY
BASED ON OCCUPATIONS	BASED ON OCCUPATIONS
BRAHMIN, KSHATRIYA VAISHYA SHUDRA ETC	DIVISION WITHIN BRAHMINS- SARASWAT, CHITPAVAN, KASHMIRI AND KSHATRIYAS- JAT, RAJPUT,

	MARATHA
--	---------

➤ HOW JATIS EMERGED-

- HEREDITARY NATURE OF OCCUPATIONS
- INCORPORATION OF TRIBALS INTO BRAHMANICAL FOLD
- A SYSTEM- THAT PRIVILEGED BIRTH
- ENDOGAMY
- TERRITORIAL & OCCUPATIONAL DIFFERENCES ALSO PLAYED THEIR PART

➤ EVOLUTION OF UNTOUCHABILITY

- 1ST MENTION IN VISHNU DHARMASUTRA- OF 2ND OR 3RD CENTURY AD
- HOWEVER- THE EXTREME FORM OF IT WAS ESTABLISHED MUCH BEFORE
- EARLY DHARMASUTRAS- SOMETIMES CATEGORIZED AS SHUDRAS BUT SOON THE CLEAR DIFFERENCE WAS ESTABLISHED
- BAUDHYANA, VASHISHTHA, APASTAMBA DHARMASUTRAS- WERE UNANIMOUS IN CONDEMNING THE CHANDALAS

➤ RULES REGARDING MARRIAGE & REMARRIAGE CHANGING-

- INITIALLY DHARMASHASTRAS- CONDEMNED WIDOW REMARRIAGE
- LATER- PRESCRIBED PERIOD SHE MUST WAIT BEFORE REMARRYING
- TACIT – APPROVAL

APASTAMBA DHARMASUTRA-

- CROSS COUSIN MARRIAGE- NO
- ACKNOWLEDGES- SUCH THINGS HAPPEN IN SOUTH

➤ TRENDS OF THINKING THAT EMERGED IN THE WAKE OF DURING MAHAJANAPADA PERIOD?

- ✓ BRAHMANISM -
- BRAHMANISM REDUCED TO FORMALISM + RITUALS

- CLEAVAGE IN IDEAS- BETWEEN VEDAS & UPANISHAD TRADITIONS
- ASCETIC IDEAS- ANNIHILATIONS (UCCHEDVADA) TO ETRNALISM (SASVATABAD)
- CHAKRAVARTIN CONCEPT
- KSHATRIYA & VASHYAS- CONFLICT IN POSITION WOTH BRAHMINS

- ✓ RISE OF ASCETICISM
 - SRAMANAS, PARIBBAJAKAS- LIVED ON ALMS, PRACTICED RIGORUOUS PENANCE
 - SRAMANAS BELIEF- NO GOD, ONLY NATURE. TRANSMIGRATION BELIEF
 - PALI TEXTS- REFERENCE TO 62 SECTS, JAINA CANONS- MENTION OF 363 SECTS

- ✓ AJIVIKAS- MAKKALI GOSALA , NIYATI DOCTRINE
 - ✓ LOKYAYATAS- MATERIALISTS, AJITA KESAKAMBALIN, DENIED ANY OTHER SELF LIKE ATMAN EXCEPT THE ONE THAT COULD BE PERCEIVED

- ✓ SKEPTICS-
 - SANJAYA BELATHHAPUTTA
 - NO COMMITTMENT TO ANY POINT OF VIEW
 - DID NOT EVEN COMMITT TO SAYING OTHERS WERE WRONG
 - CONCERN- WITH MORAL ACTIONS

- BUDDHISM, JAINISM

➤ PANINI'S WORK ON SANSKRIT GRAMMAR-

- ✓ INTRO-
 - EFFECTIVELY STABILISED SANKRIT GRAMMAR
 - INTELLECTUAL ACHIEVEMENT OF A CIVILISATION

- ✓ DESCRIPTION-
 - MOST DETAILED & SCIENTIFIC GRAMMAR COMPOSED BEFORE 19TH CENTURY
 - ALMOST 4000 GRAMMATICAL RULES- WHICH EMPLOYS SINGLE LETTER OR SYLLABLES FOR CASES, MODELS, PERSONS, TENSES

- ✓ CRITICISM-

- VERY COMPLEX & RULE BOUND
- VERY DIFFICULT FOR BEGINNERS TO FOLLOW WITHOUT COMMENTARIES
- LANGUAGE BECAME FIXED & COULD ONLY BE FOLLOWED WITHIN THESE RULES

✓ CONCLUSION-

- LATER GRAMMAR WORK ARE ONLY COMMENTARIES ON PANINI
- EG- MAHABHASYA OF PATANJALI, KASIK VRIITI OF JAYADITYA ETC

➤ MAJOR NASTIKA PHILOSOPHIES- WHAT WAYS IT DIFFERED FROM ASTIKA PHILOSOPHIES & SOMETHING ABOUT LOKYATA SECT-

- 2 CLASSES – ASTIKA & NASTIKA
- ASTIKA- 6 SCHOOLS OF INDIAN PHILOSOPHIES.

- EVIDENCE SUGGESTS – ATHEISTS , , MATERIALISTS QUITE COMMON IN ANCIENT INDIA
- MANY TEXTS- REFER TO DISCUSSION AMONGST DIFFERENT SECTS
- NASTIKA- BUDDHISM, JAINISM, LOKYATA
- DIFFERENCE- RECOGNITION OF AUTHORITY OF VEDAS

- EXCEPT FOR LOKYATA- ALL AGREED UPON KARMA, REINCARNATION
- EXCEPT FOR LOKYATA- ALL AGREED ON ATMAN

- ✓ LOKYATA
- ONLY PERCEPTION BY SENSES – TRUE
- REST- WHICH CANNOT BE FELT- CANNOT BE TRUE
- HENCE- NO BELIEF GODS , ATMAN
- CHARVAKA

- INDIAN MATERIALISM ASSOCIATED 1ST WITH BRIHASPATI
- HENCE- LATTER DAY INDIAN MATERIALISM OFTEN CALLED BRIHASPATYA

➤ **RISE, SPREAD & CONSOLIDATION OF BUDDHISM, FACTORS-**

✓ **SOCIAL CONDITIONS-**

- CLEAVAGE IN BRAHMANSIM- BETWEEN SACRIFICE RELATED VEDIC & ATMA- KARMA RELATED UPANISHADIC TRADITIONS
- PEOPLE WANTED OLDER WAY OF LIFE
- KSHATRIYAS VS BRAHMINS- VYING FOR TOP – BUDDHA & MAHAVIRA- BOTH KSHATRIYAS
- VAISHYAS- SUPPRESSED , YEARNED FOR SOCIAL RECOGNITION
- NEW SECTS & RELIGIOUS TRADITIONS EMERGED- AJIVIKAS, LOKYAYATA, SRAMANAS

✓ **AGRICULTURAL FACTORS-**

- 2 CROPS GROWN, AGRIL SURPLUS, SETTLED LIFE

✓ **ECONOMIC –**

- EXPANSION OF TRADE
- METAL CURRENCY
- SPECIALIZATION IN CRAFTS

➤ **ROLE OF CHAITYAS & VIHARAS IN BUDDHIST EXPANSION**

- INTRO- WHAT IS CHAITYA & VIHARA, GIVE EXAMPLES (CHAITYA AT KARLE, VIHARA- ODDANTAPURI, NALANDA)
- BOTH- PROVIDED A CONGREGATION OF PEOPLE- PROSLETISATION
- SPACE OF INTERACTION BETWEEN MONKS & LAITY
- DISCUSSION AMONGST MONKS- LED TO BETTER METHODS TO APPEAL TO PEOPLE
- ALSO- CHAITYAS & VIHARAS- IMPETUS TO TRADE
- SITUATED ON MAJOR TRADE ROUTES-
- EG- BHARHUT- NEAR MINERAL RESOURCES , KANHERI- NEAR PORT OF KALYAN, AMRAVATI - NAGARJUNAKONDA- NEAR IKSHAVAKU CAPITAL

➤ **WHAT ROLE DID BUDDHISM PLAY IN THE CHANGING MILIEU OF 6TH CEN BCE?**

- ✓ IDEOLOGICAL-
 - ENCOURAGED ACCUMULATION & INVESTMENT OF WEALTH ,LENDING, USURY ETC
 - CHAMPIONED - LIFE OF A GRIHASTA, NOT JUST ASCETIC
 - PROTECTION OF CATTLE, NOT SACRIFICE
- ✓ SOCIAL-
 - CHAMPIONED TRADING COMMUNITIES, GAVE THEM STATUS
 - ENCOURAGED- DONATIONS TO- VIHARAS
- ✓ EDUCATIONAL-
 - GREAT CENTRES OF KNOWLEDGE (NALANDA, VIKRAMSHILA)
 - REPOSITORIES OF INFORMATION

➤ SOURCES – MAURYA PERIOD (324-187 BCE)

A) LITERARY

- SOME KING LISTS IN PURANAS- HOWEVER INCONSISTENT
- MAJOR TEXTS- ARTHASHASTRA, INDIKA
- INDIKA – SURVIVES IN EXTRACTS OF DIODORUS, STRABO
- ASHOKAN INSCRIPTIONS
- JAIN – *PARISHTAPARVAN*- CHANDRAGUPTA'S JAIN CONNECTION
- VISHAKHADUTTA'S – *MUDRARAKHSHA*
- SOME GLIMPSES- *MILINDAPANHA, MAHABHASYA*
- *BUDDHIST*- *DIPAVAMSA, MAHAVAMSA*

B) ARCHAEOLOGICAL-

- ASHOKAN INSCRIPTION
- REMAINS OF PALACE- KUMRAHAR
- CAVES- IN BIHAR

➤ SOURCES- 200 BCE-300 CE

A) LITERARY-

MANUSMRITI, YAJNAVALKYA
SANGAM TEXTS- CONDITION OF TAMILAKAM- EARLY CENTURIES CE
SANSKRIT DRAMAS- MALAVIKAAGNIMITRA, MEGHADOOTAM
ALSO- BUDDHACHARITA- BY BUDHHAGHOSHA
GREEK -ARRIAN, STRABO, PERIPLUS OF THE ERYTHREAN SEA
CHINESE- HOU HAN SHU- TRADE GLIMPSES

B) ARCHAEOLOGICAL –

- BEGINNINGS OF SANSKRIT INSCRIPTIONS
- COINS - KUSHANA- GOLD
- GUPTA CPINS- DINARAS

C) WHY THIS PERIOD IS IMPORTANT?

1. INVASIONS- SHIFT OFMPOWER FROM MAGADHA TO NORTH WEST
2. TRANSITION TO STATE POLITY IN DECCAN & SOUTH
3. INCREASING CRAFTS + QUALITY
4. TRADE/ COMMERCE- EXPANSION
5. NEW ARTITSTIC STYLE- GANDHARA, MATHURA

➤ **SOURCES (300 -600 CE) GUPTA TO HARSHA**

A) LITERARY-

- PRASHASTIS- HARISENA- ALLAHABAD,
- SMRITI- BRIHASPATI, KATYAYANA
- POLITY TEXT- NITISARA OF KAMANDAKA
- TECHNICAL TREATISES-
- KAMASUTRA, AMARKOSHA (LEXICON)
- DEVICHANDRAGUPTAM- FRAGMENTS
- TAMIL- MANIMEKELAI, SILAPPIDIKARAN

B) ARCHAEOLOGICAL

- COPPER PLATE INSCRIPTIONS- DAMODARPUR, BHAGALPUR
- DONATIVE INSCRIPTIONS- BY TRADERS, GUILDS
- PRABHAVATIGUPTA'S INSCRIPTIONS

➤ **SOURCES- 600-1200 CE**

SHELDON POLLOCK- 2 GREAT MOMENTS OF TRANSFORMATION IN ANCIENT INDIA

- a) BEGINNING OF COMMON ERA- SANSKRIT- TRANSFORMED –
FROM A RELIGIOUS INTO A LITERARY, POLITICAL LANGUAGE
- b) 2ND MILLENIUM CE

REGIONAL LANGUAGES- DEVELOPED
EVENTUALLY - REPLACED SANSKRIT

A) LITERARY-

BANABHATTA- HARSHACHARITA- ORNAMENTAL LANGUAGE
VIKRAMADEVA-CHARITA, KUMARAPALA- CHARITA

PURANAS- BHAGVAT PURAN, BRAHMVAIVARTA PURANAS
DEVOTIONAL SONGS- NAYANARS, ALVARS

LEKHA PADHHATI- GUJARAT- 13TH CENTURY

B) ARCHAEOLOGICAL-

DONATIVE INSCRIPTIONS
COINS

➤ INTERPRETATION OF EARLY MEDIEVAL HISTORY

- CONNOTES- INTERMEDIARY PERIOD- ANCOENT & MEDIEVAL
- INTERPRETATION- LINKED TO VIEWS ON NATURE OF INDIAN CULTURE & CIVILISATION

A) *EARLY HISTORIANS- SAID DECLINE*

MUSLIM INVASION

NW REGION- VOLATILE

B) FEUDAL SCHOOL-

- POLITICAL FRAGMENTATION
- TRANSFORM- PEASANTS TO SERFS
- DECLINE- URBAN CENTRES, MONEY ECONOMY

✓ FOR SOUTH INDIA-

- SEGMENTARY STATE MODEL-
 - KINGS- AS RITUAL FIGURE
 - NO CHARACTERISTIC OF ROYAL POWER-
1. REVENUE INFRASTRUCTURE
 2. STANDING ARMY

C) REGIONAL STATES INTERPRETATION-

- FORMATION + PROLIFERATION OF REGIONAL STATES
- NOT URBAN DECLINE
- RATHER- URBAN CHANGE

➤ **HOW DO WE KNOW THAT MAHABHARATA IS EARLIER THAN RAMAYANA?**

- 1ST- SETTING IS DIFFERENT- MAHABHARATA- HARYANA, NORTH WEST – RAMAYANA – EASTERN UP- BIHAR
- 2ND- STRONG WOMEN CHARACTERS IN MAHBHARATA- KUNTI, GANDHARI. RAMAYANA- LATER CONSCIOUSNESS OF CONTROLLING WOMEN
- 3RD- PRACTICE OF NIYOGA- WHERE HUSBAND DEPUTES THE CONJUGAL RIGHTS OVER WIFE IN ORDER TO PRODUCE AN HEIR- IN MAHABHARATA. IN RAMAYANA- STROCTER CONTROLS OVER R WOMEN

➤ **WAS BUDHHA A REVOLUTIONARY?**

- OFTEN – PEOPLE VIEW BUDHHA WITH THE LENS OF A REVOLUTIONARY , YET MANY ASPECTS- SOCIALLY CONSERVATIVE
- BELIEVED IN – VARNA SYSTEM- JUST THAT BELIEVED IT TO BE A NATURAL ORDER- NOT DIVINELY SANCTIONED
- BELIEVED IN – HIGH SIPPAS & LOW , SOME PROFESSIONS CONSIDERED BETTER (EG- TRADE, BUSINESS & SOME ARE CONSIDERED LOW- METAL WORK, TRADE IN ANIMAL SKINS ETC)
- RULES OF SANGHA-
 - I. NO SOLDIERS UNTIL PERMITTED BY THE KING,
 - II. NO DEFAULTERS ALLOWED,
 - III. NO SLAVES UNTILL PERMITTED BY OWNER
- MANY MONKS – CAME FROM KHSATRIYA BACKGROUND- BUDDHA, ANANDA, ANIRUDHHA
- BRAHMINS- MAHAKASSAPA, MAHMOGGALANA

REVOLUTIONARY ASPECTS-

- REJECTED DIVINE BASIS OF CASTE SYSTEM
- SAID- EVEN LOW BORN CAN ATTAIN NIRVANA
- ESTABLISHED BHIKKHUNI SANGHAS- FOR WOMEN
- CHALLENGED RITUAL POSITION FOR BRAHMINS

➤ **BUDDHISM & WOMEN**

- IMP FEATURES-
 - I. SAID- WOMEN CAN ALSO ATTAIN NIBBANA
 - II. ESTABLISHED- BHIKKHUNI SANGHAS
- EVEN- BUDHHA INITIALLY OBJECTED TO THIS

- WHEN AGREED – SAID THAT HE ORDER WILL LAST 500 YEARS NOW THAT WOMEN HAVE BEEN ADMITTED
- HOWEVER- REFLECTED MANY OLDER MINDSETS-
- COMPARED WOMEN- SNAKES, TEMPTRESSES
- CAN BE UNDERSTOOD IN TERMS OF A CELIBATE ORDER- SEEING WOMEN AS THREATS TO THEIR CELIBACY

✓ RULES OF SANGHA-

NO PREGNANT WOMAN

- IV. NO MOTHER OF AN UNWEANED CHILD
- V. NO WOMAN WHO MIXED WITH YOUNG MEN
- VI. NO WOMAN- WOTHOUT PERMISSION OF PARENT , HUSBAND

- RULES OF SANGHA- REFLECTED THAT EVEN MORE EXPERIENCED FEMALE MONKS- WERE PLACED LOWER THAN EVEN THE JUNIOR MALE ONES
- FEMALE MONKS HAD TO SEEK MALE LERMKSSION BEFORE GOING OUT

IS IT REGRESSIVE?

- WE HAVE TO CONSIDER THE TIME
- IN 5TH OR 6TH CENTURIES , THIS WAS VERY PROGRESSIVE

➤ SIMILARITY BETWEEN BUDDHISM & JAINISM-

- BOTH- ESTABLISHED OR MADE POPULAR BY- CHARISMATIC PREACHER
- BOTH- BUDHHA & MAHAVIRA- FROM AFFLUENT KSHATRIYA FAMILIES
- BOTH- REJECTED- VEDAS
- EMPHASISE- RENUNCIATION
- HUMAN EFFORT- AS MEANS TO ATTAIN NIRVANA
- WARNINGS TO WOMEN AS TEMPTRESSES- YET ESTABLISHED MONASTIC ORDER FOR THEM

➤ ARE BUDDHISM & JAINISM SOCIAL MOVEMENTS OR RELIGIONS?

- WESTERN CONCEPT OF RELIGION- FIXED, US VS THEM
- INDIAN- NORMS- NOT SO- MORE FLEXIBLE
- TERM DHARMA- RIGHTEOUSNESS , NOT RELIGION
- BUDDHISM, JAIN- NOT STARTED AS RELIGIONS IN THE SENSE WE UNDESTAND IT TODAY
- BOTH- A WAY, PATH- IF FOLLOWED CAN LEAD TO A BETTER LIFE
- BUDDHIST & JAINA – SANGHAS – CONGREGATION OF PEOPLE, NO BARRIERS IN CASTES

- VALUES LIKE NO STEALING, NO VIOLENCE- UNIVERSAL VALUES
- ✓ LATER-
 - JAINA PANTHEON GREW- IMAGES OF MAHAVIRA
 - SAME- MAHAYANA BUDDHISM- GREAT IMAGES , WORSHIP, MANTRAS
 - ALSO- TARGETTING IF BUDDHIST & JAINAS- BY REFORMERS , KINGS- HELPED BUOLD IDENTITY
 - JAINAS- SURVIVED AMONGST BUSINESS COMMUNITIES IN WEST
- ✓ CONCLUSION-
 - NOT RELIGIONS JN MODERN SENSE- AT START
 - MORE – REACTIONARY MOVEMENT BY KSHATRIYAS
 - WITH TIME- COMPELEX PANTHEONS, WORSHIP STYLES & SEPARATE IDENTITY FORMED

- BOTH BUDDHISM & JAINISM GIVE A PREMIER POSITION TO BRAHMINS- JUST THAT- BRAHMINS WERE BASED UPON- CONDUCT THAN BIRTH

- DHARMA SHASTRAS
 - SPECIAL GROUP OF TEXTS DEALING WITH DHARMA
 - INCLUDE
 - A) DHARMASUTRAS-
 - B) SMRITIS-
 - C) TIKAS/ BHASYAS-
 - DHARMA SUTRAS- PART OF VEDANGA LITERATURE

- VEDANGA-
 - LITERALLY TERALLY - LIMBS OF THE VEDAS
 - SHRAUTASUTRAS, GRIHASUTRAS, ETC

➤ SUTRAS-

- STYLE IN WHICH IDEAS ARE EXPRESSED IN A SHORT, CONDENSED FORM

➤ SOURCES FOR EXTENT OF ASHOKA'S EMPIRE-

A) LITERARY SOURCES

- DIVYADANA , DIPAVAMSA, MAHAVAMSA
- GREEK WRITERS- ARRIAN, STRABO, MEGASTHENES
- INFERENCES FROM ARTHASHASTRA

B) ARCHAEOLOGICAL SOURCES-

- ASHOKAN PILLARS
- JUNAGADH INSCRIPTIONS

➤ MAURYAN ADMINISTRATION-

- SOURCES- ARTHASHASTRA, MAHABHASYA
- ✓ AMATYA-
- PART OF SAPTANGA RAJYA DESCRIBED BY ARTHASHASTRA
- UMBRELLA TERM FOR- ALL HIGH OFFICERS

✓ MANTRIN-

- SELECTED INDIVIDUALS
- KINGS ADVISORS OR HEADS OF DEPTTS

▪ EMPIRE DIVIDED INTO PROVINCES

- I. NORTHERN- TAXILA
- II. SOUTHERN- SUVARNAGIRI
- III. EASTERN- TOSALI

IV. WESTERN- UJJAIN

- ARTHASHASTRA DESCRIBES MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION-
- 5 COMMITTEES OF 6 PEOPLE EACH-
 - I. INDUSTRY
 - II. SURVEILLANCE OF FOREIGNERS
 - III. RECORD OF BIRTH DATE
 - IV. TRADE
 - V. WEIGHTS & MEASURES
 - VI. TAXES COLLECTION
- ALSO MENTION OF- PATIVEDAKA- WHO REPORTS TO THE KING

➤ MAURYAN & PERSIAN ARCHITECTURE-

- SIMILARITY FOUND- ASHOKAN COLOUMNS & BEHISTUN INSCRPTIONS
- SIMILARITY- PILLARED HALL AT KUMRAHAR (PATNA) & HALL OF NATIONS IN PERSEPOLIS
- STYLE OF COLOUMNS- ALSO PRESENT
- ALSO- PRESENCE OF ARAMAIC IN NORTH WEST PILLARS WHOCH LATER GSVE RISE TO KHAROSTHI
- SCHOLARS SUGGESTED- PRESENCE OF AN ANCIENT EAST- STRETCHING FROM MEDITERRANEAN TO GANGA VALLEY
- HENCE- SIMILARITY
- HOWEVER- DIFFERENCES ALSO-

AN	YAN
SHAPED AT THE BASE OF PILLAR	SHAPED BELL AT THE TOP
ALS- SUCH AS LION, DEER, BUFFALO- NOT PRESENT	HESE- PRESENT
RS- MADE OF ROUGH STONE	OF SMOOTH STONE
AGE OF A KING TO SUBJECTS- CONQUESTS	AGE OF A PATERNAL RULER TO HIS CHILDREN, WHO ARE HIS SUBJECTS

- **CONCLUSION –**

- ARTISTIC INSPIRATIONS - HARD TO MEASURE
- CONTACT BETWEEN INDIAN & PERSIANS BY THE TIME DARIUS MADE SINDH HIS 20TH SATRAPY
- HENCE – SIMILARITY IS NATURAL
- HOWEVER- WHOLE SALE COPYING IS NOT PRESENT IN THE MAURYAN PILLARS

- **MAURYAN POPULAR ART-**

- NUDE MALE TORSO- LOHANIPUR (PATNA)
- COLOSSAL IMAGE OF YAKSHA- MANIBHADRA
- DIDARGANJ YAKSHI- FULL SCUPLTED BODY, UNEMBARRASSINGLY PORTRAYED- HUMAN FORM
- JAIN TIRTHANKARA IMAGE IN CHUNAR SANDSTONE

- **WHY 200 BCE-300 CE IS IMPORTANT?**

- I. SHIFT OF POWER FROM MAGADHA TO NORTH WEST
- II. NEW DEVOTIONAL PRACTICES IN HINDUISM- BHAKTI
- III. DECCAN & SOUTH TRANSITION TO STATE/ POLITY
- IV. INVASION & NEW INFLUENCES- GANDHARA , MATHURA
- V. SPREAD OF URBANISATION
- VI. INCREASING CRAFTS

- **EXAMPLE OF IMPORTANCE OF COINAGE-**

- OUT OF 42 INDO GREEK KINGS, 34- ARE KNOWN ONLY FROM COINS

- **SOURCES OF SHUNGA HISTORY-**

- DIVYADANA, JATAKA
- MALAVIKAGNIMITRA- STORY OF SON OF PUSHYAMITRA & YAVANAS

- PATANJALI

➤ KUSHANA COINAGE-

- KUSHANA EMPIRE- FROM EASTERN XINJINAG TO NORHERN AFGHANISTAN
- EXTENDED INTO GANGA VALLEY- MATHURA
- VARIOUS PEOPLE WITH VARIOUS BELIEFS IN GODS, CULTS
- THIS REFLECTED IN COINAGE

- A) BUDHHIST MOTIFS- AS KANISHKA HIMSLEF WAS A BUDDHIST
- B) GREEK- SUN GOD (HELIOS) & MOON GOD
- C) PERSIAN- FIRE GOD (ATASH), SUN GOD (MITRAS)
- D) VISHNU, SHIVA COINS

THIS REFLECTS IN 2 THINGS-

I. PERSONAL LEVEL-

- ECLECTISM OF RULER
- UNDERSTANDING OF TRADITIONS

II. ROYAL POLICY

- UNDERSTANDING OF DIVERSITY
- NO PATRONAGE TO ONE PARTICULAR CULT/ BELIEFS

➤ DID EARLY SOUTH INDIA HAVE STATE STRUCTURE?

- CHAMPAKALAKSHMI- SUGGESTS THAT RUDIMENTSRY STATE STRUCTURE
- CHIEFTAINS- LOOSE COTROL OVER AREAS
- DEPEND UPON- TRIBUTE & PLUNDER
- AT MAX- POTENTIAL MONARCHIES
- HOWEVER-

- I. SOPHISTICATED LITERATURE
- II. URBANISATION
- III. SPECIALISED CRAFT
- IV. LONG DISTANCE TRADE
- V. DYNASTIC COIN ISSUE.

➤ EVIDENCE OF INDO- ROMAN TRADE-

- I. COINAGE- GOLD, SILVER FOUND
- II. MENTION- TRADE BETWEEN WEST & INDIA BY PLUTARCH, PLINY
- III. MENTION OF YAVANAS - SANGAM TEXTS
- IV. AMPHORA VATS
- V. ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVIDENCE- ARIKAMENDU- DYEING VATS

➤ SOCIAL CHANGES (200 BCE- 300 CE)

- I. SOCIAL DISABILITIES FOR CHANDALAS- INCREASED- MANUSMIRITI MENTIONS IT IN DETAIL
- II. WOMEN- PRAISED & REVILED AT THE SAME TIME
- III. SMRITIS/ PURANAS- WOMEN- EQUAL TO SHUDRA
- IV. WOMEN- PROPERLY RIGHTS RECOGNISED- AS REALISATION THAT STATE MAY TAKE OVER
- V. INCREASING ROLE OF TRADE & TRADERS

➤ NORTH SOUTH INTERACTION VIA TEXTS-

- MENTION OF DANDAKARANYA- RAMAYANA
- LEGEND OF PARASHURAM, AGASTYA
- ILLAL VATAPI STORY
- PROCESS- NOT TO BE SEEN AS ARYANISATION OF SOUTH WOTH SIUTH BEING- PASSIVE
- RECIPROCAL PROCESS

➤ FUNCTIONS OF TEMPLES-

- I. RELIGIOUS DEVOTION
- II. SOCIAL INTERACTION- PPL COME & DISCUSS
- III. CULT OF POWER & PIETY- TEMPLE DONATIONS
- IV. ARCHITECTURAL STYLES

➤ WHY CAN'T RELIGIOUS TEXTS BE TRUSTED FOR INFORMATION?

- I. ELITE AUTHORSHIP- NOT REFLECT POPULAR PRACTICES
- II. NORMATIVE IN NATURE- WHAT OUGHT TO BE RATHER THAN WHAT IS
- III. MARGINALISE COMMUNITIES- SUCH AS AJIVIKAS
- IV. NOT REFLECT REGIONAL VARIATIONS

➤ NAGA & YAKSHAS-

NAGAS , ASSOCIATED- WITH WARER , FERTILITY

- NAGA TEMPLE- FOUND AT SONKH NESR MATHURA
- NAGA SCULPTURE- FOUND IN JAMALPUR, BIHAR

- YAKSHAS- FOUND IN BESNAGAR, PAWAYA- MP

- YAKSHAS- ASSOCIATED WITH - FERTILITY
- ALSO- MASSIVE STONE SCULPTURE OF YAKSHA MANIBHADRA- NEAR MATHURA
- BOTH- STARTED AS MAJOR CULTS- LATER RELEGATED
- INITIALLY- YAKSHAS AS PROTECTORS, LATER STARTED TO BE DEMONIZED
- EVEN THOUGH- DESCRIBED AS VILLAGE CULTS, THE SCULPTURES FOUND- INDICATE ADVANCED CRAFTSMANSHIP, TECHNICAL FINESSE

➤ EVIDENCE OF HINDU TEMPLES IN ANCIENT INDIA-

- EARLIEST EVIDENCE- FROM 2ND CENTURY BCE
- HELIODORUS PILLAR INSCRIPTION – MENTIONS TEMPLE DEDICATED TO VISHNU
- ALSO-MATRIKA TEMPLE, SONKH
- REFERENCES- TO TEMPLES TO SAMKARHSANA, BALARAMA

❖ EARLY MEDIEVAL HISTORY-

(NOTE- THESE ARE CONCISE NOTES FOR A GENERAL OVERVIEW)

➤ TIME PERIOD- 750-1200 CE

- THE PERIOD FROM 8TH - 13TH CENTURIES - MOST NOTABLE FOR FORMATION OF REGIONAL CULTURAL TRADITIONS
- REFLECTS IN- POLITICAL POWER, ART , LANGUAGE, RELIGION
- MUDRARAKSHASA OF VISHAKHADUTTA OF 5TH CENTURY- SPEAKS OF DIFFERENT REGIONS WHO DIFFER FROM EACH OTHER IN TERMS OF DRESS, LANGUAGE,CUSTOMS ETC
- SAME IS ATTESTED - XUANZANG- 7TH CENTURY
- BRAHMA PURANA- CALLS THIS DESHABHEDA- DIFFERENCES BASED UPON REGIONS

➤ SOURCES-

- BOTH EPIGRAPHIC & LITERARY SOURCES

✓ EPIGRAPHIC-

- ALL MAJOR POWERS ARE KNOWN TO US THROUGH INSCRIPTIONS
- EG- CHALUKYAS THROUGH RAVIKIRTI, DAMODARPUR PLATES OF PALAS
- POST GUPTA INSCRIPTIONS- HARSHA, PALA, CHOLA – MANY
- GIVE US IDEAS ABOUT- REGIONAL & LOCAL PECULIARITIES

✓ LITERARY-

- DHARMASHASTRAS, NIBANDHAS OF THE PERIOD TELL US ABOUT THE SOCIAL UPHEAVALS
- KAVYAS, DRAMAS, TECHNICAL TREATISES ON SCIENCE, ARCHITECTURE
- ALSO- RAJATANRANGINI – KALHANA, ADIPURANA- JINASENA
- ALSO- MEDHATITHI & VIGYANESHWAR'S COMMENTARIES UPON MANU-SMRITI & YAJNAVALKYASMRITI

➤ SOCIAL ASPECTS-

✓ BRAHMANICAL RIGIDITY-

- NEW PEOPLE CAME- HUNAS, ARABS, TURKS
- TEXTS- GIVE AN IMPRESSION ON URGE TO PRESERVE AGE OLD CUSTOMS & ORDER
- EG- SHANKARACHARYA- TALKING OF TROUBLES IN SOCIETY
- INSCRIPTIONS ALSO SPEAK OF NEED TO ESTABLISH DHARMA, VARNA ETC
- 12TH CENTURY- AN OFFICER - VARNADHIKARIN- APPOINTED EVEN.

✓ DISSENT-

- CASTE SYSTEM & IT'S FUNDAMENTAL – BEING QUESTIONED, ESP BY NON BRAHMINS
- 11TH CENTURY MAIN TEXT- DETERMINED CASTE ON PERSONAL QUALITIES
- KSHEMENDRA- AN AUTHOR FROM KASHMIR- CALLS VANITY OF CASTE AS A DISEASE
- OTHER TEXTS – REVEAL A STRUGGLE BETWEEN ORTHODOX & HETERODOX

✓ NEW SOCIAL FEATURES- (IN BRIEF)

- I. EMERGENCE OF SHUDRAS AS CULTIVATORS & BRIDGING GAP BETWEEN SHUDRAS & VAISHYAS
- II. NEWLY FORMED BRAHMANICAL ORDER- BENGAL, SOUTH- WHERE INTERMEDIATE VARNAS- ABSENT
- III. NEW MIXED CASTES
- IV. UNEQUAL DISTRIBUTION OF LAND & MILITARY POWER
- V. SOCIAL TENSIONS

POINTS EXPLAINED IN BRIEF-

- ✓ EMERGENCE OF SHUDRAS AS CULTIVATORS & BRIDGING GAP BETWEEN SHUDRAS & VAISHYAS-
- ✓ EXPANSION OF RURAL SPACE & AGRICULTURE- LED TO CHANGES IN NOTIONS OF PERSONS WHO ENGAGED IN AGRICULTURE
- ✓ TEXTS- BRAHMINS COULD BE ASSOCIATES WITH AGRICULTURE
- ✓ BRIDGING OF GAP BETWEEN VASHYAS & SHUDRAS
- ✓ HIEUN TSANG & AL – BIRUNI – BITH DESCRIBE SHUDRAS AS CULTIVATORS
- ✓ CERTAIN SHUDRAS CALLED - BHOJANNYA- THAT IS WHOSE FOOD EVEN A BRAHMIN CAN EAT

ABSENCE OF INTERMEDIARY VARNAS- BENGAL & SOUTH-

1. BOTH PLACES- ON PERIPHERIES OF BRAHMANISM
2. CONVERSION OF TRIBALS + TRANSFORMATION OF SHUDRAS INTO CULTIVATORS- LED TO AN ABSENCE OF A INTERMEDIARY VARNAS
3. ATTESTED BY- VALLALCHARITA, BRAHMAVAIVARTA PURANA

RISE OF KAYASHTHAS-

1. INCREASE IN LAND GRANTS & TRANSACTIONS- LED TO DEMAND FOR LAND OWNERSHIP RECORDS & MEASUREMENT
2. REQUIREMENT- CLASS OF SPECIALISTS
3. POST GUPTA ERA – FULL OF DESCRIPTION OF RECORD KEEPERS- KARANAS, KARMIKAS, PUSTAPAL
4. RECORDS OF INFLUENTIAL KAYASTHA FAMILIES- MATHUR, SRIVASTAVYAS , KATARIA

INCREASE IN NEW MIXED CASTES-

5. BRAHMINS- NOT JUST PRIESTS , ALSO- MILITARY FUNCTIONS
6. EG- GENERAL OF PRITHVIRAJ CHAUHAN- WAS A BRAHMIN- SKANDA
7. OTHER BRAHMIN SURNAMES- REFLECTED THEIR OCCUPATIONS DIKSHIT, PATHAKS, UPADHYAYA
8. DIFFERENT BRAHMINS- BASED ON AREAS- KANYAKUBJA, UTKAL, GAUDIY
9. KSHATRIYAS- RISE OF RAJPUTS- 36 CLANS
10. AMONGST VAISHYAS & SHUDRAS- BRAHMA VAIVARTS PURANA- LISTE 100 CASTES OF SHUDRAS

LAND DISTRIBUTION-

1. GRADED LAND RIGHTS
 2. KSHATRIYAS- NO LONGER RULING ARISTOCRACY
 3. TITLES- RAUT, THAKUR, NAYAKA- NOT JUST TO KSHATRIYAS, RATHER OPEN TO ALL
 4. FEUDAL TITLES- OPEN TO EVEN ARTISANS
- INCREASING SOCIAL TENSIONS-
 - B) LOWER CASTES
 - POSITION OF SHUDRAS- ROSE BUT STILL UNTOUCHABILITY – PERSISTED

- NARADA PURANA- MENTIONS BEGINNINGS OF EXCLUSION OF SHUDRAS FROM PLACES OF WORSHIP
- BEGINNING OF CUSTOM- OF CHANDALAS CARRYING STICKS & MAKING NOISE BEFORE ENTERING AREAS

C) WOMEN-

- BEGINNINGS OF SATI
- RAJATARINGINI – MENTIONS IT IN CASE OF ROYAL FAMILIES

D) SECTARIAN TENSIONS-

- DIFFERENCE IN RITUALS, DRESS, FOOD HABITS- CAUSED SPLITS IN RELIGIONS, EG- BUDDHISTS SPLIT INTO 18 SECTS
- RIVALRY BETWEEN VIRASHAIVAS, LINGAYATS
- OFTEN SECTS BECAME CASTES
- COMPETITION OVER LAND GRANTS- OFTEN BECAME A REASON

E) RISE OF KAYASTHAS-

- PRODUCED THEIR OWN TENSIONS AS BRAHMINS LOST PRIVILEGE
- ATTESTED BY KSHEMENDRA

F) RURAL UNREST

- DAMARA REVOLT – KASHMIR
- KAIVARTA REVOLT- BENGAL

➤ AL BIRUNI'S KITAB UL HIND-

- WRITTEN IN ARABIC , 80 CHAPTERS, LUCID
- SUBJECTS = DIVERSE, RELIGION, PHILOSOPHY, SCIENCE , SOCIAL LIFE, LAWS, ICONOGRAPHY ETC
- REMARKABLE PRECISION + PREDICTABILITY

✓ STRUCTURE OF BOOK –

1. EACH CHAPTER BEGINNING WITH A QUESTION,
2. FOLLOWED BY A DESCRIPTION BASED UPON SANSKRITIC SOURCES
3. COMPARISON WITH OTHER CULTURES

- WAS AWARE ABOUT THE PROBLEM OF TRANSLATING FROM SANSKRIT
- LEARNT SANSKRIT + VARIOUS DIALECTS

✓ SOCIAL OBSERVANCES -

- I. VASTNESS + RICHNESS OF SOCIAL TRADITION , DEEPLY INFLUENCES BY SANSKRIT RELIGIOUS LITERATURE
- II. MULTIPLICITY OF RELIGIOUS/ SOCIAL BELIEFS
- III. INSULARITY + SELF ABSORPTION
- IV. CASTE – AGAINST LAWS OF NATURE .
- V. FOUND PARALLELS TO CASTE SYSTEM IN THE 4 FOLD GRADATION OF SOCIETY FOUND IN PERSIA
- VI. DIDNT ACCPET CASTE POLLUTION CONCEPT

➤ IBN BATTUTAH'S KITAB UL REHLA-

WAS 1 OF THE EARLIEST WORLD TRAVELLERS

BEFORE COMING TO INDIA HAD TRAVELLED TO MANY PARTS OF THE ISLAMIC WORLD – AFTER THAT HAD TRAVELLED UNTIL CHINA

✓ BATTUTAH ON TRADE & COMMERCE-

- INDIA – WELL INTEGRATED WITH THE ASIAN NETWORKS OF TRADE , BOTH SEA & LAND WAYS
- OVERLAND TRADE ROUTES - ALONG CENTRAL ASIA & NORTH WESTERN INDIA, CARRY – SPICES, METALS, SILK , COTTON ETC
- CARAVANS – SECURITY NEEDED, HE WAS ROBBED
- TRADING COMMUNITIES = MIX OF HINDU + MUSLIM – MULTANI, SINDHI
- DESCRIPTION OF MARKETS (BAZAARS) IN VARIOUS CITIES, DELHI+ DAULATABAD – WHERE GRAINS, FINE CLOTH, SLAVES WERE SOLD

BATTUTAH ON INDIAN CITIES-

- I. HUGE CITIES- DELHI, DAULATABAD
- II. COLOURFUL MARKETS + DENSELY POPULATED
- III. OPPORTUNITY AVAILABLE FOR ENTERPRISING, DRIVE, SKILLS. THAT IS – CASTE SYSTEM , DESCRIBED AS A FETTER WAS NOT SO STRONG IN TRADE & COMMERCE
- IV. SUGGESTS THAT TOWNS DERIVED THEIR WELATH THROUGH APPROPRIATION FROM VILLAGES

✓ ON SYSTEMS OF COMMUNICATION –

- MERCHANTS COULD - SEND INFORMATION, REMIT CREDIT, SEND GOODS AT SHORT NOTICE THROUGH THE REMARKABLY EFFICIENT POSTAL SYSTEM
- EG- WHILE IT TOOK 50 DAYS TO REACH DELHI FROM MULTAN, IT TOOK ONLY 5 DAYS FOR SPY REPORTS TO REACH THE SULTAN
- HORSE POST= ULUQ = DESCRIBED BY BATTUTAH
- STATE ESTABLISHED COMMUNICATION PATHWAYS, EVIDENT = SARAIS, HORSES AT REGULAR INTERVALS

EVOLUTION OF TEMPLES STYLES-

- GENERALLY NAGARA (NORTHERN) , DRAVIDA (SOUTHERN) & VESARA – A MIX OF BOTH
- HOWEVER- THESE DISTINCTIONS- NOT VERY CLEAR
- MANY TEXTS- ALSO SUBDIVIDE EACH STYLE INTO SUB REGIONS
- EG- THOSE OF CHALUKYAS – WHOSE KINGDOM WAS STRATEGICALLY SITUATED IN MID OF THE PENINSULA
- KANDARIYA MAHADEO TEMPLE- COMBINATION OF BOTH STYLES

EVOLUTION CAN BE ANALYSED IN 3 WAYS-

4. SHAPES, PLANS-

- MANY ASPECTS ARE COMMON BUT EACH INTERPRETED DIFFERENTLY IN EACH PLACE
- VIMANA, SHIKHARA- COMMON. HOWEVER- NATMANDAPA- DANCING HALL & BHOGAMANDAPA- EVOLVED IN SUBSEQUENT ODISHAN TEMPLES
- SOUTHERN ONES- ADDED- IMMENSE GOPURAMS OR GATES
- NORTHERN TEMPLES - DON'T HAVE A PYRAMIDAL VIMANA AS THE SOUTH

5. ECOLOGICAL SETTINGS, RAW MATERIALS-

- BENGAL, SOUTH- SLANTING ROOF – TO LET WATER DOWN
- USE OF WOOD – TO KEEP OFF SNOW- IN HIMALAYAN REACHES
- USE OF STONE- IN WEST & SOUTH , WHEREAS EAST – USE MUD BRICKS OR TERRACOTTA

6. ROLE OF DECORATIVE ELEMENTS-

- USE OF DECORATIVE PILLARS- FROM SIMPLE ONES IN PALLAVA TIMES TO CHISELLED ONES- HOYSALA
- PAVILLION , EAVES- DECORATIVE WINDOWS- GUJARAT , RAJASTHAN STYLES
- DETAILED ORNAMENTATION- SOUTHERN ONES – PRADAKSHINA PATH.

➤ TEMPLES & CULTURAL ETHOS IN EARLY MEDIEVAL INDIA-

TEMPLES WERE ASSOCIATED WITH VARIOUS ACTIVITIES OF THE COMMUNITY

✓ CONGREGATION-

- DURING VARIOUS FESTIVALS
- EG- ANNUAL RATHAYATRA OR TIRUPATI
- EXCHANGE OF IDEAS, VIEWS

✓ ECONOMIC ACTIVITY-

- NEEDED VARIOUS ITEMS- WOOD, INCENSE STICKS, GHEE IN A DAILY BASIS
- ECONOMIC ACTIVITY – MARKETS SPRANG UP NEAR TEMPLES
- GAVE LIVELIHOODS TO PEOPLE

✓ POLITICAL-

- KINGS, PRINCES ZAMJNDARS- CAME TO SEEK BLESSINGS

✓ EDUCATION-

- GENERAL EDUCATION- WAS IMP
- ENDOWMENTS- TO TEMPLES- SPECIFICALLY FORMESTB OF COLLEGES WHICH WERE WITHIN TEMPLE COMPLEXES
- HERE – VEDAS , PURANAS, EPICS- TAUGHT

✓ MUSIC & DANCE

- MUSIC & DANCE FORMED PART OF DAILY RITUAL OF TEMPLES
- EG- ILLUSTRATIONS AT KHAJURAHO
- INSTITUTION OF - DEVADASIS

➤ SCULPTURES IN EARLY MEDIEVAL INDIA

CHARACTERISED BY MEDIEVAL FACTOR - AS DESCRIBED BY ART CRITIC NIHAR RANJAN RAY

, FEATURES-

- VII. SLENDERNESS**
- VIII. EMPHASIS - SHARP ANGLES**
- IX. ROUNDNESS REPLACED BY FLATNESS**

- **PIVOT- HUMAN FIGURES- IN REPRESENTATIONS OF GOD'S, GODDESSES**
 - **CLIMAX OF ART NOT REACHED IN ALL REGIONS SIMULTANEOUSLY- BIHAR, BENGAL- 8TH OR 9TH CENTURIES, ODISHA- 10TH OR 11TH**
 - **OTHER SUBJECTS- ORDINARY DAILY SCENES, NARRATIVE RELIEFS, MUSIC & DANCE SCENES, AMOROUS COUPLE, SHALABHANJIKAS**
 - **METAL IMAGES – OCT ALLOY- ASHTA DHATU – IN EASTERN INDIA**
- ✓ **IMPACT OF FEUDALISM IN SCULPTURE-**
- **FEUDAL TENDENCIES REFLECTED IN SCULPTURES**
 - **SPREME DEITIES- DURGA, SHIVA – LORD OVER OTHER DEITIES WHO ARE SMALLER, INSIGNIFICANT ,**
 - **OTHER DEITIES REPRESENTED AS- ATTENDANTS, RETAINERS**
- ✓ **HOW IS ART BEING FEUDALISED?**
- **PRE GUPTA ART- SANCHI, BHARHUT, AMRAVATI- PATRONIZED BY- MERCHANTS, ARTISANS ETC**
 - **GUPTA ART – SHOWS RENEWED ZEAL OF BRAHMANISM**
 - **POST GUPTA PERIOD (650- 1300 CE) - PATRONS- MILITARY CHIEFS, KINGS ETC**
 - **LARGE SCALE ART ACTIVITIES - COULD ONLY BE SPONSORED BY THEM**
 - **TERRACOTA ART- WHICH ONCE – SYMBOLIZED COMMON MAN – NOW BECAME PRESERVE OF THE ELITES**
- **PAINTINGS & TERRACOTTAS-**
- **PAINTINGS- CAVE PAINTINGS- AJANTA, ELLORA, ELEPHANTA, SITTANAVASAL**
 - **MANUSCRIPTS- BIHAR, BENGAL, TIBET**
- ✓ **COMMON FEATURES-**
- I. SHARP ANGLES**
 - II. SENSOUS FACIAL FEATURES**
 - III. RICH MOTIFS**
 - IV. DECORATION- GEOMETRIC**
- ✓ **TERRACOTAS-**
- **ONCE , AN EXPRESSION OF COMMON MAN, PRODUCED FOR MARKET**

- NOW- ONLY FOR RICH PATRONS- RICH LANDLORDS, TEMPLES
- EVIDENT IN THE WAY- THEY WERE PRESERVED IN IMPORTANT CITIES & RELIGIOUS CENTRES- EG- VIKRAMASHILA (BIHAR), AKHNUR, USKAR(KASHMIR)

➤ EDUCATION & LEARNING-

✓ CENTRES OF EDUCATION-

- POST GUPTA ERA- CONCENTRATION OF EDUCATIONAL CENTRES WITH RELIGIOUS ESTABLISHMENTS- VIHARAS, MATHAS, TEMPLES ETC
- FAME OF – MITHILA (NORTH BIHAR), NADIA (BENGAL) , KASHI – SPREAD
- SOURCES - STUDENTS CAME FROM BENGAL TO STUDY IN MATHS OF KASHMIR
- MONASTERIES- NALANDA, VIKRAMSHILA, ODDANTAPURI (BIHAR), SOMAPURA (BENGAL), VALLABHI (GUJARAT)

✓ TEMPLES LIBRARIES-

- CONCEPT OF TEMPLE LIBRARIES- EVOLVED DURING THIS TIME- JAINAS
- ESPOUSAL OF SHASTRADANA(GIFT OF RELIGIOUS TEXTS) – LED TO FILLING OF BHANDARAS(STORE HOUSES) , EG- PATAN (GUJARAT) JAISALMER

✓ TANTRA-

- BECAME POPULAR- FULL FLEDGED DEPTH OF TANTRA – UNIVERSITY OF VIKRAMSHILA
- TIBETAN TRAVELLER – TARANTHA- TOOK TANTRIK CURRICULA- VIKRAMSHILA

✓ REGIONAL LANGUAGE-

- AL BIRUNI- UPPER CASTE SPOKE SANSKRIT, REST- VERNACULARS
- DIFFERENTIATION OF APABHRAMSHA- INTO PROTO HINDI, MARATHI, BENGALI
- 8TH CENTURY TEXTS- SPEAK OF 18 APABHRAMSHAS- ALL OVER INDIA

✓ REGIONAL SCRIPTS-

- FROM MAURYA – GUPTA AGE- BRAHMI SCRIPT- MAIN
- POST 7TH CENTURY – DIFFERENT SCRIPTS EMERGED
- DUE TO –
 - I. REGIONAL INSULATION
 - II. AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL EDUCATED PPL

✓ LITERARY OUTPUT

- PROLIFIC LITERARY OUTPUT- PHILOSOPHY, LOGIC, LEGAL TEXTS
- ORNATE STYLE- USING OF POMPOUS ADJECTIVES, SIMILIES ETC

- KAVYAS- SHLESHA KAVYAS PRODUCED- WORKS CONTAIN 2 DIFFERENT SENSES - WHEN READ
- EG- RAMCHARITA OF SANDHYAKAR NANDI- STORY OF RAMA & SITA & STORY OF PRINCE RAMPALA OF PALA DYNASTY .

➤ LOCAL CHRONICLES & ERAS-

A) CHRONICLES-

- EG- RAJATARINGINI (KASHMIR), CHINTAMANI, RASMALA (GUJARAT)
- HIUEN TSANG- STATED THAT EACH STATE CONTAINED ROYAL CHRONICLES – CAN BE TRUE

B) LOCAL ERAS

- PREVIOUSLY- SAKA VIKRAM SAM AT ERAS
- NOW- BHASKARVARMAN OF ASSAM- BHASKARABDA
- JAINS- MAHAVIRA SAMVAT

➤ RELIGIOUS TRENDS-

- FEUDAL SYSTEM- FARMERS, AGRICULTURALISTS- DEPENDED ON FAVOUR OF LORD- SIMILAR- SURRENDER TO GOD & RECEIVE THEIR FAVOUR- PUJA SYSTEM
- BHAKTI SYSTEM- COMPLETE SURRENDER TO GOD

✓ TANTRICISM-

- AROSE- AREAS PERIPHERALS TO MADHYADESHA
- INTERACTION OF BRAHMANISM WITH TRIBAL CULTURE
- CULT OF MOTHER GODDES, TRIBAL DEITIES BEING INCORPORATED

❖ MEDIEVAL INDIAN HISTORY-

➤ WAS VIJAYNAGARA A WAR STATE?

- NILKANTH SHASTRI THINKS- WAR STATE , THATNIS EXISTED SOLELY FOR THE PURPOSE OF WAR
- EVIDENCE-
 - I. STATEMENT OF A VIJAYNAGAR RULER – SAID THAT- 1 PART OF REVENUES FOR AGRIL, 2 PARTS (ie- HALF) , REMAINDER FOR EMERGENCY
 - II. ALSO LAID EMPHASIS ON AMARAM SYSTEM
- HOWEVER-
 - WAR STATE ONLY IN SENSE THAT EVERY MEDIEVAL RULER HAD TO BE PREPARED FOR WAR
 - ALSO- AMARAMS WERE HELD BY TRADITIONAL LANDED ELITES- NAYAKAS
 - NAYAKAS- DIDN'T HOLD LAND AT THE PLEASURE OF THE RULER
 - NAYAKAS- COULDN'T BE TRANSFERRED LIKE IQTA HOLDERS
- ✓ CONCLUSION-
 - YES, MANY WARS TOOK PLACE
 - EMPHASIS IN A STRONG, CENTRALISED ARMY- HOWEVER REALITY OF TIMES
 - MANY CULTURAL , SOCIAL ACTIVITIES WITHIN EMPIRE TOO
 - HENCE- NOT A WAR STATE IN THE SENSE IT CONVEYS

➤ WAS VIJAYNAGARA A CENTRALISED STATE OR A LOOSE CONFEDERATION ?

- NAYAKAS – SEMI INDEPENDENT , RULED OWN AREAS
- HELD A TERRITORY- AMARAM
- HOWEVER- UNLIKE IQTA- NOT SLAVES, COULDN'T BE TRANFERRED
- SOURCE- 200 NAYAKAS
- VERY LIKELY - IN OUTLYING AREAS- NOMINAL CONTROL

- HOWEVER- NOT A LOOSE CONFEDERATION
- WHY? TAXES BURDEN HEAVY & COLLECTED STRINGENTLY
- NAYAKAS - CONTROLLED TILL THE END
- MAINTAIN- STRONG , CENTRALISED ARMY

➤ WAS VIJAYNAGARA A HUB OF HINDU ORTHODOXY?

- SUGGESTED BECAUSE OF- HUGE TEMPLES(VITHHALASWAMI, LOTUS TEMPLES)
- LOT OF TIME- REPAIRING TEMPLES, MATHAS
- ALSO GAVE REVENUE FREE GRANTS TO BRAHMINS, GAVE THEM MILITARY COMMANDS

✓ HOWEVER-

- EMPLOYED MUSLIMS IN ARMY
- GENERAL GOOD RELATION BETWEEN HINDUS & MUSLIMS
- THEMSELVES SHAIVAITES- TOLERANT TOWARDS OTHER SECTS
- PATRONISED JAINS
- BRAHMIN PATRONAGE- POLITICAL MOVE TO COUNTER WEAIGN INFLUENCE OF KSHATRIYA NAYAKAS
- CHRISTIAN MISSIONARIES ALLOWED TO PREACH
- OCCASIONAL- INTOLERANCE, MASSACRE OF MUSLIM POPULATION IN 1469 DURING WAR

➤ VIJAYNAGARA- BUILT UPON EARLIER IMPERIAL TRADITIONS?

- THE AREAS UNDER VIJAYNAGAR = EARLIER OCCUOIED BY CHOLA, HOYSALAS
- POWERFUL STATES – OWN RULING SYSTEM, MECHANISM FOR RESOURCE EXTRACTION, ADMINISTRATION, SOCIAL SYSTEMS ETC
- ADMINISTRATIVE SET UP – MUCH LIKE EARLIER
- ART & ARCHITECTURE = VIJAYNAGARA CONTINUED – ASSOCIATED WITH TEMPLE & GODS
- MADE CHANGES TOO- RAYA GOPURAMS, KALYAN MANDAPAS
- CLAIMED TO RULE ON BEHALF OF VIRUPAKSHA

➤ VIJAYANAGAR & TRADE –

- NOTED FOR MARKETS IN SPICES , TEXTILES, PRECIOUS STONES
- IMPORT OF HORSES = LOCAL MERCHANTS CALLED KUDIRAI CHETTI . LATER PORTUGUESE CAME INTO THIS BUSINESS
- TRADE = OFTEN A STATUS SYMBOL- FOR WEALTHY POPULATION
- REVENUE FROM TRADE BOOSTED PROSPERITY OF KINGDOM

➤ WATER RESOURCES IN VIJAYNAGARA-

P.S= CAN BE USED IN DIFFERENT WAYS IN WATER & CANAL SYSTEM IN SOUTH INDIA AS A WHOLE

- VIJAYNAGARA= SITUATED ON BASIN OF TUNGABHADRA RIVER
- EMBANKMENTS BUILT TO STORE WATER+ CARRY IT TO CITY
- EG- KAMALAPURAM TANK + HIRIYA CANAL = BOTH CARRIED WATER TO MAIN CITY
- AS THE AREA = ARID , GROUND STONY = HENCE TANK IRRIGATION = SUITABLE

➤ FORTIFICATIONS & ROAD-

- ABDUR RAZZAQ – MENTIONED 7 LINES OF FORTIFICATIONS
- NO MORTAR OR CEMENT USED ANYWHERE
- SIGNIFICANTLY = BETWEEN THE FORTIFICATION THERE WERE CULTIVATED FIELD, GARDENS, HOUSES = CORROBORATED BY ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVIDENCE
- WHY? TO SURVIVE AGAINST SIEGES WHICH COULD LAST ANYWHERE BETWEEN MONTHS & YEARS – VIJAYNAGARA RULERS TOOK EXTRA CARE TO PROTECT AGRICULTURAL PROPERTY + RESOURCES

➤ ARCHITECTURE OF VIJAYNAGARA-

- SPLENDID EXAMPLE – MAHANAVAMI DIBBA- 11,000 SQUARE FEET WIDTH, 40 FEET HIGH – USED FOR HOLDING MAHANAVAMI FESTIVAL
- CEREMONIES = WORSHIP OF IMAGES,, HORSE, BUFFALO SACRIFICE
- HAZARA DRAMA TEMPLE= INTRICATE RELIEF CARVINGS OF GODS, EVENTS, RURAL LIFE ETC = FOR USE OF ONLY THE ROYAL FAMILY
- NEW STYLE OF RAYA GOPURAMS- TALLER THAN TEMPLE TOWERS, SIGNALLING PRESENCE OF TEMPLE FROM AFAR
- KALYAN MANDAPA= MARRIAGE OF GODS
- ELEPHANT STABLES – PANORAMA OF SOCIAL LIFE

➤ VIJAYNAGARA- ASSOCIATING WITH THE DIVINE

3 WAYS-

- A. TEMPLE BUILDING - SINCE TIME OF CHOLAS ASSOCIATED WITH CONNECTION TO DIVINE. DEITIES + WORSHIP OFTEN CORRECTED WITH OBEYING KING .
- B. GRANT OF LAND + MATERIAL PRESENTS = WIN SUPPORT OF CLERGY + DIVINE SANCTION OF RULE
- C. VIJAYANAGAR KINGS = CLAIMED TO RULE ON BEHALF OF SHRI VIRUPAKSHA , ALL ROYAL ORDERS SIGNED THAT WAY

➤ KRISHNA DEVA RAYA-

- CAME TO THRONE- AFTER A PERIOD OF CIVIL WAR
- HAD TO RE ESTABLISH ORDER

✓ MILITARY

- HAD TO CONTEND- GAJAPATI RULERS OF ORISSA & BAHMANI
- DEFEATED BOTH- RECOVERED TERRITORIES
- HOWEVER- PAID LITTLE ATTENTION TO DEVELOPMENT OF NAVY- WHICH COULD HAVE BEEN USED AGAINST PORTUGUESE

✓ CULTURAL-

- PATRON OF – TELEGU , KANNADA LITERATURE
- HIMSELF- ACCOMPLISHED WRITER IN TELEGU, AUTHOR OF AMUKTYAMALYADA
- COURT HAD 8 WRITERS, CALLED ASHTADIGGAJA
- FAMOUS AMONGST THEM WAS- PEDDANA- WHO WAS LATER CALLED - FATHER OF TELEGU

✓ ADMINISTRATION

- ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISIONS- RAJYAS(PROVINCES), NADU (DISTRICTS), STHALA(SUB DISTRICTS), GRAMA(VILLAGES)
- DOMINGO PAES- ATTESTS THAT THE CONCERN OF THE KING FOR THE WELFARE OF HIS SUBJECTS WAS LEGENDARY
- ANNUAL TOURS UNDERTAKEN- TO SURVEY THE KINGDOM
- BUILT- TANKS FOR IRRIGATION
- BARBOSA, PAES, NIKITIN- ATTEST TO GOOD QUALITY OF ADMINISTRATION

✓ CONCLUSION-

- EFFICIENT RULER
- HIGH WATER MARK OF VIJAYANAGARA EMPIRE- AS A POLITICAL POWER
- HOWEVER- WEAK SUCCESSORS- LED TO DECAY IN ADMINISTRATION
- HOWEVER- TOO MANY ENEMIES- WHO JOINED TOGETHER- BANIHATTI, 1565

➤ VIJAYANAGARA VILLAGE ADMINISTRATION -

- VIJAYNAGARA- GREATER CENTRALIZATION
- HENCE- VILLAGE AUTONOMY – SUFFERED
- AS VILLAGE AUTONOMY SUFFERED- LED TO RISE OF A SET OF PEOPLE WHO SPECIALISED IN LENDING IRRIGATION FACILITIES TO PEOPLE FOR A FEE
- RISE OF NAYAKS- WHO WERE LOCAL LANDED MAGNATES- AND HENCE LOCAL AUTONOMY SUFFERED
- LAND TAXES, PROFESSION TAXES, TAXES ON SALE, MILITARY CONTRIBUTION- HENCE TAX BURDEN WAS INCREASED

➤ ROLE OF TEMPLES IN VIJAYNAGARA-

N.B- (CAN BE CLUBBED WITH GENERAL IDEAS ABOUT ROLE OF TEMPLES IN EARLY MEDIEVAL INDIA TOO)

- TEMPLES - EMERGED AS IMPORTANT PLAYERS IN RURAL, POLITICAL LIFE

✓ ECONOMIC

- DONATIONS- GIVEN BY LAITY, MERCHANTS, KINGS, PRINCES ETC
- BECAME RICH- INVESTED IN TRADE, COMMERCE- LENDING ON INTEREST ETC

- **TEMPLES- ALSO GAVE IMPETUS TO A HOST OF INDUSTRIES, EG- CANDLES, INCENSE STICKS, GHEE, SWEETS, RELIGIOUS ARTIFACTS.**
- **EMPLOYED MANY PEOPLE, GAVE RISE TO MARKETS**

✓ **POLITICAL-**

- **KINGS CAME TO PRAY, WANTED HELP OF PRIESTS TO LEGITIMIZE & BLESS**
- **VICE VERSA RELATIONS**

✓ **CULTURAL-**

- **SYSTEMATIZED DOCTRINES- SHAIVA, VISHNU**
- **ALSO- GAVE IMPETUS TO ARCHITECTURE, DRAVIDA, VESARA**
- **TEMPLE ARCHITECTURE- WAS CONSIDERED TO BE PRESTIGIOUS**
- **ALSO- TEMPLE DANCES, PERFORMANCE- EG- BHARATANATYAM. MOHINIATTAM**

➤ **FIRUZ SHAH BAHMANI-**

✓ **PERSONAL QUALITIES-**

- **WAS ACQUAINTED- WITH ISLAMIC LAW & THEOLOGY**
- **ALSO- NATURAL SCIENCES SUCH AS BOTANY, ZOOLOGY**
- **POET , COMPOSED VERSES EXTEMPORE**
- **LEARNT- ARABIC, PERSIAN ,TELEGU, KANNADA**
- **READ OLD & NEW TESTAMENTS, HELD WIDE VIEW**

✓ **CULTURAL EFFORTS-**

- **WAS DETERMINED TO MAKE DECCAN THE CULTURAL CAPITAL OF INDIA**
- **INVITED- PEOPLE FROM IRAN, IRAQ. HELPED BY FSCT- DELHI SULTANATE WEAK & LEARNED MEN CAME FROM THERE**

✓ **SOCIAL**

- **DIDNT IMPOSE JAZIYAH- ON HINDUS**
- **INDUCTED DECCANI BRAHMINS ON A LARGE SCALE INTO ADMINISTRATION**
- **PROVIDED BALANCE AGAINST FOREIGN OFFICIALS, CALLED- AFAQIS**

✓ **ECONOMIC**

- **PAID EFFORTS- TO IMPROVE PORTS ON WESTERN COASTS, CHAUL, DABHOL ETC**
- **THESE PORTS- IMPROVRD TRADE- PERSIAN GULF, WEST ASIA**

✓ OTHERS-

- WAS DEFEATED IN SOME BATTLES- POSITION WEAKENED
- HAD TO ABDICATE IN FAVOUR OF YOUNGER BROTHER- AHMED SHAH I

➤ REFORMS OF MAHMUD GAWAN-

✓ NOBILITY-

- AIMED AT CURTAILING POWER OF NOBLES
- OLD PROVINCES ORGANISED - SUB DIVIDED FROM 4 TO 8
- EACH FORT'S GOVERNOR APPOINTED BY SULTAN
- SALARIES- PAID REGULARLY & EITHER IN CASH OR BY ASSIGNING OF A TRACT OF LAND
- IN EVERY PROVINCE- TRACT OF LAND SET APART – FOR KHALISA

✓ CULTURAL -

- GREAT PATRON OF ARTS- ESTABLISHED A MADRASSA IN BIDAR
- COLOURED TOILES- VERY BEAUTIFUL
- 3 STOREYS, FOODM & LODGINGS FOR 1000 STUDENTS & TEACHERS
- MANY PEOPLE CAME FROM IRAN, IRAQ

- ALSO- TRIED TO MAINTAIN HARMONY BETWEEN NEWCOMERS & OLD ONES

➤ BIJAPUR'S CONTRIBUTION-

- STABLE GOVT IN SOUTH

✓ ADMINISTRATIVE

- SOUND ADMINISTRATION- FOLLOWED SUCCESSOR STATES
- GOOD TAX COLLECTION – REFLECTED IN RESOURCEFUL ARMY

✓ SOCIAL

- THROUGH MUSLIM- NO DISCRIMINATION BETWEEN COMMUNITIES
- FIRUZ BAHMANI- INDUCTED MANY HINDUS INTO ARMY , ADMINISTRATION
- GAVE IMPETUS TO TELEGU & KANNADA LANGUAGE

✓ CULTURAL-

- BRIDGE BETWEEN SOUTH & NORTH
- UNIQUE CULTURE- MIX OF NORTH & IRANIAN, TURKISH INFLUENCE

- MANY PEOPLE CAME FROM NORTH – FOLLOWING WEAKENING OF DELHI SULTANATE
- DAKHINI URDU & HYDERABAD CULTURE
- UNIQUE ARCHITECTURE- GOL GUMBAZ, CHAR MINAR
- ALSO- SUFI THOUGHT- AHMED SHAH (BAHMANI SULTAN) CONSIDERED A SAINT BY PEOPLE
- HIS URS IS CELEBRATED TILL DATE

➤ CULTURAL CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE DECCAN STATES-

✓ RULERS-

BIJAPUR-

- ALI ADIL SHAH II- ABLA BABA- FRIEND OF POOR- SET AN EXAMPLE OF KINGLY CONDUCT
- KITAB UP NAURAS- SONGS SET TO TUNE- INVOKED SARASWATI
- HINDU- MUSLIM UNITY- HINDUS TO PROMINENT POSTS

GOLCONDA-

- QULI QUTB SHAH- WROTE POETRY- URDU, PERSIAN
- EXTENSIVE- DIWAN OR COLLECTION

✓ LANGUAGE-

- URDU IN DAKHINI FORM
- MIX- PERSIAN, ARABIC, TELUGU ETC

✓ ARCHITECTURE-

- CHARM- MINAR- GOLCONDA , GOL GUMBAZ- BIJAPUR

✓ PAINTINGS-

- INFLUENCE OF PERSIAN STYLES
- INFLUENCE OF EARLIER SULTANATE STYLE + ABSORB LOCAL ONES

➤ CARTAZE SYSTEM-

- PERMIT- GIVEN BY THE PORTUGUESE RULERS
- ONLY THOSE- WHO HAD CARTAZES COULD TRADE
- ONLY THOSE COMMODITIES THAT WERE ALLOWED BY THE PORTUGUESE
- (WE CAN MENTION THE MEDITERRANEAN TRADITION OF SEA TRADE WHICH COMBINED MONOPOLY WITH ARMS & COERCION)

✓ HOW WOULD CARTAZE WORK?

- PORTUGUESE- WOULD VESSELS GOING TO MALACCAS OR PERSIAN GULF - TO USE GOA
- WOULD THEN CHARGE TOLLS
- PORTUGUESE - WOULD BOARD VESSELS & WOULD SEIZE VESSELS CARRYING “ CONTRABAND “ GOODS ACCORDING TO THEM

✓ DID IT WORK?

- NO- MERCHANTS WHOSE TRADE WERE DISRUPTED ON SEA WOULD ASK THEIR RULERS TO TAKE ACTION
- ALSO LONG COASTLINE – IMPOSSIBLE TO PATROL
- INDIGENOUS MERCHANTS – FOUND WAYS TO AVOID THE CARTAZE

✓ HENCE SOON-

- CARTAZE RULES WERE RELAXED
- LOCAL OFFICIALS FOUND IT AS A GREAT SOURCE OF CORRUPTION

➤ CAUSES FOR LIMITED IMPACT OF PORTUGUESE UPON INDIAN OCEAN TRADE

- THE MULTI COMMODITY & FLEXIBLE NATURE OF INDIAN OCEAN TRADE
- EXPERIENCE OF INDIGENOUS BUSINESSES
- NAVAL STRENGTH OF TURKEY, BIJAPUR
- WORKING OF CARTAZE SYSTEM
- SMALL HUMAN RESOURCES AVAILABLE TO THE PORTUGUESE COMPANY

➤ IMPACT OF PORTUGUESE-

- II. CONTACT OF INDIA WITH WORLD
- III. NEW CROPS- RED CHILLIES , POTATO
- IV. NEW TECHNIQUE OF SHIP BUILDING- COCHIN
- V. ENDED INDIA'S INWARD LOOKING TENDENCY- INDIA'S# INTROSPECTIVENESS- CALLED BY KIRTI CHOUDHARY

➤ **MILITARY REFORMS OF BALBAN-**

- TRIED TO REORGANISE ARMY THAT REPORTED DIRECTLY TO HIM
- EXPERIENCED & BRAVE NAIBS/ SARDARS RECRUITED
- REFORM IN IQTA SYSTEM- TO AWARD LANDS IN DOAB TO THE DESERVING
- CONSTANT HUNTING EXPEDITIONS- TO KEEP ARMY IN A STATE OF READINESS

ISSUES FACED-

- MONGOL MENACE- CENTRAL ASIAN ROUTE CLOSED
 - HENCE- CENTRAL ASIAN HORSES- STOPPED- INDIAN HORSES BOUGHT
 - RECRUITMENT OF SLAVES- STOPPED
 - MILITARY RANKS- FILLED WITH INDIAN CONVERTS & HINDUS
-
- BALBAN HAD AN EXPERIENCED ARMY AT COMMAND
 - YET- NO INVASION OF NEIGHBOURING STATES
 - WHY? – MONGOL THREAT, NEED TO KEEP PEACE

➤ **EVALUATION OF MOHAMMED BIN TUGHLAQ AS A RULER-**

- FAULTS- HOT TEMPERED, HASTY, TOO EXTREME
- NOT THINKING THINGS THROUGH

HOWEVER-

- BEQUEATHED A LARGE EMPIRE, BUREAUCRACY TO SUCCESSOR
- TOKEN CURRENCY- AHEAD OF TIMES
- SHOWED THE WAY- FOR STATE SPONSORED EFFORTS FOR AGRICULTURAL EXPANSION

- TRIED- UNDERSTAND DIFFERENT RELIGIONS, SECTS, SCHOOLS OF THOUGHT
- TRIED- LAY FOUNDATIONS OF A HETEROGENEOUS RULING CLASS WITHOUT DISTINCTIONS OF RELIGION/ CASTE/ CLASS ETC

➤ WELFARE POLICY OF FIRUZ TUGHLAQ-

- DECREED THAT PUNISHMENTS WONT BE GIVEN & BLOOD WONT BE SHED UNLESS IN JUST CAUSE
- BELIEVED- THE PRESTIGE OF ADMINISTRATION CAN BE MAINTAINED WITHOUT RECOURSE TO PUNISHMENTS
- FIRUZ- DESTROYED DOCS- WHICH HAD DEBTS FROM MBT'S TIME- 2 CRORE TANKAS
- RESTORE- INAM LANDS TO THEOLOGIANS, LEARNED ETC
- ABOLISHED TAXES- NOT SANCTIONED BY SHARA
- NEW VALUATION PREPARED WHICH WAS BASED UPON ACTUAL PRODUCE & NOT MEASUREMENT
- NEW PUBLIC WORKS- REPAIR OF MANY MOSQUES, MADRASSAS
- MANY PUBLIC WORKS- CANAL HISSAR FIRUZA, MANY CITIES- HISSAR (HR), FAIZABAD(UP)

➤ FIRUZ'S CONCEPT OF DEVELOPMENT-

- FIRUZ BUILT- CITY OF HISSAR
- BUILT CANALS- TRANSPORT WATER FROM SUTLEJ , YAMJINA
- PREVIOUSLY- ARID AREA, NOWM- 2 CROPS CPULD BE GROWN
- CANALS BUILT- LED TO ENTIRE TRACTS OF AREA BEING RENDERED FERTILE
- FIRUZABAD- FOUNDED

- MANY DAMS WERE ALSO BUILT TO INCREASE IRRIGATION
- THESE LED TO – CREATION OF GARDENS AROUND DELHI
- ACCORDING TO SOURCES- FIRUZ HAD PLANTED NEARLY 1200 GARDENS IN DELHI
- INCOME- RS 1.9 LAKH

- ✓ TOWNS BUILT-
- HISSAR, FEROZEPUR,
- ALSO- BUILT - FIRUZABAD- ONLY FEROZE SHAH KOTLA REMAINS

- HIS TOWN REFLECTED- FELT NEEDS- THESE VILLAGES HAD DEVELOPED- NEEDED MARKET TOWN (QASBA) – TO MARKET PRODUCE
- 120,000 SLAVES WERE SENT IN THESE TOWNS- FOR PROMOTION OF ARTS, HANDICRAFTS

THUS-

- FIRUZ'S CONCEPT OF DEVELOPMENT - BOTH AGRICULTURAL & URBAN

➤ FIRUZ'S RELIGIOUS POLICY-

- DEVOUT MUSLIM- FOLLOWED ISLAMIC PRACTICES
- HOWEVER- ADAPTED HIMSELF – CHAMPION OF ORTHODOXY TO STRENGTHEN HIS POSITION

INSTANCES-

- MADE JIZYAH- SEPARATE TAX- PREVIOUSLY COLLECTED AS A PART OF LAND TAX
- BURNT- BRAHMIN- ACCUSED OF CONVERTING A MUSLIM
- DESTROYED SOME TEMPLES- AS SOME NEW ONES HAD BEEN BUILT

WAS FIRUZ 'S TIME OF INCREASING INTOLERANCE?

- NO- LARGEST NO OF TRANSLATED SANSKRIT WORKS – FROM THIS PERIOD
- GAVE- RESPECT TO HINDU CHIEFS VISITING IN COURT
- ESTB- DEPTT- HAJIB- E --HINDUAN- TO DEAL WITH PROBLEMS OF HINDUS
- RELIGION- USED ONLY AS A TOOL

➤ APPROACHES TO NOBILITY-

- VII. BASED UPON RACIAL AFFILIATIONS- BALBAN
- VIII. BASED UPON PERSONAL LOYALTY BACKED BY SPIES- ALAUDDIN
- IX. BASED ON DISPERSED LOYALTY- MOHAMMED BIN TUGHLAQ
- X. BASED ON PRINCIPLE OF HEREDITY- FIRUZ TUGHLAQ

➤ KARKHANAS UNDER THE SULTANATE-

- KARKHANAS- OR ROYAL STORES
- RESPONSIBLE FOR MANUFACTURING + STORING ITEMS REQUIRED BY SULTAN & ROYAL HOUSEHOLD
- INCLUDES-
 - ✓ FOOD, FODDER

- ✓ LAMPS, OIL
- ✓ CLOTHES, FURNITURE, TENTS
- ✓ DAILY ITEMS
 - THE ROYAL ROBES- GIVEN BY MUHAMMAD BIN TUGHLAQ – TO NOBLES TWICE A YEAR- WERE MANUFACTURED HERE
 - THUS- GAVE IMPETUS TO MANUFACTURING CAPABILITY IN SULTANATE DOMAINS
 - FIRUZ- TOOK PERSONAL INTEREST IN IT
 - POSTED MANY OF HIS SLAVES- IN IT
 - THEY BECAME MASTER ARTISANS IN IT- SUPERVISED BY A HIGH RANKING NOBLE
- ✓ ASSISTED BY- ACCOUNTANTS
 - REPORTEDLY- 4000 SILK WORKERS WERE EMPLOYED IN THE KARKHANAS

➤ **METALLURGY IN INDIA-**

- BRONZE GIRL OF HARAPPA
- STONE PILLAR OF MEHRAULI- NO RUST IN NEARLY 2 MILLENIA
- INDIAN SWORDS FAMOUS ALL OVER THE WORLD
- INTRICATE GOLD COINS OF GUPTAS, INDO GREEKS
- CHOLA, PALA BRONZES
- SULTANATE COINAGE
- PATRONAGE OF LOCAL RULERS WHO COMMISSIONED – PIECES OF RELIGIOUS SIGNIFICANCE TO ADORN HOMES, PALACES

➤ **WHY DID INDIA HAVE A SPECIAL POSITION IN ASIAN & AFRICAN TRADE?**

INDIA- EMERGED AS THE WORKSHOP FOR ASIA & EASTERN AFRICA-

- WELL ESTABLISHED AGRICULTURE
- SKILLED CRAFTSMEN
- STRONG TRADITIONS OF MANUFACTURING
- EXPERIENCED & SPECIALISED CLASS OF MERCHANTS

✓ **HOW DID THE TURKS CONTRIBUTE TO INDIAN TRADE?**

- LED TO GROWTH OF TOWNS

- GROWTH OF MONEY ECONOMY

THIS LEAD TO-

- IMPROVED COMMUNICATIONS
- WELL DEVELOPED CURRENCY SYSTEM
- RE ACTIVATION OF OVERLAND ROUTES TO CENTRAL ASIA & BEYOND

➤ CITIES THAT GREW DURING TURKISH RULE-

- APART FROM DELHI-
- DAULATABAD, MULTAN, LAHORE, KARA(NEAR ALLAHABAD), LAKHNAUTI, JAUNPUR, HISSAR

➤ 2 ASPECTS OF BHAKTI-

1. SURRENDER TO GOD - BASED UPON DEVOTEE – MASRER RELATIONS, EG – SOUTH INDIAN NAYANARS, ALVARS
2. BOND BASED UPON LOVE- BASED UPON EQUALITY

➤ SANT KABIR

- LEGEND – SON OF A BRAHMIN BIT RAISED AS A MUSLIM
- AT KASHI- STRONGLY INFLUENCED BY NATH PANTHIS
- BELIEFS-
 - A. NO IDOL WORHSIP
 - B. NO FASTING, HOLY PILGRIMAGES
 - C. NO REQUIREMENT ABANDON DOMESTIC LIFE & BECOME AN ASCETIC
 - D. BOTH RELIGIONS- SAME PATH TO THE SAME THING
- KABIR- SEVERELY CRITICISES RELIGIOUS LEADERS OF BOTH HINDUS, MUSLIMS- MISLEADING MASSES
- LED HIM TO QUESTION SOCIAL ORDER

CONCLUSION-

- BOTH RELIGIONS CONTINUED IN THEIR SAME WAY
- NO DENT- CASTE SYSTEM

- HOWEVER- KABIR SHOULD BE SEEN IN A WIDER CONTEXT- CREATED A CLIMATE OF OPINION WHICH WAS CONTINUED THROUGHOUT THE AGES
- KABIR- TODAY HAS BECOME A SYMBOL OF EQUALITY, AGAINST HYPOCRISY OF RELIGIOUS TRADITIONS

➤ REGIONAL LANGUAGES

- AMIR KHUSRAU- POINTS TOWARDS THE PRESENCE OF MANY DIALECTS & LANGUAGES WITHIN INDIA
- EG- LANGUAGES SUCH AS HINDI, BENGALI, MARATHI- FROM 8TH CENTURY ONWARDS
- THE MATURITY OF THESE LANGUAGES & THEIR SPREAD- REMARKABLE FEATURE OF THE MEDIEVAL AGE
- WHY DEVELOPED?
 - I. LOSS OF PRESTIGE BY BRAHMINS- LOSS OF PLACE OF SANSKRIT
 - II. USE OF THESE LANGUAGES BY BHAKTI SAINTS
 - III. ALSO- USE OF THESE LANGUAGES AS POLITICAL & ADMINISTRATIVE LANGUAGE BY KINGDOMS, EG- USE OF TELEGU, MARATHI BY BAHMANI KINGDOM
 - IV. USE OF SUCH LANGUAGES BY POETS, EG- MALIK MUHAMMAD JAISI- PADMAVAT

OTHER EXAMPLES-

- TELEGU- PATRONISED BY VIJAYNAGARA
- NUSRAT SHAH OF BENGAL – BORDERING TRANSLATION OF RAMAYAN, MAHABHARATA TO BENGALI
- MALADHAR BASU- WRITING SHRI KRISHNA VIJAYA

➤ DELHI SULTANATE- THEOCRACY?

- HAS BEEN ARGUED – SINCE RULED ACCORDING TO THE SHARIA- HENCE MUST BE THEOLOGICAL
- DEFINITION OF THEOLOGICAL- STATE DIRECTLY RULED BY GOD OR THROUGH A SACERDOTAL CLASS
- HOWEVER- SULTANATE- WAS NOT THAT ENTIRELY
- SULTANS- CONSULTED- ULEMAS BUT DIDN'T FOLLOW THEIR ADVICE
 - ✓ EG- ILTUTMISH- DIDNT FOLLOW ADVICE OF ULEMAS- IN DECLARING RAZIA AS SUCCESSOR
 - ✓ PRE ISLAMIC CUSTOMS- SIJDA, PAIBOS- BY BALBAN
- ALLAUDIN KHILJI- SAID THAT HE DIDNT KNOW WHAT WAS AND WHAT'S NOT ACCORDING TO THE SHARA- BUT FOLLOWED WHAT WAS GOOD FOR STATE
- BARANI- CONLCUDED- STATE IN INDIA= DUNYA DARI (WORLDLY) , NOT DIN DAARI (ACCORDING TO RELIGION)
- IN REALITY- SULTAMS HAD TO ISSUE SECULAR ORDERS- ZAWABIT
- CONCLUSION-
 - ✓ STATE – UNDER OVERALL SHARIA FRAMEWORK , NOT THEOLOGICAL
 - ✓ ULEMAS- IMPORTANT, THEIR ADVICE NOT FOLLOWED- ONKY FOLLOWED UNDER POLITICAL CONSIDERATIONS (ie- FIRUZ – TO STRENGTHEN THE SUPPORT OF THE MONARCHY)
 - ✓ STATE- NOT OF EQAULITY BUT HIERARCHY

➤ POSITION OF THE CALIPH

- ISLAMIC THEORY- UNION OF POLITICAL & SPIRITUAL AUTHORITY IN KHALIFA
- SOON HOWEVER – REALITY- SULTANS CAME INTO BEING AS MANY INDEPENDENT KINGDOMS AROSE
- SULTANS- ALLOWED TO RULE AS LONG AS THEY ACKNOWLEDGED THE OVERALL SUZERAINTY OF THE KHALIFA
- DELHI SULTANATE RULERS- ACKNOWLEDGED BEING PART OF THE ISLAMIC WORLD
- HENCE- READ KHUTBA IN NAME OF KHALIFA, MADE EFFORTS TO ACQUIRE- LETTERS OF INVESTMENT (MANSHUR) FROM KHALIFA
- LEGALLY- UNDER KHALIFA, LEGAL PART- LESS IMPORTANT

- HOWEVER- IN REALITY INDEPENDENT- ALWAYS A MORAL OPTION, NOT POLITICAL
- THAT IS – A PART OF PRESTIGE & AURA, NOT REALLY AMOUNTING TO MUCH
- EG- ILTUTMISH MADE EFFORTS TO ACQUIRE MANSUR , SO DID MUHAMMAD BIN TUGHLAQ

- HOWEVER- EVEN THIS MORAL OPTION OFTEN FAILED- AS EVEN OBTAINING ROBES OF HONOUR FROM KHALIFA DIDNT STOP REBELLIONS DURING FIRUZ'S REIGN

- BY 1300'S PRESTIGE OF ABBASID CALIPHATE WANED-
 - ✓ MANY SECTS & VIEWS EMERGED
 - ✓ MANY INDEPENDENT KINGDOMS
 - ✓ MONGOL INVASIONS
 - SOON- MUGHALS THEMSELVES TOOK TITLE- IMAM OR KHALIFA

➤ SHANKARDEVA & VAISHNAVISM IN ASSAM

- ✓ BACKGROUND-
 - POLITICAL INSTABILITY- DIFFERENT KINGDOMS
 - AHOM, KACHARI, KOCH- PRODUCED RIPPLES IN SOCIAL LIFE AS WELL
 - PRACTICE OF TANTRICISM , HUMAN SACRIFICE – UNTOUCHABILITY

 - NEED TO BRING DIVERSE RELIGIOUS VIEWS UNDER ONE SYSTEMATIZED WORSHIP & PRACTICE

 - THE MOVEMENT - HUMANIST IN CONTENT, POPULAR IN FORM IN TERMS OF LITERATURE & ARTS

✓ WHAT WAS SO UNIQUE & REVOLUTIONARY ABOUT THIS MOVEMENT?

A. UNIQUENESS –

- UNLIKE OTHER CONTEMPORARY MOVEMENTS – NOT BASED ON ABSTRACT REASONING
- RATHER- BASED UPON ETHNIC INTEGRATION, SOCIETAL REFORMS, THROUGH A RELIGIOUS CONDUCT WHICH WAS GROUNDED IN INDIGENOUS BELIEFS

B. REVOLUTIONARY

- NOT JUST RELIGIOUS MOVEMENT- WAY OF LIFE
- SOCIAL, CULTURAL, RELIGIONS SPHERES

✓ THE MOVEMENT

- KNOWN AS – EK SARANA NAM DHARMA
- PREACHED WORSHIP OF A SINGLE GOD, WORSHIP BY UTTERING NAMES
- KIRTANA MODE OF WORSHIP
- ACCESSIBLE TO ALL SECTIONS OF SOCIETY
- HE TOOK INTO FOLD – GARO, NAGA, MISHING & OTHER DEPRIVED CASTES AS DISCIPLES

✓ SATRAS & NAMGHARS-

- SATRAS- NETWORK OF DECENTRALISED MONASTERIES
- SET UP-
 - I. DISCIPLES COULD CONGREGATE
 - II. NEW ONES COULD BE INITIATED
- NAMGHARS- OPEN HALL , WHERE DEVOTEES COULD SIT TOGETHER
- PRAYERS, RELIGIOUS DISCUSSIONS, UKTIRA, FUNCTIONS TOOK PLACE.
- HELPED GIVE-

- I. STRUCTURE TO THE MOVEMENT
- II. COMMON MEETING GROUND
- III. INCREASED UNITY AMONGST MEMBERS

✓ NEW CULTURAL FORMS-

- NEW TYPE OF DEVOTIONAL SONGS- BARGEETS

- NEW THEATRICAL FORMS- ANKIA NATS & BHAONA
- BOTH FORMS- POPULARIZED THEMES FROM PURANAS, WAS POPULAR WITH MASSES
- SATTRIYA DANCE- ALOMG WITH KIRTAN
- SANKARI TYPE OF PAINTING
- MADE ASSAMESE EASY & UNDERSTANDABLE

✓ IMPACT-

- INTEGRATION OF ASSAMESE SOCIETY, EVOLVING OF AN ASSAMESE IDENTITY
- GREATER EGALITARIANISM – AS SHANKARDEVA PREACHED AGAINST CASTE BARRIER

➤ MUGHAL FOREIGN POLICY-

✓ WHY REQUIRED?

- PRESENCE OF 3 POWERFUL EMPIRES IN WEST ASIA IN 16TH CENTURY (SAFAVIDS, OTTOMANS, UZBEKS) – LED TO GROWTH OF POLITICAL RELATIONS

✓ WHAT DID IT CONSIST OF?

- EXCHANGE OF MISSIONS, VISIT OF AMBASSADORS ETC- OFTEN GRAND AFFAIRS
- MISSIONS- WAY TO COLLECT INFORMATION ABOUT- EACH OTHER

✓ MUGHAL DREAM OF RECOVERING TIMURID LANDS-

- UZBEKS HAD PUSHED BABUR OUT OF FARGHANA
- MUGHALS- OFTEN DECLARED THEIR INTENTION OF RECOVERING “ ANCESTRAL LANDS”
- JUST A SLOGAN, POLICY MORE INDIA CENTRIC

✓ SAFAVID VS UZBEKS-

- CLASHED OVER- KHURASAN & TRANSOXIANA
- WHY? KHURASAN & TRANSOXIANA – CONTROLLED TRADE ROUTES SOUTH TO INDIA, EAST- CHINA, NORTH- RUSSIA, WEST- MEDITERRANEAN

✓ SAFAVID VS OTTOMANS

- OVER – IRAQ & FERTILE CRESCENT
- ALSO- SHIA SUNNI DIVIDE

- SAFAVIDS- KEEN TO BEFRIEND MUGHAL WHEN THEY FACED THREATS FROM UZBEKS IN EAST & OTTOMANS IN WEST
- UZBEKS- OFTEN STIRRED UP TROUBLE IN NORTH WEST FRONTIER PROVINCE, REQUIRED MUGHAL FORCES- DURING ONE OF THESE OPERATIONS RAJA BIRBAL LOST HIS LIFE

- AKBAR- CONQUERED KANDAHAR- 1595- ESTABLISHED SCIENTIFIC FRONTIERS, HINDUKUSH AS BOUNDARY

✓ WHY KANDAHAR VALUABLE?

VI. STRATEGIC-

- KANDAHAR FORT- STRONG, WATER SUPPLY PRESENT
- KABUL- KANDAHAR LINE- HELPED ESTABLISH A LINE WHICH COULD BE USED TO DETER INVASION INTO PLAINS OF PUNJAB
- CONTROL- AFGHAN TRIBES

VII. ECONOMIC-

- VITAL FOR CENTRAL ASIAN TRADE
- ROUTE FROM CENTRAL ASIA TO MULTAN VIA KANDAHAR- POPULAR

- KANDAHAR- EXCHANGED HANDS SEVERAL TIMES BETWEEN IRANIANS & MUGHALS

✓ SHAH JAHAN'S BALKH CAMPAIGN- 1646

- DEFEAT OF UZBEKS FORCES
- HOWEVER REALIZATION - THAT BALKH COULD NOT BE HELD

- TAKING ADVANTAGE- PERSIANS CONQUERED KANDAHAR -1649
- BOTH SAFAVID & MUGHAL DIDN'T LET MINOR IRRITANTS STAND IN THE WAY OF OVERALL RELATIONS.

✓ RESULTS OF MUGHAL FOREIGN POLICY-

G) STRATEGIC-

- STABLE KABUL KANDAHAR LINE
- SECURITY FROM INVASIONS
- THIS WAS BUTTRESSED BY DIPLOMATIC ENDEAVOURS

H) POLITICAL-

- MUGHALS- DEALT ON AN EQUAL FOOTING WITH LEADING ASIAN POWERS
- POLITICAL LEGITIMACY TO THEIR EMPIRE

I) ECONOMIC

- PROMOTED TRADE- INDIAN TRADERS SETTLED IN IRANIAN CITIES- ISFAHAN, SHIRAZ, QAZVIN
- FROM THERE TRADE FURTHER CARRIED TO RUSSIA- BAKU, ASTRAKHAN
- SOME IN- SAMARKAND, BOKHARA
- REPORTS OF INDIAN TRADERS & THEIR TEMPLES IN RUSSIA & IRAN

✓ OVERALL-

- NATIONAL INTERESTS - KEPT IN MIND
- RECOVERY OF MUGHAL HOMELANDS- JUST A RUSE

✓ MUGHAL – OTTOMAN RELATIONS-

- MAIN CONCERN = FREE MOVEMENT FOR PEOPLE & GOODS IN THE AREAS UNDER OTTOMAN CONTROL
- SPECIALLY = HEJAZ , WHERE MECCA & MEDINA LIE
- COMBINATION OF TRADE + RELIGION = EXPORT OF VALUABLE COMMODITIES TO ADEN, MOKHA (RED SEA PORTS) BY MUGHALS + DISTRIBUTED PROCEEDS OF SALES TO KEEPER OF SHRINES IN THESE AREAS
- WHEN DISCOVERER MISAPPROPRIATION = FAVOURED DISTRIBUTION HERE IN INDIA.

➤ AKBAR'S RAJPUT POLICY-

✓ 3 PHASES-

I. TILL 1572-

- HERE RAJPUTS WERE EXPECTED TO RENDER MILITARY SUPPORT
- IN & AROUND THEIR PRINCIPALITIES, NOT OUTSIDE
- EG- BHARA MAL ACCOMPANIED AKBAR AGAINST UZBEKS BUT NO MENTION OF HIM TAKING PART IN MILITARY OPS

II. 1572-1578

- HERE RAJPUTS BEGAN – MERGE AS THE SWORD ARM OF THE EMPIRE
- EG- MAN SINGH- BEING PUT IN CHARGE OF MUGHAL ARMY AGAINST PRATAP

III. 1578 ONWARDS

- HERE RAJPUTS- EMERGED AS IMPORTANT PLAYERS IN ADMINISTRATION
- HELD IMPORTANT POSTS
- EVEN AGAINST – PRINCES OF THE BLOOD, EG- RAJPUTS DEPLOYED AGAINST MIRZA HAKIM, HIS OWN HALF BROTHER.

HOW IT HELPED-

✓ FOR MUGHALS-

- WON SUPPORT OF A BRAVE BAND OF WARRIORS
- GOT RESOURCES OF THESE AREAS
- ALSO- GOT ADMINISTRATIVE MUSCLE FOR BETTER GOVERNANCE

✓ FOR RAJPUTS

- GOT AUTONOMY UNDER MUGHAL PROTECTION
- GOT- OPPORTUNITY TO SERVE ALL OVER SUBCONTINENT, HOLD PRESTIGIOUS POSTS
- ALSO – JAGIRS GIVEN WERE RICH & REVENUE FIELDING

➤ WHAT DID AKBAR WANT OUT OF IBADAT KHANA?

- IF – WANTED DIFFERENT SECTIONS TO PUT DIFFERENCES ASIDE- THEN EACH SECTION HAD A VESTED INTEREST
- IF- WANTED TRUTH ABOUT ALL RELIGIONS- THEN PVT CONVERSATION WOULD BE ENOUGH

✓ WHAT DID IT ACHIEVE AT THE END?

- I. EXPOSED ULEMAS
- II. CONVINCED AKBAR- ALL RELIGIONS CONTAINED TRUTH

➤ REAL PURPOSE OF MAZHAR OF 1579-

- X. ACCORDING TO S.A. RIZVI- 1ST ENUNCIATION OF SULH-I-KUL
- XI. INTERNATIONAL- AS WANTED TO SHOW TO OTTOMAN & SAFAVID THAT HINDUSTHAN WAS A LAND FREE OF SECTARIAN STRIFE

P.S- BACKDROP OF BITTER SHIA SUNNI CONFLICT

✓ WAS THE MAZHAR , DOCTRINE OF INFALLIABILITY?

NO- AS WRITTEN THST ANY ACTION TAKEN BY AKBAR AGAINST NAS- BASIC OF QURAN- WOULD BE HELD GOOD

➤ WHAT WAS PURPOSE OF TAUHID I ILLAHI?

- I. CREATE – WELL KNIT NOBILITY
- II. CREATE- PERSONAL LOYALTY TO CROWN, EG- MAKING DISCIPLES- EFFECT- MANY YEARS LATER- REBELS OF 1857 – INSTINCTIVELY DELCARED BAHADUR SHAH ZAFAR AS KING.
- III. EFFECT- SEEN MANY YEARS AFTER- WHEN NOBLES PROUDLY CALLED THEMSELVES AS BANDA (SLAVE) OF EMPEROR

➤ RELIGIOUS POLICY OF AKBAR-

- MAZHAR , 1579
- SULH-I-KUL POLICY
- IBADAT KHANA

APART FROM THAT-

- III. NOT IMPOSE JAZIYAH
- IV. NO BAR ON TEMPLES BEING CONSTRUCTED
- V. STOP SLAUGHTER OF COWS & BOVINES IN PLACES
- VI. STOL- FORCIBLE CONVERSION TO ISLAM
- VII. CELEBRRATE- DIWALI, NAUROZ

✓ SOCIAL MEASURES OF AKBAR-

- I. BAN SATI- EXCEPT WITH CONSENT
- II. MARRIAGE AGE -16 FOR BOYS, 14 FOR GIRL
- III. MARRUAGE- ONLY WITH CONSENT OF FAMILIES
- IV. BAN- SLAVERY
- V. REFORM EDICATION- MORE MORAL, SECULAR TOPICS

RESULT-

- I. ADMINISTRATION BECAME ENLIGHTENED
- II. HOWEVER- SOCIETY REMAINED DEEPLY TRADITIONAL

➤ RELIGIOUS POLICY OF JAHANGIR-

- NO FORCIBLE CONVERSION

NO BAR ON NEW TEMPLES

CELEBRATE DIWALI, NAUROZ
GAVE GRANTS TO LEARNED BRAHMINS
NO JIZYAH

HOWEVER-

2 OCCASIONS - DECLARED JIHAD AGAINST PROVINCIAL KINGDOMS
BROKE IDOLS- PUSHKAR

✓ BENEVOLENCE OF JAHANGIR

- BELIEVED- STATE- NOT JUST LIBERAL BUT HUMANE
- 12 EDICTS ISSUED TO THE EFFECT-
 - J) ROAD/ RIVER ROUTES- FREE OF ILLEGAL CESS
 - K) IF PERSON- DIES ON ROAD THEN PROPERTY TO BE SAFEGUARDED
 - L) NO CUTTING OF NOSE/ HANDS AS PUNISHMENT

➤ RELIGIOUS POLICY OF SHAH JAHAN-

- MORE CONSERVATIVE THAN FATHER & GRANDFATHER
- EXEMPTED- THEOLOGIANS FROM JIZYA, ZAMINDARS
- STOPPED CONSTRUCTION OF NEW TEMPLES
- BANNED MIXED MARRIAGES BETWEEN HINDUS & MUSLIMS IN KASHMIR
- PULLED DOWN- TEMPLES IN ORCHHA, CHURCHES IN HOOGHLY

- DURING HIS TIME- ORTHODOX ELEMENTS GAVE A PETITION

- ✓ STOP SOCIAL INTERCOURSE WITH HINDUS - DENY THEM PUBLIC OFFICE
- ✓ IMPOSE JIZYAH
- ✓ STOP UN-ISLAMIC PRACTICES (JHAROKHA DARSHAN, SINGING, WINE)

- MUST BE CONCEDED- SHAH JAHAN'S EDICTS IN TEMPLES WAS MORE TOKENISM THAN REAL

✓ CONCLUSION-

- I. SHAH JAHAN MORE CONSERVATIVE THAN HIS PREDECESSOR
- II. HOWEVER- NOT A BIGOT
- III. HIS COMPROMISE- BASED ON POLITICAL EXPEDIENCY

INSTANCES WHERE AURANGZEB WENT AGAINST PREVIOUS PRACTICE-

1. STOPPED- JHAROKHA DARSHAN
2. STOPPED- NAUROZ, WEIGHING OF EMPEROR AGAINST GOLD
3. REVIVED- PILGRIM TAX
4. IMPOSE JAZIYAH
5. PULLED DOWN TEMPLES

➤ GROWTH OF A COMPOSITE RULING CLASS

- BY MID 17TH CENTURY- PROPORTION OF IRANI/ TURANIS- REDUCED FROM - 62-52%
- MOST- HIGHER ADMINISTRATIVE POSTS- IRANIS- REFLECTS THEIR REPUTATION AS GOOD ADMINSTRATORS
- AFGHANS/ INDIAN MUSLIMS- 19.5- 27%
- HINDUS- 17 % TO 20%

- AFGHANS- REDUCED DURING AKBAR'S TIME
- LATER REBOUNDED- HOWEVER- NOT GIVEN SPECIFIC ADMINISTRATIVE & GOVERNORSHIP
- DECCANI GROUPS- HABSHIS , MARATHAS ETC- INDUCTED
- LATER- KAYASTHAS, KHATRIS ALSO CAME INTO ADMINSTRATION

➤ BERNIER'S CHARGE THAT FOREIGNERS ENTICED EACH OTHER TO THE COURT-

- BETWEEN 1550'-1650'S – 40% OF NOBLES HOLDING ZAT OF 1000 & ABOVE- TURANIS & IRANIANS
- HOWEVER- ONLY 10% BORN OUTSIDE- MEANT 90% WERE BORN IN INDIA
- ALSO THEY CAME WITH FAMILIES, LEARNT LANGUAGE, CUSTOMS, CULTURE OF INDIA
- CAN HARDLY BE CALLED- FOREIGNERS

➤ BERNIER- THOSE WHO CAME WERE LOW BORN, SOME EVEN SLAVES-

- MUGHALS- LIKE PPL OF THE TIME-
 - I. BELIEVED THAT CERTAIN POSTS WERE TO BE RESERVED FORM PPL OF NOBLE BIRTH
 - II. ALSO- NOBILITY IMPLIED CAPACITY , EXCELLENCE
- THUS- GOOD EDUCATION- VITAL FOR BEING IN NOBILITY

➤ NOBILITY-

2 TYPES- NOBILITY AT COURT, RURAL GENTRY- ZAMINDARS

➤ ZAMINDARS-

- BEGAN TO USED – FROM 14TH CENTURY ONWARDS
- IN PUNJAB- IT MEANS PEOPLE WHO OWNS + CULTIVATES- LAND
- IRFAN HABIB- A SOCIAL CLASS WHO STOOD ABOVE THE PEASANTS

✓ FEATURES-

- APEX OF RURAL LIFE
- LIVED- GARHS OR FORTS- FOR PROTECTION & SOCIAL STATUS
- HAD – ARMED RETAINERS , EG- ACCORDING TO ABDUL FAZAL – THEY HAD- 3.8 LAKH HORSES, 42 LAKH FOOT SOLDIERS
- CLOSE CONNECTIONS- CASTE BASIS WITH CULTIVATORS
- FORMED POWERFUL CLASS- PRESENCE IN ALL PARTS- DESHMUKHS, PATILS, NAYAKS
- ZAMINDARS RIGHT- INHERITABLE, SALEABLE- EG OF SALE FROM AKBAR'S TIME
- ZAMINDARS- RIGHT TO PRODUCE- IN CASH OR KIND

✓ STATE'S RELATIONSHIP WITH ZAMINDARS-

- DUAL- AT ONE SIDE TRIED TO CO-OPT THEM IN COLLECTION OF LAND REVENUE
- AS- THEY HAD CLOSE RELATIONS WITH THE PEASANTRY - HAD KNOWLEDGE OF LOCAL AREA, PRODUCE ETC
- GOVT- WANTED TO USE THIS- TO MAXIMIZE LAND REVENUE

- CONVERSELY- WANTED TO SQUEEZE THEM- BY ESTB- DIRECT RELATIONS WITH PEASANTRY
- ZAMINDARS – SOMETIMES WERE TRYING TO EXTORT FROM CULTIVATORS
- IF ZAMINDARS + CULTIVATORS = RESIST , THEN STATE WOULD HAVE VIRTUAL REBELLION

➤ MIDDLE CLASS-

- BERNIER SAID- INDIA HAD ONLY POOR OR RICH, NO MIDDLE CLASS-
- WRONG- BY MIDDLE CLASS WE MEAN-
 - I. PEOPLE- DIDN'T HAVE INCOME FROM FEUDAL PROPERTY
 - II. DERIVED INCOME- PROFESSIONAL SERVICES, BUSINESS
- INDIA- HAD PLENTY OF THEM- SOME WHOM WERE AMONGST THE RICHEST IN THE WORLD

- MUGHAL ADMINISTRATION- ARMY OF ACCOUNTANTS, CLERKS
- SOME- BECAME RICH- CORRUPTION, BRIBERY
- SOME- UNDERTOOK SIDE BUSINESS- USURY, SPECULATION
- EG- FAUJDAR OF JAHANABAD (BIHAR)- ESTD A SMALL TOWN IN HIS SON'S NAME

➤ COMMERCIAL CLASSES-

- WIDE, VARIED & VERY WELL DEVELOPED
 - LONG DISTANCE TRADE- SETH, BOHRA, MODI
 - SHORT DISTANCE- BEOPARI, BANIK
 - ALSO- RURAL AREAS- THE MUCH REVERED BANIA OR MAHAJAN
 - NOT 1 CASTE OR RELIGION
 - GUJARATIS- HINDU OR MUSLIM BOTH
 - RAJASTHAN- OSWAL, AGARWAL, MAHESHWARI
 - THE MERCHANTS – ESPECIALLY IN PORT TOWNS- RICH & COMPARABLE TO THE MERCHANT PRINCES OF EUROPE
 - EG- ABDUL GHAFFUR BOHRA- LEFT 85 LAKH + 17 SHIPS IN 1712 TO HIS SON
 - OTHERS- SUNCA RAMA CHETTY, MALAY CHETTI, VIRJI VOHRA
-
- SOME OF THEM- LIVED IN LUXURY HOUSES
 - SOME OFFICIAL HARASSMENT- YES
 - HOWEVER- BOTH SHER SHAH, JAHANGIR PASSED LAWS TO PROTECT MERCHANTS & PROPERTY

➤ INLAND TRADE-

- WELL DEVELOPED RURAL – URBAN TRADE NETWORKS
- RURAL AREAS SUPPLIED- FOOD GRAINS & RAW MATERIALS- COTTON, INDIGO – TRADE IN HANDS OF BANJARAS
- GUJARAT- ENTRY OF FOREIGN GOODS + EXPORT- FINE TEXTILES
- KASHMIR, LAHORE – HANDICRAFTS EXPORT
- LAHORE- WELL CONNECTED- GOOD FLOWING DOWN INDUS + ALSO OVERLAND CONNECTION WITH AGRA, DELHI
- OVERALL- WELL DEVELOPED NETWORK- FROM WHOLESALE MERCHANTS TO LEVEL OF GUMASTAS (AGENTS)
- SARAI- BEING SET UP EVERY 10 MILES- TAVERNIER PRAISES THE FACILITIES AVAILABLE

✓ **ROLE OF HUNDIS-**

- HUNDI-LETTER OF CREDIT PAYABLE AFTER A PERIOD OF TIME AT A DISCOUNT
- OFTEN CONTAINED INSURANCE- BIMA – CHARGED AT DIFFERENT RATES DEPENDING UPON DISTANCE, MODE OF TRANSPORT ETC
- SARRAFS- DEALT IN HUNDIS- ACTED AS PVT BANKS

▪ **FUNCTIONS OF HUNDIS-**

- I. PART OF GROWTH OF A FINANCIAL SYSTEM- FACILITATE TRADE
- II. MADE TRANSPORT OF PRECIOUS GOODS POSSIBLE
- III. CREATED CREDIT & SUPPLANTED MONEY IN CIRCULATION

▪ **POPULARITY OF HUNDIS-**

- I. IN AHMEDAMAD MERCHANTS – MADE PAYMENTS ALMOST ENTIRELY IN HUNDIS
- II. NOBLES - PAID SOLDIERS IN HUNDIS

➤ **FOREIGN TRADE-**

- ASIAN PATTERN OF TRADE – WELL ESTABLISHED BEFORE THE ARRIVAL OF THE PORTUGUESE
- THE PORTUGUESE ATTEMPT TO – MONOPOLIZE GOODS + EXCLUDE OTHERS – FAILED

✓ **WHY DID INDIAN TRADE EXPAND BETWEEN MID 16TH-18TH CENTURIES?**

- EXPANDED- BOTH IN TERMS OF – A) TONNAGE B) NEW AREAS

▪ **REASONS-**

- I. TO AN EXTENT- DUE TO ACTIVITIES OF EUROPEAN COMPANIES
- II. MOSTLY- DUE TO EMERGENCE OF POWERFUL EMPIRES – THAT IS – OTTOMAN, SAFAVID, MUGHAL

▪ **ROLE THESE EMPIRES PLAYED-**

- A) GAVE LAW & ORDER- CONDUCIVE TO TRADE
- B) AIDED URBANISATION- WHICH CREATED DEMAND
- C) MONETIZED THE ECONOMY- HELPED IN TRADE

➤ **INDIAN OVERLAND TRADE-**

- TRADE BETWEEN- ASIAN CIVILISATIONS – SINCE ANCIENT TIMES
- MULTAN- POINT FROM WHERE CARAVANS USED TO GOMTK CENTRAL ASIA
- SILK ROAD- OFTEN MISNOMER- AS SILK WAS NO LONGER CARRIED.
- THROUGH THIS- COTTON TEXTILES REACHED WEST ASIA
- ROAD TERMINATED AT ALEPPO IN SYRIA
- ALEPPO- CALLED LITTLE INDIA- AS IT HAD A HUGE MARKET OF INDIAN GOODS

- MANY INDIAN MERCHANTS- SETTLED IN IRAN- TAKING ADVANTAGE OF GOOD RELATIONS WITH SAFAVID IRAN

- SOURCES- NEARLY A LAKH INDIANS LIVED IN IRAN - 17TH CENTURY

- FROM IRAN- SETTLED IN ASTRAKHAN- FROM THEREON- TRADE ALONG VOLGA- YAROSLAVL, NOVOGOROD ETC

- COLONIES IN THESE PLACES

- ✓ PATTERN OF THESE TRADERS-
 - LARGELY FAMILY BASED
 - RELATIONS WERE SET UP WITH BRANCHES OF THE BUSINESSES
 - KEPT LIASON WITH FAMILIES BACK HOMES- FAMILIES- GAVE INFO- OF MARKET
 - FORMED PARTNERSHIPS- LOCALS
 - RATIO- 2:1 PROFIT SHARING IN FAVOUR OF INDIANS
 - IN SUCH REGIONS- LOCAL TRSDE NETWORK WAS IMP- AS THEY WERE CASH STARVED

- ✓ HENCE-
 - THE BAN ON SETTLING ABROAD (OF THE RELIGIOUS TEXTS) – DIDN'T WORK
 - MANY MERCHANTS – SETTLED ABROAD & CARRID OUT FLOURISHING TRADE

➤ ATTITUDE OF THE MUGHAL STATE TOWARDS TRADE & COMMERCE

- ARGUMENT- MUGHALS CAME FROM CENTRAL ASIA – HENCE NOT FAVOURABLE TOWARDS TRADE = WRONG
- RECENT RESEARCH- SINCE CENTRAL NASIAN AREAS – STEPPE + LANDLOCKED WITH LIMJTED LAND FOR AGRICULTURE - PEOPLE FROM THERE= MORE AWARE OF IT.
- CONTROL OF TRADE ROADS= INTEGRAL PART OF TIMURID ETHOS
- MUGHALS- INHERITED THAT
- ALSO- FERTILE PLAINS OF NORTH INDIA- AGRICULTURAL SURPLUS > TRADE TAXES
- HENCE- AS COMMERCE= EXPANDED, SAW IT AS SUPPLEMENTARY SOURCE OF INCOME
- NOBLES + RULER- TRIED TO DISTORT TRADE FOR OWN PERSONAL GAIN – THAT IS TRY TO GAIN MONOPOLY OVER IT
- WHILE IT MAY BE UNFAIR- YET SHOWS = UNDERSTANDING OF IMP OF TRADE

- RULERS, PRINCES, LEADING NOBLES = HAD THEIR OWN SHIPS WHICH MADE VOYAGES
- EG- NUR JAHAN , JAHANGIR , PRINCE KHURRAM – ALL HAD SHIPS
- SO DID- MIR JUMLA
- THESE ACTIVITES- CREATED ADDITIONAL CARRYING CAPACITY - FOR TRADE- BENEFICIAL FOR TRADERS
- TRADERS WERE ORGANIZED INTO BODIES – EITHER ON RELIGION OR CASTE BASIS
- THEY HAD ACCESS TO HIGH OFFICIALS - FOR INFLUENCE
- ALSO- HAD VAKILS OR AGENTS AT THE COURTS OF EMPERORS & PRINCES- THROUGH WHOM MADE REPRESENTATIONS

➤ STRUCTURE OF RURAL SOCIETY, 16TH -17TH CENTURY-

BASED UPON OBSERVANCE BY BOTH FOREIGN TRAVELLERS + CONTEMPORARY 8NDIAN SOURCES-

MINDARS	IOR RIGHT IN LAND
PEASANTS	LABOURERS
PEASANTS	STENCE PRODUCTION

LESS LABOURERS	
----------------	--

➤ ROLE OF FOREIGN COMPANIES –

- ARRIVAL OF ENGLISH, DUTCH COMPANIES SHOWED-

- I. IMPORTANCE OF INDIA- GLOBAL TRADE NETWORK
- II. GROWING APPETITE OF EUROPEANS

✓ CHARACTER OF THESE COMPANIES-

- JOINT STOCK COMPANIES- PRECURSORS OF TODAY'S MNC'S
- CHARTER- FROM THEIR GOVTS- FOR MONOPOLY
- ENGLISH- DUTCH ONES- MORE FREEDOM ENJOYED, FRENCH- PORTUGUESE- ROYAL MONOPOLIES

✓ HOW THEY BEHAVED?

- BELIEVED – MONOPOLIZING TRADE
- USING NAVAL FORCE- A) ENFORCE MONOPOLY- EG- CARTAZE SYSTEM B) EXTRACT PRIVILEGES FROM LOCAL RULERS
- DIDN'T BELIEVE IN – EQUAL TRADE OPPORTUNITY TILL THEY THEMSELVES BECAME DOMINANT LATER

✓ WHAT WERE THE EXPORT ITEMS OF INDIA TO EUROPE?

- I. TEXTILES-

ENGLISH PROMOTED- CALICOES FROM – GJ
INITIALLY- 83% OF EXPORTS

- II. SILK- FROM BENGAL

- III. SALTPETRE- FROM BIHAR- USED IN BALLS, ARTILLERY

- IV. INDIGO- FROM BAYANA, COROMANDEL

✓ WHAT DID INDIA IMPORT?

- PRINCIPAL ITEM- HORSES - NEARLY 21,000 HORSES CAME BY SEA OR LAND
- INDIAN DEMAND FOR SPICES ALSO- EXCHANGED FOR TEXTILES AT MALACCA
- OTHER ITEMS- TIN FROM MALAYA, IVORY- EAST AFRICA ETC

➤ ASIAN TRADE REVOLUTION-

- PROPOUNDED BY- NILES STEENSGAARD

✓ WHAT IS IT?

- I. CHANGE- QUANTUM & COMPOSITION OF GOODS TRADED TO EUROPE
- II. TEXTILES, SILK- FORMING- AS MUCH AS 50% OF GOODS TRADED AFTER 1680
- III. DUE TO EFFORTS OF- ENGLISH, DUTCH COMPANIES

✓ HOW WAS IT BROUGHT ABOUT?

- I. NOT ON BASIS OF – GOVT MONOPOLIES OR VIOLENCE
- II. RATHER- ABILITY TO COMPETE IN MARKETS BY ADOPTING SPECIFIC POLICIES REGARDING STOCKS & MODE OF DISPOSAL OF GOODS (ESTB- WAREHOUSES)

✓ COUNTER ARGUMENTS- OM PRAKASH

- I. ACKNOWLEDGES- CHANGE BUT DIFFERS IN REASONS
- II. ESTABLISHING – WAREHOUSES + STUDYING SPOT PRICES - COMMON IN MANY BIG & SMALL ASIAN MERCHANTS
- III. HOWEVER- RESEARCH SHOWS- DUTCH- ESTABLISHED MONOPOLIES IN MALACCAS
- IV. DUTCH- USED PASS SYSTEM – INDONESIA TO KEEP COMPETITORS AT BAY

✓ OVERALL-

- I. DUTCH, ENGLISH- AS LIKE THE PORTUGUESE EARLIER- NOT PREPARED TO ABIDE BY FREE TRADE RULES OF INDIAN OCEAN TRADE
- II. CONVERTED- FACTORIES INTO FORTS
- III. WANTED TO USE TO- DEFEAT LOCAL RULERS
- IV. EG- CLASH BETWEEN AURANGZEB & ENGLISH- HOOGHLY- 1687
- V. LATER- WANTED CONQUEST OF AREAS- SO THAT REVENUES OF THOSE AREAS COULD FINANCE THEIR EXPORTS , AT LEAST PARTIALLY

➤ ROLE OF INDIAN MERCHANTS IN INDIAN OCEAN TRADE-

- EARLIER BELIEF- DUE TO ALOOFNESS OF MUGHALS + NO INTEREST BY INDIAN STATE INTO TRADERS/ MERCHANTS- DID ENGLISH SUCCEED

- RECENT RESESRCH- INDIAN TRADERS - NOT OUSTED FROM TRADE + FOREIGN PARTICIPATION IN TRADE- REMAINED SMALL
- ASHIN DASGUPTA HISTORIAN- CALCULATED- SURAT'S ANNUAL TIRNOVER- 16 MILLION, OUT OF THAT- FOREIGN- ONLY 2 MILLION

✓ REASONS WHY INDIANS SUCCEDED IN COMPETITION-

- I. INDIAN TRADER- EXPECTED PROFIT OF 10-15% . EUROPEAN ONES- 40%
 - II. FREIGHT CHARGES- LOWER ON INDIAN VESSELS
 - III. INDIANS- SPEND LESS ON MAINTENNACE
 - IV. INDIANS- KNEW THE MARKET BETTER.
- BACK BONE OF INDIAN TRADE- SHIP OWNERS & OPERATORS- LONG DISTANCE TRADE

✓ CATEGORIES OF INDIAN MERCHANTS-

- I. THOSE WHO OWNED THEIR OWN VESSELS + HAD A NETWORK
EG- ABDUL THAT FOR BOHRA , SUNCA RAMA CHETTY ETC
- II. THOSE- WHO HIRED SPACES IN VESSELS. MOST FELL INTO THIS CATEGORY.
- III. ROYAL PRINCES, ADMINISTRATORS, NOBLES ETC
- IV. MERCHANTS- WHO OPERATED IN THE HINTERLAND- HAD AGENTS TO DISPOSE THEIR PURCHASES

➤ QUOTE- " THE ARMS OF CAMBAY STRETCHES TWO ARMS- ONE TOWARDS ADEN & ONE TOWARDS MALACCA"

- POINTS- TOWARDS THE CENTRALITY OF INDIA IN THE INDIAN OCEAN TRADE
- DUE TO CENTRALITY- TRADED WITH WEST ASIA ON ONE HAND & SOUTH EAST ASIA ON THE ANOTHER
- WEST ASIA - WAS ALSO REACHED BY THE OLD CARAVAN ROUTE- STARTING FROM MULTAN
- SURAT EMERGED- AS A PRINCIPAL ENTREPOT

DUE TO –

- I. HAVING. A RICH HINTERLAND UP TO- GANGA VALLEY, COULD DRAW IN TEXTILES FROM SINDH, PUNJAB
- II. GROWTH- HAJJ TRAFFIC
- III. EXPORT OF COFFEE FROM YEMEN- INDIAN MERCHANTS CAME TO MOCHA TO SELL TEXTILES WHERE THEY MET TURKISH , ARAB MERCHANTS WHO HAD COME TO BUY COFFEE. TRADE ENSUED.

➤ 17TH CENTURY HAS BEEN DESCRIBED AS GOLDEN PERIOD OF INDIAN MARITIME TRADE AS WELL AS TRADE IN TEXTILES

- INDIAN TEXTILES- WELL KNOWN, WAS MUCH IN DEMAND
- HENCE- PROFITABLE COMMODITY FOR MERCHANTS TO SELL , FETCHED 1P TIMES OR SO PROFIT
- THIS INCREASED TRADE LINKS – LED TO EXPANSION OF INDIAN TRADE
- LED TO INDIANS SETTLING ABROAD FOR MARKET OPPORTUNITIES
- EG- INDIAN COLONIES- WEST ASIA, EAST AFRICA
- MERCHANTS FROM GUJARAT- CONTROLLED YEMENI TRADE
- PRESENCE OF INDIAN MERCHANTS IN MASSOWA (PRINCIPAL PORT ON AFRICAN COAST) + JEDDAH

➤ HOW DID INCREASED COMMERCIAL ACTIVITY HELP SHIP BUILDING?

- INCREASED COMMERCE- INCREASED CONSCIOUSNESS ABOUT TRADE
- INCREASED AWARENESS- THAT TRADE COULD SUPPLEMENT AGRICULTURAL INCOME
- INCREASED TRADE- LED TO SPUR IN SHIP BUILDING - INCREASED TRAFFIC
- NOT ALL MERCHANTS- COULD BUY SHIPS, HAD TO RENT SPACES
- HENCE- SPUR TO BUILD SHIPS OF LARGER CARRYING CAPACITIES
- MUGHAL EMPEROR, 1650- ORDERED 6-8 SHIPS BE BUILT EACH YEAR

➤ MUGHAL STATE & INDIAN ECONOMY IN MID 17TH CENTURY-

- MUGHAL STATE- WAS A CLASS STATE – WHERE RULING ELITE EXPLOITED PRODUCE
- HOWEVER- NOT AN INSATIABLE LEVIATHAN- WITH UNLIMITED DEMANDS
- MUCH LIKE ALL INDIAN DYNASTIES – MUGHAL STATE TOO-
 - I. HAD A DEFINITE PROGRAM OF PROTECTION OF AGRICULTURE
 - II. DEVELOPMENT OF TRADE & COMMERCE
 - MUGHALS- VERY MUCH CONSCIOUS ABOUT IMPORTANCE OF TRADE & MANUFACTURING

✓ WAS THE EARLY 18TH CENTURY- A PERIOD OF DE URBANISATION?

- WAS A DECLINE IN MUGHAL POWER- YES
- VACUUM- FILLED BY OTHER POWERS- SET UP CITIES
- EG -SAWAI JAI SINGH- JAIPUR, PESHWAS- POONA, NAGPUR, MYSORE, ETC
- DECLINE OF CITIES- DELHI, AGRA BUT COMONESATED BY RISE OF – FAIZABAD, BENARES, POONA ETC
- ALSO- THIS WAS THE PERIOD IN WHICH- MONEY ECONOMY SPREAD TO VILLAGES

✓ OVERALL-

- NOT A PERIOD OF URBAN DECLINE

➤ FEATURES OF INDIAN ECONOMY- 17TH CENTURY-

(IN BRIEF)

- I. GROWTH OF MONEY ECONOMY- SPREAD TO VILLAGES
- II. GROWTH OF IJARA (CONTRACT) FARMING
- III. GROWTH- BIMA, HUNDI
- IV. GROWTH OF DADNI SYSTEM
- V. SALE OF ZAMINDARIS
- VI. GENERAL RISE IN PRICES
- VII. SOME EFFORTS- TO DEVELOP OWN PRODUCTION & MARKETING CENTRE BY ARTISANS

● GROWTH OF MONEY ECONOMY- SPREAD TO RURAL AREAS-

- ✓ DUE TO GROWTH OF CASH CROPS- COTTON, INDIGO, TOBACCO ETC
- ✓ ALSO- WARFARE NEEDED MONEY - COULD BE PROVIDED TAKING LOANS- GROWTH OF MONEY IN RURAL AREAS

● GROWTH OF IJARA FARMING-

- ✓ ANOTHER WAY TO RAISE CASEH- CONTRACT FARMING- IJARA
- ✓ GROWTH OF IJARA INDICATED 2 THINGS-
 - A. WEAKENING OF CENTRAL CONTROL
 - B. RULERS NEED FOR – CASH

● BIMA- HUNDI METHOD-

- ✓ NEEDED FOR SAFETY, SUPPLEMENTED CASH FLOW IN ECONOMY
- ✓ EG- SURPLUS OF LAND REVENUE OF BENGAL, NEARLY A CRORE OF RUPEES- SEND BY HUNDI

● SALE OF SMALLER ZAMINDARIS-

- ✓ MANY SMALLER ZAMINDARIS WERE SOL
- ✓ INDICATED- GROWTH OF INDEBTEDNESS IN RURAL GENTRY
- ✓ THIS- HELPED IN GROWTH OF BIGGER ZAMINDARIS

- PRICE RISE-

- ✓ SHIREEN MOOSVI- SHOWED – IN 17TH CENTURY, PRICE RISE- 27% OVERALL
- ✓ PRICES OF FOOD- DOUBLED IN 18TH CENTURY

- DADNI SYSTEM-

- ✓ ADVANCES OF CASH & RAW MATERIALS BY- MERCHANTS TO ARTISANS
- ✓ SYSTEM- NOT NEW BUT EXPANDED IN 18TH CENTURY
- ✓ INCREASED CONTROL OF- MERCHANTS OVER ARTISANS
- ✓ EUROPEANS DID IT TOO- THROUGH LOCAL AGENTS- GUMASTAS
- ✓ GRADUALLY EXTENDED – SALTPETRE, INIGO ETC

- EFFORTS TO SET UP INDEPENDENT PRODUCTION CENTRES-

- ✓ EG- MID 18TH CENTURY- ARTISANS IN LUCKNOW- HAD 500 APPRENTICES
- ✓ BENGAL- AFFLUENT WEAVERS – EMPLOYED OWN CAPITAL

➤ INDIA SAW GROWTH OF COMMERCIAL CAPITAL, WHY DID IT NOT HAVE INDUSTRIAL CAPITALISM?

- I. LACK OF ADVANCES IN SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY
- II. LACK OF CONDUCIVE INTELLECTUAL ATMOSPHERE TO INCULCATE SCIENCE
- III. COLONIAL RULE
- IV. INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION - IN ENGLAND – HAS CERTAIN UNIQUE FACTORS WHICH COULD NOT BE REPLICATED ELSEWHERE. HOWEVER- ONCE DONE- REPLICATION COULD BE DONE ELSEWHERE- EG- FRANCE, GERMANY

- ✓ CONCLUSION-

- I. INDIA HAD- MERCHANT CAPITAL, SKILLED FINANCIERS, ENTREPRENEURS, SKILLED ARTISANS
- II. INDIA - COULD HAVE MOVED IN THE DIRECTION OF ENGLAND HAD NOT COLONIALISM DISTORTED THE WHOLE PICTURE

➤ MANSABDARI-

- I. NO OF NOBLES- DOUBLED BY TWICE BY JAHANGIR 4.2 TIMES BY SHAH JAHAN
- II. ZAT & SAWAR SALARIES- REDUCED BY ALMOST 37%

✓ INNOVATIONS OR MEASURES TAKEN-

1ST-

- I. DURING DU ASPAH SI ASPAH- MAINTAIN TWICE THE ZAT & SAWAR NUMBER
- II. THAT IS – IF RANK IS 1000 SAWAR R & ZAT, THEN MAINTAIN 2000 HORSES
- III. ADVANTAGE- RAISE TROOPS AVAILABLE WITHOUT RAISING RANK

2ND-

- I. RULE- IF JAGIR WITHIN SAME PROVINCE AS POSTING- THEN RAISE 1/3RD OF TROOPS
- II. IF OUTSIDE- THEN RAISE- 1/4TH OF TROOPS

3RD-

- I. JAGIRS- WERE GROUPED INTO 12 CATEGORIES BASED UPON ASSESSED INCOME- JAMA
- II. THAT IS – COULD BE 10 MONTHLY, 8 MONTHLY
- III. MOST MANSABDARS- GIVEN 8 MONTHLY

✓ SITUATION IN DECCAN-

- NO OF MANSABS CREATED- FAR GREATER THAN - JAMA
- TO COPE UP WITH THIS SWEAR SALARIES WERE REDUCED
- ALSO- ONE FOURTH OR THIRD RULES - APPLIED
- LED TO- DEPLETION OF RANKS OF MANSABDARS & TROOPS
- IN DECCAN- PROVED DANGEROUS AS MARATHAS TOOK ADVANTAGE OF IT.

➤ DATA –

- JAMA OF DECCAN ROOSE 23% POST CONQUEST OF DECCAN STATES
- STILL PROBLEMS PERSISTED, WHY?
 - I. JAMA = GROSSLY INFLATED

- II. NOBLES- DID NOT WANT LANDS IN AREAS WHERE IT WAS DIFFICULT TO COLLECT REVENUE (ZOR TALAB)

➤ DATA-

- I. NOBLES HOLDING ZAT RANK OF 1000 OR ABOVE- ROSE 31% IN SHAH JAHAN'S TIME
- II. POPULATION OF INDIA IN 16TH CENTURY- 1450-150 MILLION
- III. POPULATION IN 18TH CENTURY - 207 MILLION- INCREASE OF – 0.21%

➤ EXTENT OF MUGHAL EMPIRE UNDER AURANGZEB-

- KASHMIR IN NORTH TO JINJEE IN SOUTH
- FROM HINDUKUSH IN WEST TO CHITTAGONG IN THE EAST

➤ TRADITION OF SUCCESSION AMONGST HINDUS & MUSLIMS-

✓ MUSLIMS-

- INITIALLY- WILL OF PEOPLE STRESSED- EARLY ISLAM
- LATER- RIGHT OF RULER TO NOMINATE SUCCESSOR ACCEPTED
- NO CONCEPT OF PRIMOGENITURE
- TO TIMURID TRADITION IF DIVISION OF EMPIRE- NOT ACCEPTED
- HOWEVER, REALITY - MILITARY MIGHT & CONNECTIONS BECAME IMPORTANT
- EXAMPLES- WAR OF SUCCESSION POST SHAH JAHAN
- SHAH JAHAN HIMSELF LED A REBELLION

✓ HINDUS-

- NO CLEAR RULE EITHER
- EG- AJATASHATRU KILLING FATHER, ASHOKA- FRATRICIDE, RANA SANGA.

➤ AGRARIAN CRISIS-

- BERNIER SAYS- INCREASING TAX BURDEN. AS A RESULT- PEASANTS FLED.
- CAUSES-

- I. TAX BURDEN
- II. ABSENCE- HEREDITARY NOBILITY TO STOP THEM.

HOWEVER- WE MUST CONSIDER-

- I. BERNIER- SCANT KNOWLEDGE OF INDIA- WROTE FOR DOMESTIC AUDIENCE
- II. PEASANTS COULD HAVE FLED FOR MANY REASONS- FAMINES, LAW & ORDER
- III. MANY PEASANTS MOVED FROM PLACE TO PLACE- PAHIS
- IV. HEREDITARY NOBILITY PRESENT IN RAJASTHAN - NOT LIKE THEY CARED FOR THEIR PEASANTS ANY DEAL BETTER
- V. STATE- DIDN'T OWN ALL LAND. TRADITION - CULTIVATOR OWNER OF LAND.

- ✓ LASTLY- ATHAR ALI HAS SHOWN THAT BETWEEN 1600-1870 , GROSS CROPPED AREA – GREW BY 0.23% , WHEREAS POPULATION GREW BY 0.21%
- ✓ HENCE- NO EVIDENCE OF AN AGRARIAN CRISIS

➤ SOCIAL & CULTURAL FACTORS BEHIND CRISIS OF EMPIRE-

- I. TOP HEAVY ADMINISTRATION-
RESEARCH HAS SHOWN THAT 50% OF REVENUES OF EMPIRE WENT TO THE SALARIES OF 122 MANSABDARS OF 500 ZAT RANK
- II. SOCIAL HIERARCHY-
DEEP SEATED BELIEF AMONGST RULING CLASSES THAT LOW CASTES SHOULD REMAIN THERE FOR SOCIAL STABILITY.
- III. NARROW SOCIAL BASE OF THE RULING CLASS- CONSIDERED THEMSELVES AS SUPERIOR
- IV. NEGLECT OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY- DIDN'T CONSIDER THE EUROPEAN COMPANIES AS THREATS
- V. INTELLECTUAL ATMOSPHERE CREATED- AGAINST RATIONAL THOUGHT- ABUL FAZAL LAMENTS THIS.

➤ VILLAGE SOCIETY IN MUGHAL INDIA-

- ESTIMATE- 85% OF INDIA LIVED IN VILLAGES THEN
- MOST IMPORTANT SECTIONS- RESIDENT CULTIVATORS
- CALLED- STHANIK IN SANSKRIT,
- MIRASI , THANIK- MAHARASHTRA
- GAONVETI, GHARU- HARA – TO
- 2 TYPES-
 - I. RIYAYATI- PRIVILEGED
 - II. RAIYYATI- ORDINARY

- RIYAYATI-
- III. SOCIAL STATUS
- IV. RESIDENT OF VILLAGE
- V. CULTIVATED LANDS- FAMILY LABOUR OR HIRED LABOUR
- VI. PAID REVENUES- AT LOWER RATE

- RAIYYATI-
- I. MIDDLE CASTES- JATS/ GUJJAR/ MEENAS
- II. CALLED- MUZARIAN (PERSIAN), PALTIS (RJ)

- OTHER CLASSES IN VILLAGE-
- I. LANDLESS LABOURERS- MAJURS
- II. SERVICE CLASSES- CARPENTERS, IRON SMITH, ROPE MAKER, POTTER ECT

✓ OTHER PEOPLE –

- ✓ PANDITS, MAULVIS, TAILOR, MUSICIAN , GARDENER ETC

✓ PAHI KASHTS-

- III. CULTIVATORS – WHO CAME FROM OUTSIDE
- IV. SETTLE TRACTS WHICH WERE ABANDONED
- V. GIVEN- PATTAS AT CONCESSIONAL RATE
- VI. FULL RATE OF LAND TAX ONLY IN 5TH YEAR OR SO

✓ OVERALL PICTURE PRESENTED OF RURAL SOCIETY-

- I. WHEREVER- CENTRAL CONTROL WEAKENED- RICH SECTIONS TRANSFERRED THEIR BURDEN UNTO THE POOR
- II. RURAL MASS- NOT AN UNDIFFERENTIATED SECTION OF PEASANTRY

➤ TOWNS & TOWN LIFE-

- CITIES- EXPANDED DURING SULTANATE PERIOD, CONTINUED
- DURING AKBAR'S TIME- 120 BIG CITIES, 3200 SMALLER ONES
- DELHI- 5-6 LAKH PEOPLE, LARGER THAN EVEN PARIS
- OTHER TOWNS- THATTA, MASULIPATNAM, DACCA, BURHANPUR

✓ TYPES OF CITIES-

- I. ADMINISTRATIVE- GENERALLY CAPITALS- DELHI, AGRA, LAHORE
- II. MANUFACTURING- PATNA, AHMEDAMAD
- III. PIGRIM CENTRES- PURI, KANCHI, BENARES

IV. DISTINCT LOCAL CRSFY- BAYANA- FOR INDIGO, PATAN – FOR DYEING

- PERIOD HAS BEEN CALLED – VERITABLE GOLDEN AGE OF URBANISATION
 - REGIONS DEVELOPED DIFFERENTLY ACROSS TIME
 - EG- 15TH-16TH- EASTERN PUNJAB & WEST UP DEVELOPED
 - LATER- BENGAL, EASTERN UP FORGED AHEAD
- ✓ TOWN LIFE-
 - CITIES- HAD MAIN STREETS- CHOWKS
 - HAD TO HAVE – A BAZAAR
 - SEPARATE WARDS- MOHALLAS- GENRALLY DIVIDED ALONG CASTES LINES
 - IN DELHI- HINDUS, MUSLIMS SAME MOHALLA
 - GENREAL ADMINS- HANDS OF KOTWAL
 - ✓ HIS FUNCTIONS-
 - I. LAW & ORDER
 - II. CHECK WEIGHTS & MEASURES
 - III. STOP ILLEGAL CLASSES
 - ALSO- HEAD OF GUILD- MALIK US TUJJAR, NAGAR SETHS
 - OVERALL- ADMINISTRATION ENOUGH FOR PROVIDING AMENITIES OF TOWN LIFE

➤ ARTISANS-

- NO OF PEOPLE EMPLOYED AT ROYAL BUILDING SITES WERE ENORMOUS- 70,000 PEOPLE WORKED AT ALLAUDIN KHILJI'S SITES
- MAIN INDUSTRY- TEXTILES
- INDIAN ARTISANS' SKILL- KNOWN WORLD PVER, REASON- WORK DONE BY HAND
- NO LABOUR SAVING DEVICES USED, WHY?
- IV. SMALL SIZE OF DOMESTIC MARKET
- V. FEAR OF JOB LOSS OF TECHNOLOGY USED
- VI. EG- DUTCH IN 1614 INTRODUCED TECH WHICH QUADRUPLED PRODUCTION OF IRON NAILS & CANNON BALLS- LOCAL RULERS BANNED IT
- HISTORIANS- TAPAN ROYCHOWDHURY- CONCLUDED- MEDIEVAL EUROPE & CHINA- FAR AHEAD IN TECH.
- HOWEVER- SHIPS , SILK MANUFACTURE- GOOD TECHNOLOGY USED

- ARTISANS- MAINLY WORKED AT HOME
- TWO TYPES-

✓ RURAL ARTISANS

- I. PART TIME- INDISTIGUISHABLE FROM LABOURERS
- II. EG- OIL PRESSERS, INDIGO WORKERS

✓ PROFESSIONAL ONES-

1. FOUND IN CITIES- PATRONIZED BY MERCHANTS
2. MERCHANTS USED- DADNI SYSTEM- HERE THEY PROVIDED RAW MATERIALS, ADVANCES IN RETURN FOR ASSURED BUYING

✓ MASTER CRAFTSMEN-

- CALLED USTADS IN MEDIEVAL INDIA
- ABDUL FAZAL- KEPT THEM AT AND RANK IN SOCIAL HIERARCHY, SHOWS- IMPORTANCE
- BENGAL- MASTER CRAFTSMEN- EMPLOYED OWN CAPITAL, SOLD ON OWN

➤ WOMEN-

- UPPER CLASS WOMEN- LUXURY, CONFOED TO HAREM/ OUR DAY
- POLYGAMY- PRESENT
- SOME PLAYED DIRECT PART IN ADMINISTRATION- CHAND BIBI (AHMEDNAGAR), RANI DURGAWATI- GONDWANA
- SOME PLAYED PART THROUGH HUSBANDS- NUR JAHAN
- OTHERS- CLOSELY ASSOCIATED WITH POLITICS- JAHANARA IN SHAH JAHAN & AURANZEB'S TIME

- LOWER CLASS WOMEN- LIFE HARD

- PAID LESS THAN MEN

- HOWEVER- ARTISTIC SKILLS RECOGNISED- FINE MUSLIN SILK OF DHAKA WAS DUE TO WOMEN

- CHIKAN WORK OF AGRA- WOMEN ALSO

- AKBAR TRIED TO REGULATE MARRIAGE AGE- RARELY FOLLOWED
- ALSO- TRIED TO FORBID SATI- BECAME A SYMBOL OF SOCIAL STATUS & RITUAL PURITY
- MORE WOMEN GOING TO SATI- MORE BLESSINGS FOR THE PERSON

- EG- A RANA DIED IN ELICHPUR, 1614- 9 RANIS WENT TO SATI
- ANOTHER IN 1698- 18 DIED
- EVEN NON RELATED WOMEN WERE MADE TO SHARE THE KINGS S BED & THEN PASSED TO SATI

➤ **ARCHITECTURE-**

- MUGHALS- BUILT FORTS, PALACES, GATES , BAOLIS
- FORMAL GARDENS- USE OF RUNNING WATER IN GARDENS- SPECIAL FEATURE OF MUGHALS

✓ **BABUR-**

- FINE AESTHETIC SENSE- DIDN'T HAVE MUCH TIME TO BUILD MANY BUILDINGS IN INDIA
- HE FAVOURED SYMMETRY + REGULARITY IN ARCHITECTURE

✓ **SHER SHAH-**

- MOST BEAUTIFUL - TOMB IN SASARAM
- MIDDLE OF A POND- ILLUSION OF MOVEMENT , YET AN IDEA ABOUT ITS BULK- ACCORDING TO ART HISTORIANS
- MANY FEATURES- SASARAM = CARRIED TO THE TAJ
- HOWEVER- WHILE TAJ- LIGHT & AIRY, SASARAM- SOLIDITY & STRENGTH – REFLECTS SHER SHAH'S CHARACTER

- ALSO- BUILT- PURANA QILA – WALLS OF GREY STONE
- INFLUENCE OF – RAJASTHAN STYLE – BALCONIES & EAVES

✓ **HUMAYUN**

- JAHAN PANAH- INSIDE THE PURANA QILA
- ALSO- QILA E KUHNA MOSQUE

➤ **QUOTE- THE MUGHAL ARCHITECTURE TRULY BEGINS WITH AKBAR-**

- BEGINNINGS – IN IDEAS OF BABUR . HOWEVER- NEITHER HE NOR HUMAYUN- HAD TIME OR SCOPE
- AKBAR- HAD MEANS + STRONG DESIRE + AESTHETIC SENSE
- HE – PERSONALLY SUPERVISED CONSTRUCTION + HIMSELF ENGAGED
- HIS VISION- BRING TOGETHER VARIOUS EXISTING TRADITIONS
- 2 TRADITIONS IN ARCHITECTURE- WORKING SIMULTANEOUSLY-

A) **PERSIAN** -

- HUMAYUN – FAMILIAR WITH IT AT THE COURT OF-
SHAH TAHMASP
- EG- MAUSOLEUM OF HUMAYUN, HAJI BEGUM- 1564-
72
- TRUE DOUBLE DOME- ENABLED A PLEASING SKY LINE

B) INDIAN-

- BUILDING BEING PLACED IN A FORMAL GARDEN
WITH RUNNING WATER
- FATEHPUR SIKRI- BUILT A FORTRESS & PALACE
COMPLEX
- IMOORTANT BUILDINGS- PANCH MAHAL, ANUPA
TALAO, BULAND DARWAZA

WITH CONSOLIDATION OF EMPIRE- MUGHAL ARCHITECTURE- REACHED CLIMAX

✓ **JAHANGIR-**

- PRACTICE BEGAN- PUTTING UP BUILDINGS ENTIRELY OF MARBLE + DECORATE
WALLS WITH FLORAL DESIGNS WITH SEMI PRECIOUS STONES- PIETRA DURA
- EG- TOMB OF ITIMAD UD DAULA
- ALSO- OCTAGONAL PILLAR + GRACEFUL CUPOLAS

➤ **WHY TAJ CAN BE CONSIDERED AS A CULMINATION OF ALL THE MUGHAL STYLES?**

- TAJ MAHAL- REGARDED JEWEL IN BUILDER'S ART
- MANY STYLES WHICH WERE ADAPTED EARLIER – WERE COMBINED HERE-
 - I. PUTTING MAUSOLEUM – IN A FORMAL GARDEN
 - II. STREAMS – RUNNING WATER & FOUNTAINS
 - III. RAISED PLATFORM- TO IMPART SOLIDITY
 - IV. HALF DOME PORTAL- AT ENTRY

✓ WHY CAN TAJ BE CONSIDERED AS A COLLECTIVE EFFORT & NOT OF A SINGLE?

✓ INTRODUCTION

- COMBINATION OF MANY STYLES & CONCEPTIONS – FROM THE PERSIAN AESTHETICS OF AKBAR & HUMAYUN TO THE INDO- PERSIAN STYLES CHAMPIONED BY AKBAR
- ALSO- SHAH JAHAN- APPOINTED- COUNCIL OF EXPERTS TO ADVISE HIM + DESIGNERS SUBMITTED PLANS
- SHAH JAHAN – HIMSELF HAD SUGGESTIONS
- ALL OF THESE- WERE COMBINED IN WOODEN MODELS
- FROM THIS- FINAL MODEL CAME OUT
- AMANAT SHIRAZI- CALLIGRAPHER
- ISMAIL KHAN- DOME BUILDER

✓ CONCLUSION-

- MUCH LIKE PAINTINGS – TAJ WAS A COLLECTIVE & TEAM EFFORT
- GUIDING VISION – OF SHAH JAHAN'S
- CULMINATED- AN ERA OF ARTISTIC GREATNESS

SHAH JAHAN-

- APART FROM TAJ- MOSQUE BUILDING REACHED AN APEX UNDER HIM
- EG- MOTI MASJID- AGRA, JAMA MASJID- DELHI- ENTIRELY IN RED SANDSTONE

✓ AURANGZEB-

- PURITAN & FRUGAL – HENCE NOT MUCH WORK
- EXCEPT- MOTI MASJID – INSIDE RED FORT

✓ CONTINUITY OF MUGHAL ARCHITECTURE-

- MUGHALS- MADE A SYNTHESIS OF TURKO-IRANIAN & HINDU STYLE
- THEIR DECORATIVE TRADITIONS CONTINUED WITHOUT A BREAK INTO 18TH CENTURY

- INFLUENCED- PALACES, FORTS, PUBLIC BUILDINGS IN PROVINCIAL KINGDOMS, EG- THE HOLY SIKH SHRINE- HARMANDIR SAHEB – BUILT ON – ARCH & DOME PRINCIPLE
- WHY? NO ATTEMPT TO ESTABLISH A COMMUNAL REPRESENTATION IN BUILDINGS- BETWEEN HINDU & ISLAMIC FORMS
- MUGHALS- USED WHATEVER ARTISTIC ELEMENTS THEY CONSIDERED USEFUL & PLEASING

➤ PAINTINGS-

✓ THE TRADITION BEHIND MUGHAL PAINTINGS-

(NOTE- CAN BE USED IN A GENERAL DISCUSSION ABOUT INDIAN PAINTINGS TOO)

- RICH TRADITIONS- TO FALL BACK UPON ,REACHED FULL MATURITY- 17TH CENTURY
- PAINTINGS- REFERRED TO- SANSKRIT LITERATURE- MURALS OF AJANTA
- TRADITION DECAYED- 8TH CENTURY , NOT DIED AS SHOWN IN – JAIN PALM LEAF WORKS
- NEW PHASE – BEGAN WITH INTRODUCTION OF PAPER
- PAINTER- MORE CHOICE IN COLOURS + MORE WORKING SPACE
- GUJARAT, MALWA- 2 PLACES WHERE IMPROVEMENTS TOOK PLACE
- SULTANATE PERIOD- NO ILLUSTRATED MANUSCRIPTS
- AMIR KHUSRAU- ART OF PAINTING PRACTICED - HIGHER CLASSES
- RICH TRADITION OF PAINTINGS- SHIRAZ , PERSIA
- DURING 15TH CENTURY- PROVINCIAL KINGDOMS- JAUNPUR, GWALIOR, GUJARAT – EMERGED AS PATRONS- PAINTERS, AUTHORS MOVED FROM SHIRAZ TO THERE
- EG- NIYAMAT NAMA- COOK BOOK – AT MANDU

✓ MUGHAL PAINTINGS-

BABUR-

- EARLY ORIGINS- KABUL , 1504 , CONQUEST BY BABUR
- KABUL- A) CENTRE OF LEARNING & ARTS B) PREVIOUS RULER- WAS A PATRON OF PAINTINGS- BABUR CONTINUED THAT

HUMAYUN-

- HUMAYUN- EXILED IN IRAN- TOOK 2 PAINTERS TO INDIA- ABDUS SAMAD, MIR SAIYID

AKBAR-

- 1567- AKBAR ORDERED ILLUSTRATED MANUSCRIPT OF – HAMZA NAMA- 100 PAINTERS WORKED FOR 15 YEARS
- OTHER BOOKS- CHINGIZ NAMA, MAHABHARATA
- AKBAR- FOND OF PAINTINGS – ORDERED PAINTINGS IN IMPERIAL KARKHANAS
- THEMES- WAR, HUNTING SCENES, MYTHICAL BEINGS
- POTRAITS- WERE COMMON, EVEN AKBAR SAT FOR ONE.
- NO SPECIALISATION- 1 DREW OUTLINE, ANOTHER- FILL COLORS
- JAHANGIR- CLAIMED HE COULD DISTINGUISH PAINTERS
- EUROPEAN PAINTINGS – INTRODUCED DURING HIS TIME
- TECHNIQUE OF FORE SHORTENING- WHERE DISTANT PEOPLE & THINGS – PLACED IN PERSPECTIVE
- UNDER AKBAR- INDIAN PAINTINGS- FREED ITSELF FROM PERSIAN INFLUENCE- ABANDONED - 2D EFFECT FORMAL MORE ROUNDED 3D EFFECT
- ✓ JAHANGIR-
 - GREAT EYE FOR PAINTINGS- MUGHAL MINIATURES DEVELOPED
 - UNDER HIM- INDIAN COLOURS- PEACOCK BLUE, INDIAN RED COLOUR- BEGAN TO BE USED
 - MUGHAL PAINTINGS- HAD NO INTEREST IN INDEPENDENT STUDY OF NATURE, RATHER- NATURE FORMED A BACKDROP

SHAH JAHAN-

- PATRONIZED – HOWEVER- LACKED HIS FATHER'S AESTHETIC SENSE

AURANGZEB-

- LACK OF INTEREST
- LED TO SCATTERING OF ARTISTS TO DIFFERENT PARTS
- CONTRIBUTED- DEVELOPMENT OF PAINTINGS – RAJASTHAN, HILLS OF PUNJAB

➤ LANGUAGE & LITERATURE-

- PERSIAN & SANSKRIT- AS VEHICLES OF THOUGHT + GOVT - AT ALL INDIA LEVEL
- DUE TO – GROWTH IF BHAKTI, SUFI MOVEMENTS
- KNOWLEDGE OF PERSIAN- SO WIDESPREAD IN NORTH INDIA- THAT AKBAR- DISCONTINUED- PRACTICE OF KEEPING LAND RECORDS IN LOCAL LANGUAGES- HINDAVI
- ABDUL FAZAL- LEADING HISTORIAN- SET A STANDARD OF PROSE WRITING
- PERSIAN POETS- UTBI, NAZIRI
- NO OF DICTIONARIES OF PERSIAN LANGUAGE- COMPILED

✓ SANSKRIT

- LARGE NO OF WORKS IN SANSKRIT- LAW, PHILOSOPHY, LOGIC – WRITTEN
- SANSKRIT WRITINGS- AT VARANASI , BECAME A PLACE OF SCHOLARS' GATHERING

✓ REGIONAL LANGUAGES-

- ACQUIRED- STABILITY, MATURITY
- FINE LYRICAL POETRY- THEMES-
 - I. DALLIANCE OF RADHA, KRISHNA
 - II. STORIES FROM PURANA
- SUCH STORIES- ODIYA, BENGALI, GUJARAT ETC

✓ HINDI-

- HINDI – BRIJ FORM- BECAME POPULAR
- PATRONIZED - MUGHAL EMPERORS, PRINCES + OTHER RULERS
- ABDUL RAHIM KHAN I KHANAN, COMPOSED- FINE BLEND OF BHAKTI + PERSIAN FORMS
- TULSIDAS- SPOKE A EASTERN UP DIALECT

✓ URDU-

- DEVELOPED IN SOUTH- DAKHINI
- LATER- FOUND PATRONAGE- MUGHAL COURT- 2ND HALF OF 17TH CENTURY
- BECAME LITERARY LANGUAGE- MIR, DARD, SAUDA ETC

✓ NOTE- MALAYALAM- EMERGED AS SEPARATE LANGUAGE

➤ MUSIC-

- A CULTURAL ASPECT WHICH BREACHED- CASTE/ RELIGIOUS DIFFERENCES

- SON OF ISLAM SHAH SUR- PATRON OF MUSIC + PLAYER OF PAKHAWAJ
- RAJA MAN SINGH OF GWALIOR- MAN KAUTUHAL- HIS MELODIES COLLECTED IN A BOOK
- AKBAR – TOOK OVER SERVICES OF TANSEN FROM HIM.
- TANSEN- THE DHRUPAD STYLE
- SHAH JAHAN- PATRON OF MUSIC & EVEN AURANGZEB- ACCOMPLISHED VEENA PLAYER
- TUHFAT- UL-HIND- WRITTEN DURING JAHANDAR SHAH'S TIME
- MOHAMMED SHAH RANGEELA- HAD 2 FAMOUS SINGERS- ADARANG, SADARANG

➤ SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

- INDIAN S& T SLOWED DOWN IN 8TH CENTURY . NOT COME TO AN END- BHASKARACHARYA'S WORK- 1150 CE

✓ ARRIVAL OF ARABS/ TURKS

- INTERACTION OF ARAB SCIENCE + WITH INDIA-
- RESULT- PAPER, SPINNING WHEEL , PERSIAN WHEEL, USE OF SUPERIOR MORTAR
- POST 11TH CENTURY- HEAVY ATTACK ON RATIONALISM- AL GHAZZALI
- SCIENCE- BEGAN TO BE ASSOCIATED WITH RELIGION, MYSTICISM
- INABILITY - TO DETACH FROM – RELGION + MYSTICISM- MAJOR WEAKNESSES

✓ AKBAR'S TIME-

- MANY INNOVATIONS- METHOD TO CLEAN MANY GUN BARRELS AT A TIME,
- MOVING CARRIAGE FOR GRINDING CORN
- AKBAR- HIMSLEF INVENTED THINGS
- HOWEVER- NOT FOLLOWED UP- AS RULING CLASSSS – LITTLE INTEREST

✓ ARRIVAL OF EUROPEANS-

- RULERS – SAW SUPERIORITY OF PORTUGUESE GUNS AT SEA- ATTEMPTED TO COPY. EG- ZAMORIN HIRED 2 MILANESE TO PRODUCE GUNS FOR HIM
- BY 16TH CENTURY AT SURAT & DABHOL - SHIP BUILDER'S BEGAN TO COPY EUROPEANS

✓ INDIANS BACKWARD IN –

- MANUFACTURING CANNONS, MUSKETS
- ABSENCE OF TELESCOPES
- FIELD OF ASTRONOMY- JAI SONG'S OBSERVATORY - BASED ON OLD THEORY ABOUT EARTH BEING CENTRE OF THE UNIVERSE
- GLASS TECHNOLOGY- ENGLISH DRINKING GLASSES IN DEMAND

✓ **QUESTION**

- **INDIA HAD AN ABUNDANCE OF SKILLED CRAFTSMEN, ABUNDANCE OF LIQUID CAPITAL , THEN WHY DID IT REMAIN BEHIND IN FIELD OF TECHNOLOGY?**

 - **RELATION OF SCIENCE WITH RELIGION- LED TO A DISTRUST IN RATIONALITY**

 - **INSULARITY OF RULING CLASSES + ARROGANCE TOWARDS OUTSIDE KNOWLEDGE – BECAME HALLMARKS**

 - **HAS BEEN ARGUED- EXTREME SPECIALISATION- OF CASTE SYSTEM LED TO DECLINE**
- HOWEVER- 2 ARGUMENTS-**

- I. **EVEN IN EUROPE- ARTISANAL SKILLS- PASSED FROM FATHER TO SON.**
- II. **NO CASTE BAR ON ENLISTING NEW MEMBERS INTO PROFESSIONS**

- **RULING CLASSES- WERE ON THE LOOKOUT FOR NEW NOVELTIES**
- **EG- GLOBES OF THE WORLD, CLOCKS BEING SOUGHT**
- **ABDUL FAZAL- KNEW ABOUT DISCOVERY OF AMERICA**
- **HOWEVER- THESE DIDN'T LEAD TO A MORE SYSTEMATIC STUDY OF SCIENCES**
- **MERCHANTS HAD FINANCE CAPITAL & BROUGHT ARTISANS UNDER CONTROL - THROUGH DADNI SYSTEM**
- **BUT- THEY DIDN'T INVEST IN NEW TECHNOLOGY – HENCE NO CHANGES**

✓ **CONCLUSION-**

- **MAJOR INNOVATIONS CAN ONLY TAKE PLACE- WHEN SCIENCE GROWS SIDE BY SIDE WITH TECHNOLOGY**
- **THIS HAS TO HAVE A CONDUCTIVE ECOSYSTEM- BOTH OF ECONOMIC & INTELLECTUAL ASPECTS**
- **INDIA- HAD THE RAW MATERIALS BUT NOT AN ECOSYSTEM TO DEVELOP**

➤ **DECLINE OF MUGHAL EMPIRE-**

I. **INTERNAL FACTORS-**

- **MISGUIDED POLICIES OF AURANGZEB- STRETCHED EMPIRE TO THE LIMIT**
- **REFUSAL TO ACCOMMODATE- SHIVAJI, SIKHS , JATS- LED TO REBELLION**
- **INTERNAL WARS OF SUCCESSION- 1707-19 WEAKENED**
- **CORRUPTION + INEFFICIENCY- LED TO DRAIN OF TALENT- NIZAM UL MULK- SET UP NEW STATE- HYDERABAD- 1724, OTHERS- BENGAL, AWADH, PUNJAB**

➤ EXTERNAL FACTORS-

- NADER SHAH'S INVASION – MADE THE WEAKNESS KNOWN
- GAINED- NORTH WEST AREAS- INDIA'S OUTER DEFENCE PERIMETER BREACHED
- FOLLOWED- AHMED SHAH ABDALI- 1748-1767

➤ DECLINE- SOME INTERPRETATIONS –

A) TRADITIONAL VIEW-

- IRVING, SARKAR- FOCUS- PERSONAL FAILINGS + LUXURY LOVING= NOBLES, EMPEROR
- SIKH, JAT, BUNDELA UPRISINGS- UNDERSTOOD AS HINDU REACTIONS TO MUSLIM RULE

COUNTER VIEW-

- TRUE- MANY NOBLES+ EMPEROR- LOVE OF LUXURY
- HOWEVER- MEN OF ABILITY- NIZAM UP MILK, ALIVARDI KHAN, SADAT KHAN, MAHADJI SCINDHIA ETC
- HENCE- NOT A CRISIS ON PERSONALITY
- ALSO- SIKH, JAT STATES- FREELY RECRUITED MUSLIMS – IN ARMY + ADMINISTRATION
- HENCE- NO HINDU REACTION

B) ECONOMIC CAUSES- SATISH CHANDRA + IRFAN HABIB

- CRISIS IN JAGIRDARI SYSTEM- MAIN CAUSE
- PROBLEMS- SHORTAGE OF JAGIRS + TOO MANY JAGIRDARS
- AGRARIAN SYSTEM – MORE EXPLOITATIVE
- LED TO PEASANT REVOLTS

C) NEW CAMBRIDGE SCHOOL-

- OPPOSITE VIEW OF IRBAN HABIB
- REVOLTS- SEEN AS DUE TO SUCCESS OF SYSTEM
- THOSE WHO LED REVOLTS- RICH FARMERS + MONEY LENDERS

✓ CONCLUSION-

- CAMBRIDGE THEORY- NOT BACKED BY ANY FURTHER EVIDENCE
- ALSO- NO HINDU REACTION PER SE
- HENCE- ECO CRISIS – MOST IMPORTANT

➤ CONTINUITY OF MUGHAL TRADITIONS-

A) RULE IN NAME OF KING-

- PRESTIGE OF MUGHA, EMPIRE- SO MUCH- WHETHER ACQUIRING TERRITORY, THRONE- REQUIRED SANCTION OF EMPEROR
- SIKHS – MADE OFFERING TO- EMPEROR, 1783

B) CONTROL OVER THE PERSON OF THE KING-

- BOTH ENGLISH + MARATHAS- FOUGHT FOR CONTROL OVER KING
- HOPE- GAIN LEGITIMACY

➤ CONTINUITY OF INSTITUTIONS VS CHANGE IN STRUCTURES-

- NEW POLITIES- REGIONAL, NONE COULD ACQUIRE AN ALL INDIA STRUCTURE
- SOME OF MUGHAL INSTITUTIONS - LAND REVENUE, GENERAL ADMINISTRATION – FOUND ITS WAY INTO REGIONAL STATE'S
- MUGHAL STRUCTURES PERFORMED DIFFERENT FUNCTIONS UNDER COLONIAL MASTERS
- EG- LAND REVENUE COMPACT OF THE MUGHALS UTILIZED BY ENGLISH – EXTRACTED WEALTH BUT IT WAS DRAINED FROM INDIA
- COLONIAL HISTORIANS- TRIED TO SHOW THAT BRITISH WERE NO DIFFERENT FROM THEIR PREDECESSORS

➤ REGIONAL POLITIES-

- I. SUCCESSOR STATES- BENGAL , AWASH, NIZAM
- II. NEW STATES- SIKHS, JATS , BUNDELAS
- III. INDEPENDENT KINGDOMS- MYSORE, RAJPUTS,

➤ THIRD BATTLE OF PANIPAT-

- ❖ COMMENCED ON 14TH JAN, 1761
- ❖ HOWEVER- CONFLICT WAS BREWING- 1752- WHEN MARATHAS OVERRAN NORTH INDIA + ESTB INFLUENCE AT DELHI
- ❖ MARATHAS -LOOKED TOWARDS PUNJAB- RULED BY A TRIBUTARY OF ABDALI

❖ **ABDALI – HAD RETREATED- RETURNED TO MEET MARATHS CHALLENGE**

✓ **WHY MARATHAS LOST?**

- I. **MARATHAS – LEFT MOBILE WARFARE & SHIFTED TO SLOW MUGHAL STYLE WARFARE- WHERE ADMINISTRATION + ROYAL FAMILY MOVED ALONGSIDE**
- II. **LACK OF MOBILE ARTILLERY.**
- III. **MARATHAS- DEPENDED UPON SWORDS, AFGHANS FLINT LOCK MUSKETS**
- IV. **LACK OF COORDINATION AMONGST MARATHA SARDARS**
- V. **LASTLY- GATHERING OF ALL ANTI MARATHAS FORCES- ROHILKHAND, AWADH - WITH ABDALI**

✓ **AFTERMATH OF PANIPAT- ANALYSIS –**

- **MARATHAS AMBITION- PARAMOINT POWER- SETBACK**
- **ABDALI- RETREATED, DIED SOON AFTER**
- **CAN BE SEEN AS A CONFLICT BETWEEN FORCES OF CENTRALISATION & REGIONALISM**
- **PESHWA'S ATTEMPT- CENTRALIZATION FAILED**
- **HOWEVER – VICTORY DIDN'T GO TO REGIONALISM , SYMBOLISED BY MARATHAS SARDARS OR MANY MUGHAL NOBLES**
- **RATHER- ULTIMATE BENEFICIARIES- CENTRALISATION OF THE COLONIAL POWER**

➤ **NATURE OF THE REGIONAL POLITIES-**

● **5 ASPECTS-**

I. **TIES WITH MUGHAL AUTHORITY-**

- **DISTINCT MOVE TOWARDS AUTONOMY (BENGAL, AWADH)- YET FORMALLY ACKNOWLEDGED - MUGHAL AUTHORITY**
- **IN AWADH- ONLY IN 1819- FORMALLY REVOKED**
- **EVEN THEN- TIES REMAINED, ADMINISTRATION - ALONG MUGHAL LINES**

II. **GROWING POWER OF MERCHANTS/ MONEY LENDERS-**

- **DURING 17TH CENTURY - HELPED MUGHALS- EXPAND TRADE+ TAXATION SYSTEM**
- **HOWEVER- NOT MUCH ROLE- IN IMPERIAL POLITICS**
- **IN 18TH CENTURY- GUARANTOR OF MONEY- NOBLES**
- **GOVT BORROWED SUMS- FRKM THEM**
- **GAVE THEM- SAY IN POLITICAL MATTERS TOO**
- **EG- AGARWAL BANKER DOMINATED – BENARAS, JAGAT SETH- BENGAL**

III. GROWING POWER OF ZAMINDARS-

- IMPERIAL AUTHORITY WEAKENED- ZAMINDARIS CONSOLIDATED POWER
- CONTROLLED MARKETS + BEGAN TO TAX MERCHANTS
- THUS- LAW & ORDER + REVENUE MATTERS CAME
-
- UNDER PURVIEW
- THEIR ROLE – MAINTENANCE OF STABILITY - IN REGION WAS RECOGNISED
- SUPPORTIVE OF MERCHANTS - SOMETIMES DOUBLED UP AS MONEY LENDERS

IV. GROWING EMPLOYMENT OF HINDUS IN REVENUE ADMINISTRATION-

- EG- ATMA RAM, RAM NARAYAN – AWADH, RAM DURLABH, AMIR CHAND- BENGAL
- MAYBE- TO GIVE LOCALS A STAKE IN GOVT

V. GROWING POWER OF ENGLISH

- ECONOMIC POWER + GOOD ARMY AT ITS DISPOSAL
- MUTUAL QUARRELS , FACTIONS OF PROVINCIAL ADMINISTRATION- GAVE SCOPE TO MEDDLE

➤ NATURE OF MARATHA POLITY-

I. IMPERIALIST –

- GENERALLY CHARACTERISE - AS CHAOTIC & ANARCHY

II. NATIONALIST-

- SEE IT AS A LAST RESURGENCE OF HINDU POLITY

III. SATISH CHANDRA-

- FAILURE OF JAGIRDARI SYSTEM – CAUSE OF MARATHA ATTEMPT FOR REGIONAL INDEPENDENCE
- INCREASE IN JAGIRDARS- CONCURRENTLY REDUCTION IN AGRICULTURAL INCOME

IV. IRFAN HABIB-

- ESSENTIALLY- ZAMINDARI REACTION

V. C A BAYLY-

- ROLE- PEASANTRY AGAINST ARISTOCRACY
- MARATHAS- DREW STRENGTH FROM THEM

✓ CONCLUSION-

- NEED TO BROADEN - CONCEPTS OF STATE & STATE FORMATION IN THIS CONTEXT
- COMPARE- SIMILAR PROCESSES OF STATE FORMATION- IN EUROPE & ASIA BEFORE INDUSTRIALISATION
- CANNOT – HAVE STEREOTYPES – ABOUT PRE INDUSTRIAL SOCIETIES BEING – NOT UNRESPONSIVE TO CHANGE

➤ MARATHAS ADMINISTRATION-

✓ ASTAPRADHAN-

- COUNCIL OF 8 THAT ADMINISTERED THE EMPIRE- FORMED- 1674
- IMPLEMENTED POLICIES FOR ADMINISTRATION + MILITARY STRATEGIES TOO

NAME OF POST	ROLE	FUNCTIONS
I. PESHWA	PM	GENERAL ADMINISTRATION- LATER BECAME THE MILITARY COMMANDER AS WELL
II. AMATYA / MAZUMDER	FINANCE MINISTER	ACCOUNTS
III. SACHIV	SECRETARY	PREPARE ROYAL EDICTS
IV. MANTRI	HOME MINISTER	INTELLIGENCE, ESPIONAGE
V. SENAPATI	COMMANDER IN CHIEF	MILITARY LEADER
VI. NYAYADHISH	CHIEF JUSTICE	CIVIL + CRIMINAL MATTERS
VII. PANDITRAO	HIGH PRIEST	RELIGIOUS MATTERS
VIII. SUMANT/ DABIR	FOREIGN MINISTER	MANAGE RELATION WITH OTHER KINGS

✓ THE ADMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE-

- MARATHAS DOMINION CAN BE DIVIDED INTO 2 AREAS-

A) REGULATION AREAS-

- LAND REVENUE BASED UPON ASSESSMENT
- DIVIDED AMONGST VATANDARS
- UNIT OF 10- 200 VILLAGES- UNDER DESHMUKHS

B) NON REGULATION AREAS-

- AUTONOMOUS CHIEFS- LEFT WITH THEIR OWN STRUCTURES
- DEMAND – TRIBUTE, NOT BASED ON ASSESSMENT
- RATHER- POWER OF RESISTANCE- ie – WEAKER ONES PAYING MORE & VICE VERSA

✓ **VATANDAR SYSTEM-**

- RIGHTS- OF LAND REVENUE
- VESTED- NOT IN AN INDIVIDUAL
- RATHER- BROTHERHOOD OF PATRILINEAL RELATIVES
- CO SHARERS OF LAND REVENUE + SHARE IN RENT FREE LANDS ETC
- DIVISION OF SHARES OF VATAN- NOT MEAN DIVISION OF LAND BUT OF PROCEEDS

- TEANANTS- 2 TYPES- A) RESIDENT CULTIVATORS (MIRASDARS) B) TEMPORARY CULTIVATORS- UPARIS

- IN ADDITION TO REVENUE- OTHER CHARGES- RECORDED BY VILLAGE OFFICIALS

- IN 1790'S – INCREASED WARFARE + OBLIGATIONS TO THE BRITISH UNDER SUBSIDIARY ALLIANCE –

- HENCE- LED TO MORE REVENUE FARMING + INCREASE IN STATE'S DEMANDS

✓ **AGRARIAN SOCIETY-**

- BY 18TH CENTURY- MARATHAS HEARTLAND AROUND POONA – REACHED A LIMIT OF DEVELOPMENT . WHY?

- I. POORLY IRRIGATED
- II. BACKWARD STATE OF AGRICULTURE
- III. LIMITS OF AVAILABLE TECHNOLOGY

- HENCE- SPREAD OF MARATHAS- INTO AREAS OF STABLE AGRICULTURE- TANJORE (SOUTH), GUJARAT , GANGA VALLEY (NORTH)
- MARATHAS- RELIED UPON – CONCESSIONS TO BRING NEW LANDS UNDER CULTIVATION, ALSO- REMISSION OF LOANS TO ENCOURAGE IRRIGATION

- STRENGTHENING OF HOLD ON PEASANTRY OF NON CULTIVATING PRIVILEGED CLASS - DESHMUKHS, MILITARY OFFICERS

✓ MONETIZATION

- GROWING OF CASH CROPS
- MANUFACTURING - FOR DISTANT MARKETS
- IMPORT OF – COPPER & COWRIES- 17th CENTURY
- LOANS – IN CASH + LOCAL TRANSACTIONS

✓ LONG TERM TRENDS –

- FROM 14TH, 15TH CENTURIES ONWARDS- CERTAIN FAMILIES – GAINED POWER, PRESTIGE- GUJARAT, RJ ETC, EG- HOLKARS, BHONSLE, SCHINDHIA
- CONFLICT BETWEEN – CENTRALIZING OF STATES VS EGALITARIAN LEASANT SOCIETIES
- EG- VATANDAR ASSEMBLIES – HELD TO – PROTECT FROM STATE DEMANDS

➤ WEAKNESSES OF THE REGIONAL POLITY-

- STRONG ENOUGH NOT DESTROY- MUGHAL EMPIRE BUT NOT – TO ESTB- PARALLEL STRUCTURE
- MYSORE- TRIED TO MODERNISE- OVERALL THEY REMAINED BACKWARD - IN S& T
- COULD NOT REVERSE- GENERAL ECO STAGNATION
- JAGIRDARI CRISIS- DEEPENED- AGRICULTURAL OUTPUT DECLINED + NO OF JAGIRDARS- INCREASED
- HOWEVER- SATISH CHANDRA- NOT GENERAL DECLINE, RESILIENCE OF ECONOMY - NOTICEABLE
- EG- EXPORT OF COTTON OF BENGALI, GUJARAT- INCREASED FROM 1770'S
- HOWEVER- QUICK COLLAPSE OF THE POLITY

➤ **SIKHISM- RELIGIOUS TO POLITICAL IDENTITY-**

- FOUNDER- GURU NANAK, NAMED FOLLOWERS AS SIKHS- MEANS DISCIPLE
- IN TIME- DESIGNATION BECAME RELIGIOUS , NOT ETHNIC

- 200 YEARS- 9 GURUS STRENGTHENED BROTHERHOOD
- DISTINCT IDENTITY DEVELOPED-
- I. DISTINCT SCRIPT- GURUMUKHI (GURU ANGAD)
- II. FOUNDATIONS OF – AMRTISAR TEMPLE - GURU RAM DAS
- III. COMPILATION OF HOLY TEXTS- AID GRANTH
- IV. GURU GOVIND SINGH- TRANSFORMED THEM – FIGHTING FORCE- KHALSA
- AFTER EXECUTION OF BANDA BAHADUR-1715 , MANY ATTEMPTS MADE BY MUGHALS TO CONTROL SIKHS- NOT SUCCESSFUL

- SIKHS- ESTABLISHED AS A POWERFUL FORCE IN PUNJAB, FACTORS-
- I. WEAKENING OF MUGHAL AUTHORITY
- II. INVASIONS- NADER SHAH, ABDALI
- III. LACK OF COHESION – LOCAL ADMINISTRATION
- IV. DEFIANCE - CENTRAL AUTHORITY BY LOCAL CHIEFS

- WITH DEATH OF ABDALI- SIKHS CARVED OUT- SEVERAL SMALLER PRINCIPALITIES
- ORGANIZED - INTO SMALL MOBILE BANDS CALLED- JATHAS
- REALIZED – NEED FOR COHESION
- HENCE- TRIED TO MEET REGULARLY - OCCASIONS – DIWALI, BAISAKHI
- THESE MEETINGS- PROMOTED SOLIDARITY

- POST 1765- STEADY DEVELOPMENT OF SIKH POWER

- BY 2ND HALF OF 18TH CENTURY- SMALLER GROUPS HAD REGROUPED – INTO 12 LARGER CONFEDERACIES- MISLS

- EG- RAMGARIYAS- CONTROL OF JALANDHAR SUKERCHAKIA- GUJRANWALA, WAZIRABAD

✓ **MISLS-**

- EACH MISL- DEVELOPED HOLD OVER A PARTICULAR AREA
- ORIGINALLY – FORMED ON PRINCIPLE OF EQUALITY
- EACH MEMBER- HAD EQUAL SAY IN AFFAIRS + ELECTING CHIEF

- THE UNITY + DEMOCRATIC SPIRIT – SHOWN DUE TO THE AFGHAN THREAT THAT LOOKED OVER PUNJAB
- WHEN – THREAT RECEDED- THIS CHARACTER WITHERED AWAY
- LED – EMERGENCE OF POWERFUL CHIEFS, VITALITY OF MISLS- LOST
- ULTIMATELY- RANJIT SINGH – SUKERCHAKIA MISL- UNITED THEM

✓ NATURE OF SIKH POLITY-

A) PRE MISL PERIOD

- BASIS OF SIKH POLITY- LAID DOWN BY – MORAL ETHOS + DEMOCRATIC TRADITION OF SIKH GURUS
- FOUND REFLECTION- FEATURES – GURMATA, DAL KHALSA

B) MISL PERIOD

- HISTORIANS- NOT UNANIMOUS ABOUT- NATURE OF POLITU DURING MISL PERIOD
- SOME VIEW- THEOCRATIC IN CHARACTER
- OTHER- POINT TOWARDS MUTUAL INTERESTS OF EACH CHIEF DECIDING COURSE OF ACTION
- ALSO- IN SPITE OF HAVING- AN OVERALL DEMOCRATIC FRAMEWORK- NOT MUCH DEMOCRACY IN INTERNAK FUNCTIONING OF MISLS
- WITHIN A MISL- USUALLY- THE SARDAR OR CHIEF- WAS MOST POWERFUL
- MISL- EXISTED DUE TO- EXTERNAL THREAT

C) 2RANJIT SINGH-

- 19TH CENTURY- KING BECAME SUPREME AUTHORITY
- RANJIT SINGH- FULL FAITH – SIKH SCRIPTURES
- HOWEVER- WAS NOT NARROW IN VIEWS
- RECRUITED- HINDUS MUSLIMS IN ARMY + ADMINISTRATION
- REALISED- PUNJAB BEING- MULTI RELIGIOUS, LINGUISTIC- HAD TO HAVE A BROAD POLITY
- OFCOURSE- SIKHS WERE IN POSITONS OF POWER , BUT NOT MONOPOLIZING
- IDEA OF CONSOLIDATION- WAS THE DRIVING FORCE – ACCORDING TO HISTORIANS

➤ WHY CONQUEST FROM BENGAL?

- BENGAL = RICH TRADE + RESOURCES
- GOOD PORTS = EASY RESOURCE EXTRACTION
- WEAK ADMINISTRATION = EASY TO CAPTURE
- UNLIKE NORTH WEST , BENGAL NOT VOLATILE + STRATEGICALLY SAFE
- ALSO- CONTEMPORARY WISDOM - CONQUEST COULD COME FROM NORTH WEST ONLY – NOT SUSPECTED THE ENGLISH

❖ PAPER II- WORLD HISTORY

DATA-

- BY 1802 COTTON WAS 4-5% OF NATIONAL INCOME OF BRITAIN . BY 1810 IT WENT UP TO 8%
- END OF 18TH CENTURY- \$ 5 MILLION FROM COTTON
- 1830-50 - 6000 MILES OF RAILROAD LAID
- GERMAN INDUSTRY- COAL PRODUCTION- 29 MT (1870) - 191 MT(1913)
- IRON ORE - 1.5 MT (1870) - 19 MT (1913)
- FRENCH REVOLUTION -
 1. JUST BEFORE REVOLUTION- NATIONAL DBT ROSE FROM 8 TO 12 MILLION LIVRES
 2. 1 LAKH CLERGY - OWNED 10% OF LAND
-

QUOTES-

- *CLAIMANT TEUTONISM RAISED THE BANNER OF UNREDEEMED GERMANY , CLAIMANT DANISM THAT OF UNREDEEMED DENMARK -*

CONTEXT-

- REFERENCE TO SCHLESWIG HOLSTEIN
- GERMAN SENTIMENTS BEGAN TO RISE - TREATY OF LONDON 1852 PUT A TEMPORARY HALT
- KING FREDERICK VII OF DENMARK STARTED A DELIBERATE POLICY OF DANIZING- AGAINST LONDON TREATY
- THIS STARTED A COMPETITION AMONGST PRUSSIA & DENMARK
- WAR OVER THESE 2 DUCHIES FOLLOWED

UNION OF GERMANY UNDER PRUSSIAN LESDERSHIP COULD BE ACHIEVED AT THE PRICE OF WAR WITH AUSTRIA

CONTEXT-

- BISMARCK- WANTED TO UNITE GERMANY UNDER PRUSSIAN RULE
- CLEARLY UNDERSTOOD - AUSTRIA & PRUSSIA NATURAL & HISTORICAL ENEMIES
- WAR WITH AUSTRIA ONLY A MATTER OF TIME
- INITIALLY ALLIED WITH THEM OVER SCHLESWIG - HOLSTEIN ,LATER 1866 WAR

IT WAS FRANCE THAT WAS BEATEN AT SADOWA

CONTEXT-

- PRUSSIAN VICTORY OVER AUSTRIA - REMOVED AUSTRIA AS AN OBSTACLE
- BISMARCK NOW FREE TO CONCETRATE ON FRANCE
- THIS VICTORY WAS ACTUALLY A MENACE TO FRENCH SECURITY & HER PRESTIGE
- THIS LED TO WAR THAT DEPRIVED ALSACE LORRAINE FROM FRANCE FOR MANY YEARS

“WHETHER CIVIL WAR WAS FOUGHT FOR SLAVERY OR FOR RIGHT OF SECESSION , IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO DISTINGUISH. THE TWO WERE INSEPARABLY INTERTWINED “

CONTEXT-

- DEBATES REGARDING TRUE CAUSE - SLAVERY, STATES VS FEDERAL, INDUSTRIAL VS AGRICULTURE , UNION VS STATES RIGHT ETC
- SLAVERY PLAYED A PART TRUE, HOWEVER FOR MANY OTHER REASONS EQUALLY STRONG
- EG- ROBERT LEE, JOINED BECAUSE OG HIS ALLEGIANCE TO THIS HOME STATE VIRGINIA
- IN THE END - ALL FUSED UP

- CANNOT BE DISTINGUISHED FROM EACH OTHER

➤ FAILURE OF ENLIGHTENED DESPOTISM-

- I. AGREED – REFORMS FOR THE PEOPLE HOWEVER- NOT DIRECTED BY THE PEOPLE. BOTH- CATHERINE II, FREDERICK THE GREAT- TIGHTENED- MONARCHIAL ABSOLUTISM
- II. REQUIRED – STRONG RULER TO IMPLEMENT. IF NOT THERE- THEN FAILURE- EG- PAUL I OF RUSSIA ABANDONED MANY OF HER MOTHER'S (CATHERINE THE GREAT'S) PROJECTS
- III. TOO FAST- TOO SOON- NOT TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE SUPERSTITIONS , PREJUDICES OF PPL- EG- JOSEPH II OF AUSTRIA
- IV. WAS TOO FEEBLE AND TOO ELITIST- TO HAVE AN IMPACT. IT TOOK THE FRENCH REVOLUTION TO GIVE A MIGHTY BLOW- ANCIEN REGIME

➤ INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION- EVOLUTION VS REVOLUTION

REVOLUTION – BLANQUI, ASHTON

EVOLUTION- ARNOLD TOYNBEE

➤ PRE- MARXIAN SOCIALISM-

✓ CHARLES FOURIER-

- FOUNDERS- UTOPIAN SOCIALISM
- CREDITED- USAGE OF WORD- FEMINISM, 1837

- COOPERATION- WAY TO SOCIAL SUCCESS

- DEVELOPED- PHALANSTERIES- WHICH WERE SELF CONTAINED UTOPIAN COMMUNITIES- BASED ON COOPERATION WITH EACH OTHER

- BELIEVED – WOMEN'S RIGHTS + APTITUDE AS A MEASURE OF SELECTION - THAN GENDER

✓ LOUIS BLANQUI-

- SOCIALIST REVOLUTION- SHOULD BE CARRIED OUT BY – SMALL GROUP OF HIGHLY ORGANISED SECRETIVE CONSPIRATORS
- HAVING POWER- THEN WOULD INTRODUCE- SOCIALISM

● DIFFERENCE FROM MARXIAN THOUGHT-

- I. MARX- BELIEVED IN PREDOMINANT ROLE- WORKING CLASS BLANQUI- NO.
- II. BLANQUI- NO BELIEVER IN POPULAR MOVEMENTS RATHER- SMALL, SECRETIVE GROUP
- III. MARX CONCERNED- MORE ABOUT RESULTANT SOCIETY BLANQUI- REVOLUTION ITSELF

✓ PIERRE PROUDHON-

- FAVOURED - WORKERS ASSOCIATIONS/ COOPERATIVES OVER PVT OWNERSHIP
- SOCIAL REVOLUTION- IN A PEACEFUL MANNER

● DIFFERED FROM MARX-

- I. BELIEVED IN WORKER'S COOPERATIVES- THAN NATIONALISATION OF PROPERTY
- II. DIDN'T FAVOUR- CENTRALISED SOCIALISM OF MARX

✓ ROBERT OWEN-

- 1 OF THE FOUNDERS- UTOPIAN SOCIALISM + CO-OPERATIVE MOVEMENT
- EFFORTS- IMPROVE CONDITIONS OF WORKERS- AT NEW LANARK, SCOTLAND
- ESTABLISHED – EXPERIMENTAL SOCIALIST COMMUNITY- NEW HARMONY, INDIANA
- PIONEER- INFANT CARE IN BRITAIN

✓ SAINT SIMON-

- FOR AN EFFICIENT ECONOMY + PEACEFUL SOCIETY- THE NEEDS OF THE INDUSTRIAL CLASS – NEEDS TO BE RECOGNISED
- NEED FOR MERIT BASED SYSTEM- THAN BIRTH BASED

- AGAINST- EXPANSION OF GOVT BEYOND ENSURING NO HINDRANCE TO WORK + REDUCE IDLENESS IN SOCIETY
- DIFFERENCE WITH MARX-
 - I. NOT PROMOTE- CLASS CONFLICT LIKE MARX
 - II. DIDN'T REGARD OWNERSHIP OF PROPERTY AS THE MAIN ISSUE- RATHER HOW IT'S MANAGED . MARX REGARDED – PVT OWNERSHIP OF PROPERTY- ROOT CAUSE OF PROBLEM
 - III. NO BLANKET OPPOSITION TO CAPITALISTS- LIKE MARX

➤ JEAN JACQUES ROUSSEAU-

- POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY - INFLUENCED ENLIGHTENMENT + ASPECTS OF FRENCH REVOLUTION
- HOBBS- SAW MAN AS INHERENTLY WICKED- ROUSSEAU SAW THE STATE OF NATURE AS THE BEST
- A MIDDLE STAGE BETWEEN ANIMAL BRUTISHNESS & DECADENCE OF CIVILISATIONS
- CONSIDERS THAT – SOCIETY HAS NEGATIVELY TRANSFORMED HUMAN SELF LOVE (AMOUR DE SOI) INTO- PRIDE
- *DISCOURSES ON ARTS & SCIENCES*- HE SAYS- ARTS & SCIENCE'S CONTRIBUTED TO GROWTH OF PRIDE- HENCE HAVE BEEN HARMFUL
- PROGRESS OF KNOWLEDGE- MADE GOVTS MORE POWERFUL
- ENLIGHTENMENT THINKERS- + VE VIEW OF PROGRESS- ROUSSEAU - PROGRESS HAS BEEN HARMFUL
- HE TRACES – HUMAN SOCIAL EVOLUTION- PVT PROPERTY + DIVISION OF LABOUR- LED TO INEQUALITY + CONFLICT
- SOCIAL CONTRACT- MUST BE SUBJECT TO GENERAL WILL
- HE WANTS – SOVEREIGNTY IN HANDS OF PEOPLE. SOVEREIGN- LAW , NOT PERSON
- OPPOSED REPRESENTATIVE DEMOCRACY- RATHER DIRECT DEMOCRACY

➤ ROMANTICISM-

- ARTISTIC , LITERARY, MUSICAL MOVEMENT
- FROM- END OF 18TH CENTURY

- ✓ FEATURES-
 - EMPHASIS – EMOTIONS + INDIVIDUALISM
 - NOT RATIONALISM- RATHER SPONTANEITY
 - PREFERRED MEDIEVAL ERA- RATHER THAN ANCIENT PREFERRED BY ENLIGHTENMENT
 - GLORIFIED- INDIVIDUAL HEROISM

- ✓ WHY AROSE?
 - REACTION TO RATIONALISM OF ENLIGHTENMENT
 - MATERIALIST PHILOSOPHY OF INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION

- ✓ WHY DECLINED
 - RISE OF NATIONALISM
 - RISE OF IMPERIAL IDEOLOGY + COLONIALISM

➤ FRENCH REVOLUTION – CAUSES

✓ SOCIAL INEQUALITY-

HIGH POPULATION- YET NO EQUALITY IN SOCIAL STATUS+ PRIVILEGES
 EG- 100,000 CLERGY- YET OWNED 10% OF LAND

FINANCIAL & ECONOMIC CRISIS-

1. NATIONAL DEBT- ROSE FROM 8 TO 12 MILLION LIVRES
2. JUST BEFORE REVOLUTION- FOUGHT 2 MAJOR WARS- 7 YEARS WAR + AMERICAN WAR OF INDEPENDENCE
3. CAUGHT- WEB OF DEBT
4. BRITAIN- ALSO DEBT RIDDEN , HAD BETTER FISCAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

✓ TAXATION-

BURDENSOME- TITHES (CHURCH), TAILLE (STATE), CORVEE (MANUAL LABOUR) OTHER ONES –
 NOBLES, CLERGY- EXEMPT – NO WAY TO BRING THEM UNDER TAX NET

✓ FAILURE OF REFORMS-

TURGOT , NECKER- TRIED HARD – COULD NOT BRKNG NOBLES INTO TAX NET

✓ FAMINE-

PROBLEMS COMPOUNDED BY SCARCITY OF FOOD.

INFLATION- ROSE 67% IN 1780'S

✓ POLITICAL-

RISE OF BOURGEOISE CLASS- WHO OPPOSED NOBILITY+ PRIVILEGES

PROVINCIAL ASSEMBLIES- BEGAN TO THE DOMINATED BY BOURGEOISE

✓ ROLE OF PHILOSOPHERS-

ROLE OF ROUSSEAU, VOLTAIRE, DIDEROT

SUCCESS OF AMERICAN REVOLUTION-

➤ FRENCH REVOLUTION & SOCIALISM?

- EPOCH MAKING
- THREW APART THE- OLD ORDER
- CURRENT OF SOCIALIST THOUGHT VISIBLE
- HOWEVER- OVERALL LIBERAL PERSPECTIVE- NOT OVERTLY SOCIALIST

EXAMPLES-

▪ MONTESQUIEU-

STATE OWED CITIZENS—

ASSURED SUBSISTENCE & HEALTHY LIFE

PASSION FOR EQUALITY VISIBLE

▪ ABBE FAUCHET-

GRADUAL DIVISION OF LAND

▪ JACQUES ROUX-

PRODUCE OF LAND- BELONGS TO ALL MEN

▪ PIERRE PROUDHON-

PLAYED- IMPORTANT PART IN WORKING CLASS CONSCIOUSNESS-

PARIS COMMUNE

THERE WERE IDEAS- ABOUT ABOLISHING PRIVATE PROPERTY,

STATE OF NATURE- THAT WERE PRESENT

CONCLUSION-

D) NO CLEAR CUT SOCIALIST DOCTRINE

E) PROTECTED – PVT PROPERTY

F) SOCIALIST TINGE- YES

- **BASIS OF METTERNICH SYSTEM-**

1. BALANCE OF POWER- CONCERT OF EUROPE
2. LEGITIMACY EG- RIGHT OF THE OLD REGIMES TO RULE
3. COMPENSATION , EG - RUSSIA BEING GIVEN PART OF POLAND, OTTOMAN AREAS ETC , AUSTRIA GIVEN LEADERSHIP IN ITALY, GERMANY

QUADRUPLE ALLIANCE -

- AUSTRIA, RUSSIA, PRUSSIA, ENGLAND
- BALANCE OF POWER

➤ **JULY REVOLUTION-1830-**

- FRENCH REVOLUTION – GAVE LANDS TO PEOPLE , PROLETERIAT
- NAPOLEON- RECOGNISED THIS
- LED TO RISE OF – NEO PEASANTRY
- WHEN – PROSPECT OF BOURBON RESTORATION WAS THERE- THESE PEASANTS APPREHENSIVE

- SOCIAL CLASSES , BEFORE THE REVOLUTION-
- BEFORE 1789- POWER TO NOBILITY, CLERGY
- LATER- BOURGEOISE GAINED POWER
- THIS CLASS- 2 SUB DIVISIONS-
- UPPER- BANKERS, MERCHANTS, FINANCIERS
- TRIED TO GAIN SOCIAL LEGITIMACY- BY MARRYING OLD NOBILITY
- LOWER- SMALL MERCHANTS, SHOPKEEPERS NUMEROUS

✓ **1814 CHARTER GRANTED BY LOUIS XVIII-**

- I. FOREIGN POLICY, DEFENCE, APPOINTMENT OF MINISTERS - KING
- II. BICAMERAL LEGISLATURE
- III. VOTING QUALIFICATION- INCOME OF 300 FRANCS & ABOVE
- IV. CODE NAPOLEON – RECOGNISED
- V. REVOLUTIONARY SETTLEMENT - RECOGNISED
- VI. PERSONAL LIBERTY , FREEDOM OF WORSHIP- RECOGNISED

✓ **ANALYSIS OF THE CHARTER-**

- I. MINISTERS -RESPONSIBLE TO THE CROWN NOT THE EXECUTIVE

- II. TRIED TO FUSE- DIVINE RIGHT OF KINGS WITH-
PARLIAMENTARY MONARCHY- UNEASY COMBINATION AT
BEST
- III. MODERATES- DECAZES, GUIZOT – CAME TO POWER

SUBSEQUENTLY-

1. ASSASINATION- HEIR TO THE THRONE
2. DISCREDIT OF MODERATE POLITICS

ULTRA ROYALISTS-

1. BELIEVED IN – ANCIENT REGIME+ RIGHT OF KINGS
2. VILLELE- FORMED MNISTRY- FROM 1821-1827

REPRESSIVE MEASURES-

1. INDEMNITY LAW TO COMPENSATE NOBLES FOR LANDS SEIZED DURING
REVOLUTION
 2. CLERGYMAN- APPOINTED AS HEAD OF EDUCATION- THREAT TO SECULAR
EDUCATION
 3. DISBANDING- NATIONAL GUARD WHICH WAS FORMED DURING 1789
- LATER- POLIGNAC CAME TO POWER
 - WAS- A NOBLE WHOM WAS DISPOSSESSED DURING 1789

MEASURES- JULY ORDINANCES

- I. DISSOLVE CHAMBER OF DEPUTIES
- II. PRESS MUZZLING
- III. ANNUL- 1814 CHARTER

✓ **FEATURES OF NEW CONSTITUTION-**

- I. NO REFERENCE- DIVINE RIGHT OF KINGS
- II. KING- BOUND BY CONSTITUTION
- III. NO ROYAL ORDINANCE- COULD BE PASSED WITHOUT ASSENT OF
LEGISLATURE
- IV. CATHOLICISM- CHANGED FROM STATE RELIGION TO- RELIGION OF
MAJORITY OF PEOPLE
- V. RESTORED - CIVIL LIBERTIES
- VI. ELECTORAL LAWS- REVISITED

✓ REVOLUTION OF 1830- SIMILARITY WITH GLORIOUS REVOLUTION – 1688

- BOTH- NOT ATTEMPT TO ESTABLISH- EXTREME DEMOCRACY
- RATHER- CURTAIL ROYAL ABSOLUTISM
- SUBTLE BALANCE BETWEEN – ROYAL ABSOLUTISM & PARLIAMENTARY DEMOCRACY

✓ NATURE OF 1830 REVOLUTION-

- ROBINSON- RELUCTANT TO CALL IT REVOLUTION AS FEW CHANGES MADE
- COBBAN- CONSERVATIVE REVOLUTION

✓ RESULT OF 1830 REVOLUTION-

- DESTROYED- CLERGY NOBILITY AXIS
- SO RAPID+ NO VIOLENCE- HENCE OTHER POWERS DIDN'T INTERVENE
- ESTABLISHED PARLIAMENTARY MONARCHY
- SOCIALIST DOCTRINE- ST SIMON – BECAME FAMOUS
- PHILIP- NATIONALIST REVOLUTIONS- BELGIUM GAINED INDEPENDENCE FROM HOLLAND- 1831
- INFLUENCE- CHARTIST MOVEMENT IN ENGLAND

WAS THE REVOLUTION INEVITABLE?

COULD HAVE BEEN STOPPED – DAVID THOMSON-

- I. HAD CHARLES X BEEN AS FLEXIBLE AS LOUIS 18. CHARLES WANTED TO SET CLOCK BACK
- II. LIBERAL + RADICAL PARTIES WHO WANTED REFORM – WERE NOT SO STRONG – TO REBEL
- III. CHARLES DIDN'T HAVE WISDOM- TO SEE THAT PARLIAMENTARY INSTITUTIONS NEEDED NURTURING

✓ WAS ONE INEVITABLE- LIPSON , COBBAN-

- I. 1830 WAS A CONTINUATION OF PROCESS OF 1789.
- II. MONARCHY & LIBERTY WERE VIRTUALLY INCOMPATIBLE- COMPROMISE WAS OUT OF THE QUESTION BUT IT WAS TOO FEEDLE

- III. CHARTER OF 1814 STILL HAD- ARTICLE 14 WHICH GAVE POWERS TO THE KING
- IV. GROWING POWER OF ULTRAROLANDISTS

WHY INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION IN BRITAIN & NOT FRANCE EARLIER-

- 1. FRENCH CAPITAL LUXURIES, BRITS- INVESTED
- 2. FRENCH- SMALLER EMPIRE, SMALLER SIZE OF OVERSEAS MARKETS THAN BRITS
- 3. ENCLOSURE MOVEMENT IN BRITAIN, PEASANTS DISPOSSESSED - FORMED NUCLEI OF NEW INDUSTRIAL LABOUR FORCE , FRENCH PEASANTS ATTACHED TO LAND
- 4. FRANCE - LESSER COAL, IRON
- 5. FRANCE - SMALLER MERCHANT NAVY
- 6. BRITISH BUSINESS LESS STATE CONTROL THAN FRANCE
- 7. GREATER SCIENTIFIC INVENTION IN BRITAIN

➤ **DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 1ST & 2ND INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION-**

1780	1895
DRIVEN BY COTTON	DRIVEN BY IRON
LABOUR INTENSIVE	CAPITAL INTENSIVE
FASTER IN PACE	SLOWER
BIRTH TO IMPERIALISM	BIRTH TO NEO IMPERIALISM
DECLINE OF SOCIALISM	RISE OF SOCIALISM

➤ **WHY DID INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION COME TO FRANCE LATER?**

- I. POLITICAL STABILITY- HELPED BRITAIN
- II. BRITAIN- ACCUMULATION OF CAPITAL- FRANCE SPEND IN LUXURIES

- III. ENCLOSURE MOVEMENT- CREATED A CLASS OF INDUSTRIAL WORKERS IN ENGLAND, FRANCE – STILL PREDOMINANTLY AGRICULTURAL
- IV. ENGLAND- HAD MARKETS FOR GOODS- COLONIES, FRANCE LOST MANY COLONIES- EG- CANADA
- V. FRANCE- MORE STATE CONTROL, ENGLAND- LESS CONTROL- HELPED GROWTH OF AN INDUSTRIAL CLASS
- VI. BRITAIN- BIGGER MERCHANT FLEET THAN FRANCE
- VII. SCIENTIFIC INVENTIONS- SPINNING JENNY, WATER FRAME HELPED BRITAIN- PRODUCE FASTER

➤ INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION IN FRANCE-

- NAPOLEON- LAID FOUNDATIONS- ARMY & WAR REQUIRED INDUSTRIES
- BETWEEN CONGRESS OF VIENNA(1815) & FEB REVOLUTION (1848) – DEVELOPED FULLY

✓ LOUIS PHILIPPE-

- PROTECTED FRENCH INDUSTRY- BY TARIFF WALLS
- ENCOURAGED CAPITALISTS
- UNDER HIM – RAILROAD INTRODUCED

✓ NAPOLEON III-

- BETWEEN 1850-70 , TEN THOUSAND KMS OF RAILROAD LAID
- BANK OF FRANCE – ESTB
- ENCOURAGED BUSINESS FAMILIES

➤ GERMAN EMPIRE – BUILT MORE ON COAL & IRON THAN BLOOD & IRON-

- BETWEEN 1870 – 1920 -GERMANY PROGRESSED
- ACHIEVED- IN 25 YEARS WHAT ENGLAND HAD DONE FOR A CENTURY
- BY 1913- AHEAD OF ENGLAND, SECOND ONLY TO US

✓ FACTORS-

- I. POLITICAL UNIFICATION
- II. ALSACE LORRAINE FROM FRANCE- COAL & IRON DEPOSITS
- III. ROLE OF GERMAN BANKS- FINANCE
- IV. EXCELLENT HUMAN CAPITAL
- V. EXTENSION OF WATERWAYS, RAIL , ROADS ETC

✓ OUTPUT –

- COAL PRODUCTION- FROM 29 MILLION TONNES (1870) – 190 MILLION TONNES(1913)
 - IRON ORE PRODUCTION 1.5 MT- 20 MT IN THE SAME PERIOD
- ✓ OUTCOME-
- GROWTH OF RAILROADS, FACTORIES
 - EXPANSION – MERCHANT FLEET & TRADE
 - GROWTH OF OTHER INDUSTRIES- AUTOMOBILES (DAIMLER-CHRYSLER, MERCEDES-BENZ ETC)
 - CONSEQUENT- INCREASE OF POPULATION + URBANIZATION

➤ BISMARCK VS CAVOUR-

1. CAVOUR 'S TASK – MORE DIFFICULTY - AS RESOURCES AT DISPOSAL – VERY MEAGRE
2. ITALY- DIDN'T HAVE TRADE POWER, INDUSTRIAL CAPACITY, MILITARY MIGHT AS DID BISMARCK
3. BISMARCK- BELIEVED IN & BEQUEATHED- TOTALITARIAN GOVT WHICH CAME BACK TO HAUNT GERMANY
4. CAVOUR- FAVOURED PARLIAMENTARY FORM

- ITALIAN UNITY - STEPS

CARBONARI-

1. SPREAD MESSAGE OF NATIONALISM, LIBERALISM
2. BELIEF IN ITALY - ALMOST FANATICAL
3. ORGANISED ARMED REVOLTS IN 1830, 1848 - FAILED

MAZZINI & YOUNG ITALY-

1. BELIEF IN AN ITALIAN NATION YET TO BE
2. YOUTH AS THE CENTRE POINT

CAVOUR -

1. ECONOMIC REFORMS- MODERN INDUSTRIAL BASE , TAXATION , AGRICULTURE REFORM
2. BETTER MILITARY + NAVY

OBJECTIVES OF CAVOUR -

1. DRIVE OUT AUSTRIA
2. PLACE PIEDMONT AT THE HEAD OF ITALY
3. INTERNATIONALISE THE ISSUE

CONNECTION OF ITALIAN & GERMAN UNIFICATION-

1. BY 1865 ALL OF ITALY UNITED UNDER VICTOR EMMANUEL
2. ONLY - VENICE UNDER AUSTRIA & ROME UNDER FRENCH REMAINED
3. 1866- AUSTRO PRUSSIAN WAR - AUSTRIA DEFEATED , HENCE VENICE FELL TO ITALY
4. 1870- FRANCO- PRUSSIAN WAR - ROME FELL

NOTE -

1. THE TREATY OF PLOMBIERS- BETWEEN CAVOUR & LOUIS NAPOLEON TO INTERVEM IN ITALY
2. TREATY OF VILLAFRANCA, 1859- BETWEEN AUSTRIA & ITALY

➤ AMERICAN REVOLUTION- A CONSERVATIVE ONE ?

- PROPONENT- RICHARD HOFSTADTER
- NOT WANT TO INSTITUTE TOO RADICAL FORM OF A GOVT
- NOT MOBOCRACY OR DIRECT DEMOCRACY RATHER REPRESENTATIVE DEMOCRACY
- BRITISH MERCANTILISM- WAS STUFFING OUT AMERICAN CAPITALISM
- HENCE- NEEDED TO OVERTHROW
- NEVER QUESTIONED- MORAL VALIDITY OF MONARCHY
- CRITICISED - MONARCH ONLY WHEN REFUSED TO LISTEN
- EVEN WITHIN PHILADELPHIA CONVENTION- PLEDGED ALLEGIANCE TO GEORGE III
- HISTORIANS- OF GEORGE III – AMENABLE , THEN NO BREACH WOULD BE REQUIRED
- FORM OF GOVT ADOPTED- SIMILAR TO UK. EG- POSITION OF PRESIDENT SIMILAR TO KING

➤ NATURE OF THE AMERICAN CONSTITUTION-

A. SLAVE HOLDERS VS MERCHANTS-

- SLAVE HOLDERS FEARED THAT NORTHERN MONEYED INTERESTS WOULD SWAMP THE SOUTH

B. FEDERAL VS STATES RIGHTS

- FEDERALISTS- NATIONAL BANK, ARMY, DEBT
- STATES- STATES POWER, A CITIZEN MILITIA ET
- FEDERALISTS- HAMILTON, WASHINGTON
- STATES- JEFFERSON

C. BIG STATES VS SMALLER STATES

- **BIGGER-TEXAS, ARIZONA VS DELAWARE, RHODE ISLAND**

✓ **AMERICA HAD-**

- **NO MONARCHIAL TRADITION**
- **MORE OWNER FARMER THAN TENANTS**
- **FREEDOM OF TRADE**
- **POLITICAL ASSEMBL**
- **PRECISELY DUE TO THESE FREEDOMS THAYNTHEY FELT AGGRIEVED AT BRITISH POLICIES**

FIGURES WHO HELPED GERMAN UNIFICATION- BEFORE BISMARCK-

1. **BARON VON STEIN- SOCIAL REFORMS- CAREERS THROWN OPRN TO TALENT, SERFDOM ABOLISHED**
2. **SCHARNHORST- MILITARY REFORMS**
3. **HUMBOLDT- EDUCATIONAL REFORMS**

NOTE-

1. **CONFEDERATION OF THE RHINE,1806 - NAPOLEON , 13 STATES**
2. **1815- LOOSE CONFEDERATION , 39 STATES, FEDERAL DIET UNDER AUSTRIA**
3. **1818- ZOLLVEREIN**

CARLSBAD DECREE ,1819-

1. **PRESS CENSORSHIP**
2. **RESTRICTIONS ON THOUGHT, ASSOCIATIONS**
3. **SPIES**

PROGRESS OF GERMAN UNIFICATION BEFORE BISMARCK-

1. **1818- ZOLLVEREIN - UNITY , TARIFF BOUNDARIES GONE- UNIFIED MARKET**
2. **1847- UNION OF ALL LOCAL ASSEMBLIES -CALL TO PRUSSIA TO TAKE LEADERSHIP**
3. **1848- GERMAN NATIONAL DIET- LAWYERS, INTELLECTUALS ETC- PROPOSAL TO DRAFT CONSTITUTION**
4. **FRANKFURT DIET ALSO RESPONDED, ASSEMBLY OF 600 ELECTED BY VOTE**
5. **ISSUES-**
6. **LITTLE OR GREATER GERMANY**
7. **NATURE OF STATE- FEDERAL OR UNITARY**
8. **LEADERSHIP**

9. DECISION- LITTLE GERMANY UNDER PRUSSIA, HEREDITARY, CONSTITUTIONAL MONARCHY
10. CROWN OFFERED TO FREDERICK WILLIAM, REFUSED, LIBERALS REVOLTED, SUPPRESSED BY PRUSSIAN TROOPS
11. BISMARCK ANNOUNCED - BLOOD & IRON IS THE SOLUTION

➤ EFFECT OF REVOLUTION, UPON

- LOYALISTS- 20% OF POPULATION. NEARLY 80,000 LEFT
- RED INDIANS- THE WESTWARD EXPANSION- STOPPED BY THE PROCLAMATION OF 1763- POST REVOLUTION- IT WAS RESUMED
- SLAVES- BECAME A PART OF LIFE- FEDERAL STRUCTURE + PLANTERS LOBBIES PROTECTED IT.

➤ AMERICAN CIVIL WAR-

ISSUES THAT MATTERED -

1. SLAVERY
2. DIFFERENCE IN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & SOCIAL THINKING IN NORTH & SOUTH
3. WESTWARD EXPANSION
4. STATES & FEDERAL
5. RELIGIOUS SENTIMENTS ,BOTH FOR SLAVE OWNERS & ABOLITIONISTS

✓ EVENTS LEADING TO IT-

- 1787 ORDINANCE- PROHIBIT SLAVERY WEST OF OHIO RIVER

- 1793- FUGITIVE SLAVE ACT- POWER OF GOVT TO HUNT DOWN SLAVES
- 1820- MISSOURI COMPROMISE- MISSOURI ADMITTED AS A SLAVE STATE, HER SOUTHERN LATITUDE WAS TO BE THE NORTHERN LIMIT OF SLAVERY
- 1830'S- RISE OF A VIGOROUS ANTI SLAVERY ABOLITIONIST MOVEMENT
- COMSEQUENT- RADICAL PRO SLAVERY MOVEMENT
- 1845- ACQUISITION OF TEXAS- SLAVERY ISSUE FLARED UP
- 1850 COMPROMISE- CALIFORNIA ADMITTED AS A FREE STATE BUT SLAVERY IN OTHER AREAS , TO BE REGULATED BY INHABITANTS
- 1856- KANSAS – NEBRASKA MACT- MISSOURI COMPROMISE REPEALED
- 1857- DRED SCOTT DECISION
- 1861- ELECTION OF LINCOLN

✓ VIEWS ABOUT CIVIL WAR-

- J F RHODES- SLAVERY AS A MORAL ISSUE + FUTURE OF SLAVES
- CHARLES, MARY BEARD- CONFLICT BETWEEN NORTHERN INDUSTRIAL INTERESTS VS SLAVE OWNERS

✓ OTHER VIEWS-

- I. UNION VS FEDERAL
- II. LIBERAL VS FEUDAL

- HOWEVER- IT HAS TO BE UNDERSTOOD THAT IT WAS SLAVERY & SLAVERY ALONE HAD MADE IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR BOTH SIDES TO LIVE WITH EACH OTHER.

POSITION OF SLAVES IN EARLY 19TH CENTURY

- SLAVERY RECOGNISED IN 15 OUT OF 16 STATES TREATED LIKE CATTLE ,AS PROPERTY
- BELEIF- SLAVERY WAS NOT IMMORAL

➤ LINCOLN- THE SKILFUL POLITICIAN ?

- PROPONENT -RICHARD HOFSTADTER

✓ VIEW-

- LINCOLN- DIDN'T CRITICISE SLAVERY INITIALLY
- EXPLOITED ALVERY ISSUE
- LATER WHEN ISSUE BECAME POLITICALLY VIABLE- CRITICISED IT
- BY THE " HOUSE DIVIDED" SPEECH- HE UNITED FACTIONS BEHIND HIS PRESIDENCY

✓ COUNTER VIEW-

- EVEN IN 1837- AS A MEMBER OF THE ILLINOIS STATE ASSEMBLY- CRITICISED SLAVERY
- PEORIA SPEECH, 1854- CONDEMNED SLAVERY
- TRUE- DIDN'T CONDEMN PUBLICLY TILL 1854
- AS A POLITICIAN- LINCOLN COULDN'T AFFORD TO APPEAR EXTREME AN SUCH A SENSITIVE ISSUE
- TRIED TO RECONCILE- PRESERVATION OF UNION VS SLAVERY
- SAID- IF SLAVERY IS NOT WRONG THEN NOTHING IS WRONG
- HOWEVER- AS PRESIDENT ISSUED EMANCIPATION PROCLAMATION , 1863
- WAS KILLED - FOR THE CAUSE OF SLAVERY

➤ IF I COULD SAVE THE UNION WITHOUT FREEING SLAVES , I WOULD DO IT, IF I COULD SAVE THE UNION BY FREEING ALL SLAVES I WOULD DO IT-

- LINCOLN- PERSONALLY ANTI SLAVERY
- HOWEVER- AS A POLITICIAN HAD TO SEPARATE PERSONAL FEELINGS FROM GROUND SITUATION
- WHEN HE WAS A POLITICIAN- SLAVERY WAS LEGALLY ALLOWED IN MANY STATES
- AS PRESIDENT- MOST IMPORTANT - PRESERVATION OF UNION
- REALISED -WITHOUT UNION , THERE COULD BE DEMOCRACY
- EMANCIPATION PROCLAMATION- DESIGNED TO RALLY TROOPS + PUBLIC

➤ PEACE OF EUROPE RESTED ON BISMARCKIAN SYSTEM-

- FOREIGN POLICY OF BISMARCK- PROVIDED PIVOT OF EUROPEAN POLITICS
- THIS WAS VIS A VIS- CONCERT OF EUROPE
- PRIMARY AIM- ISOLATE FRANCE , SO THAT SHE DIDN'T HAVE OPPORTUNITY TO SEEK REVENGE FOR SEDAN , 1870
- 1872- DREIKAISERBUND- AUSTRIA, RUSSIA, GERMANY
- HOWEVER- RUSSO TURKISH WAR BROUGHT RUSSIA & AUSTRIA TO CONFLICT
- LATER- DUAL ALLIANCE WITH AUSTRIA- SECURITY IN CASE OF ATTACK ON EACH OTHER
- TRIPLE ALLIANCE, 1882- AUSTRIA, GERMANY , ITALY
- ALSO- REINSURANCE TREATY WITH RUSSIA- NEUTRALITY IN CASE OF AN ATTACK
- BRITAIN- KEPT DISTANCE (SPLENDID ISOLATION) TILL NAVAL POLICY OF WILHEM II- SET GERMANY AGAINST HER

✓ OVERALL-

- BALANCING OF ALLIANCES
- SECURITY OF GERMANY, ISOLATION OF RUSSIA
- HENCE- PEACE DEPENDED A LOT ON SURVIVAL OF BISMARCKIAN SYSTEM

EASTERN QUESTION -

FOLLOWING FACTORS -

A. RAPID DECLINE OF OTTOMAN EMPIRE

- CENTRAL RULE WEAKENED, PROVINCIAL GUVS OR PASHAS BECAME IMP

B. ASPIRATIONS OF SUBJECT POPULACE -

- DIVERSE NATIONALITIES, EG - SERBS, CROATS, BOSNIANS ,GREEKS ETC. GREECE INDEPENDENT, 1829. OTHERS CHRISTIAN- WANTED INDEPENDENCE

C. RISING RUSSIA-

- AMBITIONS, BY 1792 - SHORES OF THE BLACK SEA
- WANTED HAVE CONSTANTINOPE
- PAN SLAV MOVEMENT

D. OTHER POWERS

- FRANCE- HAD INTERESTS IN SYRIA , EGYPT, RUSSIA THREATENED THAT
- ENGLAND- SAFETY OF INDIA
- RUSSIA - CLAIMED TO BE PROTECTOR OF ORTHODOX CHRISTIANS + SLAVS

CONCLUSION-

- WEAKNESS OF OTTOMANS + POWER POLITICS LED TO EASTERN QUESTION

NAVAL POLICY OF WILHELM II & CONSEQUENCES-

1. WILHELM II - WANTED TO EXPAND OUTSIDE + ACQUIRE COLONIES, CONTRARY TO BISMARCK
2. TO SECURE COLONIES + SUPPLY THEM - REQUIRE STRONG, POWERFUL NAVY - BUILDING THEM CAME INTO CONFLICT WITH ROYAL NAVY
3. CHALLENGE TO ENGLANDS AGE OLD NAVAL SUPREMACY

RESULTS-

1. DROVE ENGLAND TO DEVELOP UNDERSTANDING WITH HER AGE OLD ENEMY- FRANCE

2. ALSO- 1904 ANGLO RUSSIAN CONVENTION - SETTLE OUTSTANDING DISPUTES IN TIBET, AFGHANISTAN

CONCLUSION-

POLICY DROVE GERMANY AGAINST THE 3 MAJOR POWERS

- WORLD WAR I- FACTORS

MANY FACTORS+ COMBINATIONS

SOME OF THEM-

1. INDUSTRIALISATION THAT MADE MASS PRODUCTION OF WEAPONS+ ARMOUR POSSIBLE
2. IMPERIALISM - DESIRE FOR COLONIES + IMPERIALISTIC RIVALRY, EG - BRITAIN'S DESIRE FOR STATUS QUO & GERMANY'S DESIRE TO OUTSHINE HER
3. NATIONALISM - ESPECIALLY IN EASTERN EUROPE
4. BELIEF IN INEVITABILITY OF WAR + GLORIFICATION

- MILITARISM-

CHARACTERISTICS-

1. PRESENCE OF LARGE ARMIES ,NAVIES
2. BELIEF IN REQUIREMENT + INEVITABILITY OF WAR
3. INFLUENCE OF MILITARY OFFICERS & THINKERS - WHO PLANNED FOR CONFLICTS + MOBILISED RESOURCES FOR THAT

- CRITIQUE OF VERSAILLES -

1. HUMILIATE GERMANY - SEEDS OF WW II
2. HIGH WAR REPARATIONS - GERMAN ECONOMY SHAMBLES - BEGAN TO DEFAULT - ECO CRISIS
3. DISARMAMENT FOR GERMANY - ALLIED POWERS CONTINUED TO HAVE LARGE ARMIES
4. PRINCIPLE OF NATIONAL SELF DETERMINATION NOT APPLIED TO ASIA
5. MANDATE SYSTEM - ARTIFICIALLY DIVIDED UP AREAS

- HUMILIATING ASPECTS OF VERSAILLES FOR GERMANY

1. ALSACE LORRAINE TO FRANCE
2. SAAR MINES TO FRANCE
3. WAR COMPENSATION - 660 MILLION
4. LIMITS ON GERMAN ARMY & NAVY

CHINESE REVOLUTION-

BACKGROUND-

- RULED BY MANCHU DYNASTY , LAST EMPEROR OVERTHROWN IN 1911
- 16TH -18TH CENTURY- ISOLATION, LATER HOWEVER- RAPID INCREASE IN POPULATION & CONVENTIONAL METHODS OF AGRICULTURE NOT FOOD ENOUGH - RURAL UNREST
- UNREST + WEAKNESSES OF MANCHU - GAVE SCOPE TO EUROPEANS TO INTERVENE EUROPEANS - INTRODUCED OPIUM EXPORT FROM INDIA AS A COMMODITY - INTOXICATION & SOCIAL EVIL ,CHINESE AUTHORITIES TRIED TO STOP- WAR

1ST OPIUM WAR (1839-42)

1. WAR INDEMNITY PAID TO BRITS
2. GAVE UP HONG KONG + OPENED UP SHANGHAI PORT

2ND OPIUM WAR-

FURTHER ECONOMIC CONCESSIONS + RIGHTS OF FOREIGNERS TO TRAVEL+ MISSIONARIES - CONCEDED

SINO-JAPANESE WAR

- OVER KOREA WHICH WAS TRADITIONALLY A PROTECTORATE OF CHINA
- JAPAN- STRATEGIC POSITION OF KOREA + COAL/ IRON RESERVES
- TONGHAK REBELLION - EXCUSE FOR JAPAN TO INTERVENE - CHINA SEND ARMY- DEFEATED

TREATY OF SHIMONOSEKI-

1. CHINA RECOGNIZE INDEPENDENCE OF KOREA
 2. GIVE JAPAN TRADING PRIVILEGES + WAR INDEMNITY
- BOXER REBELLION- ANTI FOREIGNER SENTIMENT

SUN YAT SEN & KUOMINTANG(KMT)

- TRAINED AS A DOCTOR - STAYED ABROAD , LIBERAL EDUCATION
- DISMAYED BY CHINESE DECLINE - WANTED TO BUILD A MODERN CHINA
- 1905-1912 POLITICAL MOVEMENT - REVOLUTIONARY ALLIANCE

3 PRINCIPLES -

1. NATIONALISM- NO FOREIGNERS
 2. DEMOCRACY
 3. LAND REFORM- ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ON THE BASIS OF LAND REDISTRIBUTION , KEPT VAGUE
- THESE 3 PRINCIPLES WERE AT THE BASE OF KMT + LATER COMMUNISTS
 - DIED IN 1925 BUT LITTLE WORK DONE ON THESE ASPECTS - HE GAINED RESPECT BUT NO TANGIBLE EFFECT
 - HE IS REVERED BOTH BY KMT & COMMUNISTS

CHIANG KAI SHEK-

LEADER AFTER SUN

- LEADER OF KMT AFTER SUN 'S DEATH
- RUSSIA GAVE SUPPORT TO KMT INITIALLY - BUT CHIANG NOT COMMUNIST ,LATERNTIRN RABIDLY ANTI COMMUNIST
- HIS SYMPATHIES LAY WITH BIG LANDOWNERS , BUSINESSMEN , REMOVED LEFT EINGERS FROM PROMINENT POSTS - HOWEVER CONTINUED COMMUNIST ALLIANCE FOR THE TIME BEING

AGAINST WARLORDS

- 1926- CAMPAIGN AGAINST WARLORDS- CAPTURED BEIJING ,1928
- MUCH LOCAL SUPPORT RECEIVED FROM PEASANTS WHO WERE ATTRACTED BY PROMISES OF LAND - PROMINENT EFFORT OF ZHOU EN LAI

AGAINST COMMUNISTS

- ALARMED BY POWER OF COMMUNISTS
- 1927- DECIDED TO DESTROY - EXPELLED THEM FROM KMT
- PURGE OF LEFT WING, TRADE UNIONS, PEASANTS
- NO EFFORT TOWARSS LAND DISTRIBUTION OR AGRARIAN REFORMS

CHINESE COMMUNITY PARTY

FORMATION-

- SUN YAT SEN GOT NO SUPPORT FROM WESTERN GOVTS, TURNED TO SOVIETS
- UNLIKE RUSSIA, CHINA WAS PRIMARILY AGRARIAN, NOT WORKERS
- LI DAZHAO- REALISED THAT WITHOUT LIBERATING PEASANTS NO REVOLUTION
- SOVIETS - FUNDS FOR KMT , ALSO MARXIST STIDY GROUPS FORMED
- BY 1920'S THESE GROUPS BECAME NUCLEUS OF COMMUNIST PARTY WITH HELP OF SOVIET AGENTS
- ORGANISED BY LOCAL BRANCHES IN COUNTRYSIDE + URBAN AREAS
- INITIALLY NOT MUCH STRENGTH ,HENCE WORK WITH KMT

CCP- KMT COOPERATION

- LENIN + STALIN ORDERED CCP TO JOIN KMT
- ORGANISED PEASANT SUPPORT FOR KMT
- 1925-27 COOPERATION ON ANTI WARLORD CAMPAIGN
- HOWEVER- SOON MASSACRED , EG- NANJING MASSACRE OF 1927
- STALIN- STILL WANTED COOPERATION BETWEEN CCP & KMT
- BETWEEN 1928-1935 - CHIANG CONTINUED TO UNIFYNAREAS UNDER HIS RULE
- JAPANESE INVASION,1937- MANCHURIA UNDER CONTROL, MANCHUKUO
- IN THIS PERIOD ,KMT SUFDERED ALIENATION- PESANTS FOUND NO LAND REFORM + INTELLECTUALS FOUND DEMOCRATIC REFORMS
- HIS POLICY OF NOT ATTACKING JAPANESE WAS UNPOPULAR

MAO ZEDONG -

- AFTER KMT SPLIT, MAO CONCENTRATED ON WINNING MASS SUPPORT RATHER THAN CAPTURE INDUSTRIAL TOWNS
- CORRECT ANALYSIS - PEASANTS MAIN SOURCE OF STRENGTH IN AN AGRARIAN COUNTRY LIKE CHINA
- 1931- CHAIRMAN OF PARTY- DEVELOPED GUERRILLA TACTICS WITH ZHU DE - ROOTED IN KNOWLEDGE OF CHINESE CULTURE
- IN JIANGXI AREA- CARRIED OUT LAND REFORMS
- KMT - CARRIED OUT ATTACKS, EXTERMINATION CAMPAIGN- 1934 MAO DECIDED TO BREAK OUT

LONG MARCH-

- 6000 MILES IN 368 DAYS TO SHAANXI PROVINCE
- SUFFERED HUGE LOSSES + FOOD SHORTAGES
- MAO EMERGED AS UNDISPUTED LEADER

- OPEN DOOR POLICY-

1. BY 1898 - MOST OF CHINA DIVIDED INTO AREAS OF INFLUENCE, EXCEPT US
2. US- CAME LATE DUE TO INVOLVEMENT IN SPANISH- AMERICAN WAR
3. MOST FERTILE PROVINCES TAKEN, HENCE WANTED A Foothold
4. DECLARED- EVERY COUNTRY HAD EQUAL RIGHTS TO THE TRADE OF CHINA
5. HELPED BY FACT THAT BRITAIN WAS ALARMED BY EXPANSION OF RUSSIAN INFLUENCE IN FAR EAST & SOUGHT UNDERSTANDING FROM US & ANGLO-JAPANESE TREATY OF 1904.

- MEIJI RESTORATION-

1. THEORETICALLY EMPEROR - HEAD OF TEMPORAL & SPIRITUAL REALMS
2. SINCE 12TH CENTURY - EMPEROR RULED WITHOUT GOVERNING - POWER IN HANDS OF FEUDAL FAMILIES , SHOGUN
3. 1854 KANAGAWA TREATY- OPENED PORT'S, 1858 HARRIS TREATY- FURTHER OPENING UP
4. COUNCIL OF FEUDAL CHIEFS - MAJORITY VOTED TO RESTORE OLD ORDER IN ECONOMIC SPHERE, SHOGUN SIDED WITH MINORITY + SOUGHT SUPPORT OF EMPEROR
5. LATER- FLOW OF CHEAP GOODS - UNEMPLOYMENT + INCIDENTS OF VIOLENCE AGAINST FOREIGNERS - CRISIS
6. SHOGUN DIED 1867 - POWER SHIFTED FROM YEDO TO KYOTO

DEBATE-

- 1 SCHOOL BELIEVES IF NOT FOR ECONOMIC OPENING OF JAPAN- RESTORATION WOULDN'T HAVE TAKEN PLACE
- 2ND VIEW- FEUDAL INSTITUTIONS WERE ALREADY DECLINING EVEN BEFORE COMMODORE PERRY ,EG- GROWTH OF MERCHANT CLASS

DIFFERENCE IN RESPONSE OF CHINA & JAPAN TO MODERNIZATION-

1. CHINA - NO PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE - HENCE HAD TO START FROM SCRATCH .
JAPAN - EXPERIENCE FROM DUTCH , FRENCH ATTEMPTS PREVIOUSLY
2. CHINA HAD TO UNDERSTAND & INTERNALISE NEW CONCEPTS (INDIVIDUALISM, LIBERALISM) .HENCE DIFFICULTY .
3. JAPAN DEVELOPED THESE CENTERING AROUND TRADITIONAL INSTITUTIONS SUCH AS EMPEROR. ALL THE MEASURES WERE SANCTIONED BY EMPEROR & HE ENJOYED UNPARALLELED PRESTIGE IN JAPAN. HENCE EASIER

1. ASPECT OF JAPANESE MODERNISATION-

2. EXPERIENCE OF CHINA- MADE THEM REALISE THAT UNLESS THEY DEVELOP THEIR OWN STRENGTH THEY WOULD BE EXPLOITED
3. MIX OF TRADITION + MODERNITY = CHARTER OF 1868 , EMPEROR HIMSELF WELCOMED WESTERN EXPERTS
4. STUDENTS ENCOURAGED TO GO & STUDY IN EUROPEAN & AMERICAN INSTITUTIONS
5. CONCENTRATE UPON TECHNICAL + PRIMARY EDUCATION , EG - 54,000 ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS BY 1870 , 1877- UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
6. ARMY BUILD UP- MODERN WEAPONS + UNIVERSAL MILITARY SERVICE

CONCLUSION-

JAPAN EMERGED AS A MODERN POWER AFTER 1868 MORE DUE TO MODERNIZATION DRIVE THAN TRADITION

➤ RUSSO JAPANESE RIVALRY & WAR-

- RUSSIA & JAPAN BOTH HAD IMPERIALISTIC AMBITIONS IN CHINA
- CHINA- WEAK , HENCE UNDER COLONIAL POWERS
- JAPAN- AMBITIONS IN KOREA & MANCHURIA
- RUSSIA- INTENT ON ESTABLISHING TOEHOLD IN MANCHURIA

▪ EVENTS-

A) RUSSIA

- RUSSIA- DEVELOPING RAILROAD IN MANCHURIA
- TREATY IN 1896- PARTIAL CONTROL OF PROVINCE
- 1898- LIAOTUNG PENINSULA- FORMALLY CEDED TO RUSSIA FOR 25 YEARS
- RUSSIA- BUILT NAVAL BASE OF PORT ARTHUR
- DURING BOXER REBELLION OF 1900-1901 ABLE TO EXPAND INFLUENCE

B) JAPAN

- BY SINO JAPANESE WAR- 1894 LIAOTUNG PENINSULA - GIVEN TO JAPAN
- HOWEVER- TAKEN AWAY DUE TO PRESSURE FROM WESTERN POWERS
- JAPAN- EXPANDING ECONOMIC & MILITARY PRESENCE IN KOREA
- FELT- RUSSIAN PRESENCE IN MANCHURIA & LIAOTUNG- THREAT

✓ REASON FOR RUSSIA TO GO TO WAR-

- I. SAFEGUARD HER COLONIAL POSSESSIONS IN MANCHUROA, KOREAN PENINSULA
- II. STOP RIVAL JAPANESE PRESENCE
- III. ALSO- ESTABLISH HER SUPREMACY MAS THE DOMINANT COLONIAL POWER IN FAR EAST

✓ REASON FOR JAPAN TO GO TO WAR

- XI. NOT OF CONQUEST
- XII. RATHER—DEFEND INTERESTS FROM RUSSIA
- XIII. ALSO- PROVE TO THE MAJOR EUROPEAN POWERS- THAT SHE DESERVED RESPECT.

WHY RUSSIA LOST?

A. MENTALITY REASON-

UNDERESTIMATED JAPAN AS A POWER

B. MILITARY REASON -

1. ONLY 80,000 TROOPS IN FAR EAST
2. TROOPS HAD TO THR SHIPPED FROM MOSCOW- 5000 MILES AWAY
3. MORALE OF TROOPS LOW
4. BAD SUPPLIES, RATIONS- FUEL DISSATISFACTION

C. POLITICAL CONDITIONS-

1. TSAR NICHOLAS II – UNPOPULAR
2. 1905- REVOLUTION
3. NO SUPPORT FOR A WAR FOUGHT – 5000 MJLES AWAY

WHY JAPAN WON?

MILITARY REASON

CLOSER TO THE SCENE OF CONFLICT

HAD 200,000 TROOPS REASY

JAPANESE TROOPS- HIGHLY MOTOVATED

POLITICAL CONDITION

JAPANESE ARMY WAS BACKED TO THE HILT BY THE PEOPLE

▪ EFFECTS OF THE WAR

✓ RUSSIA

- II. WAR PROVED TREMENDOUSLY UNPOPULAR**
- III. MADE – TSAR A HATED FIGURE**
- IV. GRWIJG DISCONTENT- LED TO BLOODY SUNDAY OF 1905**
- V. 1905 REVOLUTION**
- VI. END OF RUSSIAN AMBITIONS IN MANCHURIA**
- VII. LAID THE SEEDS FOR THE DECLINE OF THE MONARCHY**
- VIII. CREATION OF SOVIET UNION- 1922 – PRECIPITATED**

✓ JAPAN

- I. SECURED JAP POSSESSION IN KOREA**
- II. ACQUIRED- PORT ARTHUR & MANCHURIA**
- III. ESTB- ITSELF AS A MAJOR POWER**

➤ JAPAN – AS A COLONIAL POWER-

✓ BACKGROUND

- 1853- COMMODORE PERRY IN YEDO BAY**
- 1854- TREATY OF KANAGAWA**
- 1858- ANOTHER TREATY BETWEEN US & JAPAN**
- 1867- SHOGUN DIED**
- 1868- MEIJI RESTORATION**

✓ LATER-

- UNIVERSITIES ESTABLISHED**
- NEARLY 54,000 PRIMARY SCHOOLS – ESTB**
- TECHNICAL COURSES- ESTB**
- ARMY MODERNISED- NEW WEAPONS , SAMURAI – ABOLISHED**
- ALSO- BUSINESS HOISES – ENCOURAGED**

- THUS- FOUNDATION OF A MODERN STATE LAID DOWN**

✓ AS A COLONIAL POWER-

- 1894- SINO JAPANESE WAR- TOOK AWAY LIAOTUNG PENINSULA**
- 1902- ANGLO JAPANESE TREATY-**
- FORMAL RECOGNITION OF JAPAN AS A POWER**

- SITUATION- BRITAIN – WOULD COME TO AID OF JAPAN IN CASE FRANCE HELPED RUSSIA
- THUS- HELPED KEEP FRANCE & GERMANY ON THE FENCE
- 1905- RUSSO – JAPANESE WAR, TREATY OF PORTSMOUTH
- 1910- ANNEXED KOREA
- TWENTY ONE DEMANDS OF JAPAN- CHINA REDICED TO ALSMOST A PROTECTORATE OF JAPAN
- 1921- TREATY OF WASHINGTON- CERTAIN CURBS ON JAPANESE NAVY
- 1933- WITHDRAW FROM LEAGUE OF NATIONS
- 1937- FULL FLEDGED INVASION OF CHINA

➤ ANGLO- JAPANESE TREATY OF 1902

✓ BACKGROUND-

- I. BOTH- BRITS & JAPANESE- COMMON INTEREST IN CHINA
- II. BRITS- REFUSED TO JOIN ALLIANCE- AGAINST JAP OCCUPATION- LIAODONG PENINSULA
- III. COOPERATION DURING BOXER REBELLION
- IV. BRITS- HELPED JAPS DURING – INDUSTRIAL DRIVE.

✓ WHAT FINALLY LED TO THE TREATY?

- I. MUTUAL OPPOSITION TO RUSSIAN EXPANSION
- II. RUSSIAN ACTIVITY IN MANCHURIA- LED TO TREATY.

✓ TERMS OF THE TREATY-

- I. ALLOWED JAPAN- TO TAKE NECESSARY ACTION IN KOREA
- II. NEUTRALITY KF PARTIES- IF WAR COMES THROUGH JAP ACTIONS.
- III. PROMISE OF SUPPORT -IF EITHER GETS INVOLVED IN WAR

EFFECTS-

- JAPAN
- I. CONFIRMED - JAPAN' S STATUS – AS A MAJOR POWER
- II. VICTORY FOR – MILITARISTS IN ARMY & ADMINISTRATION
- III. HELPED – JAPAN ENETER WW I ON ALLIED SIDE + SEIZURE OF GERMAN TERRITORIES
- IV. OPENED UP- TRADE & COMMERCE BETWEEN UK & JAPAN
- V. ALSO- WHETTED JAPANESE COLONIAL AMBITIONS IN PACIFIC

- BRITISH
- 1. GAINED A PARTNER IN SHORT TERM
- 2. IN LONG TERM- A DANGEROUS RIVAL IN PACIFIC
- 3. LATER- BRITISH POSSESSIONS ON PACIFIC- GOBBLED UP BY JAPS

➤ JAPANESE MILITARISM

- POLICY OF ISOLATIONISM- OPENED UP ONLY- 16TH CENTURY
- ADOPTION OF WESTERN STYLE CALENDAR, EDUCATION
- MEIJI RESTORATION-1868 RAPID ADVANCES
- RUSSO- JAPANESE WAR- 1904-05- STARTED COMPETING WITH EUROPEANS FOR TERRITORIES
- POST WORLD WAR I – USSR , GERMANY- GRUDGES AGAINST JAPAN FOR TAKING AWAY TERRITORIES
- ALLIES- ALSO DISTRUSTFUL OF JAPAN- ADVANCES IN PACIFIC
- 1922 WASHINGTON TREATY- TRIED TO LIMIT SIZE OF THE JAPANESE FLEET
- 1928- JAP SIGNED- KELLOG BRIAND PACT- WHICH OUTLAWED WAR
- HOWEVER- MANCHURIAN ISSUE- AGGRESSION

ASPECTS OF JAPANESE MILITARISM-

I. POLITICAL-

- TAKEOVER BY MILITARISTS
- EXPANSIONIST POLICY
- SILENCE OF LIBERALS, DEMOCRATIC VOICES

II. ECONOMIC

- RISE OF INDUSTRIAL HOUSES
- INDUSTRIAL- MILITARY NEXUS- BOTH REQUIRED OVERSEAS EXPANSION FOR RAW MATERIALS
- GREAT DEPRESSION- JOB LOSS, INCREASE IN PRICES OF COMMODITIES

III. SOCIAL

- CULT OF EMPEROR
- ALMOST A FANATIC BELIEF- SUPERIORITY OF JAPANESE CULTURE, WAY OF LIFE
- ANTI FOREIGNERS PROPAGANDA
- BLENDED WELL WITH TRADITIONS OF AUTHORITARIANISM PRESENT- THAT'S WHY JAPANESE TROOPS FOUGHT FANATICALLY & COMMITTED ATROCITIES

➤ **NEW DEAL & GREAT DEPRESSION**

- SERIES OF FEDERAL PROGRAMS, PUBLIC WORKS, FINANCIAL PROGRAMS
- IN 1930'S – IN RESPONSE TO GREAT DEPRESSION

3 R's

- I. RELIEF
- II. RECOVERY
- III. REFORM

RELIEF- FOR POOR

RECOVERY - OF ECONOMY

REFORM- FINANCIAL SYSTEM

SOME HISTORIANS-

- **FIRST NEW DEAL (1933-34)** – PASSED ACTS WHICH REGULATED BANKING ACTIVITY, GAVE- \$ 500 MILLION IN RELIEF
- **SECOND NEW DEAL(1935-38)** – ACTS WHICH INITIATED – LABOUR REFORMS AND A MASSIVE SCALE OF SOCIAL SECURITY

✓ **WHY REQUIRED**

- I. BETWEEN 1929-33Z MANUFACTURING FELL BY ONE THIRD
- II. UNEMPLOYMENT- INCREASED TO 25%

✓ **WHAT DID IT DO**

- I. REGULATED THE BANKING SECTOR – WHICH WAS THE CHIEF AREA OF DEPOSITS
- II. GAVE IMMEDIATE RELIEF TO POOR & DESTITUTE

- III. OVER THE TIME- UNDERTOK MASSIVE REFORMS TO CREDIT, INSURANCE, LABOUR SECTOR
- IV. LAID- FOUNDATION OF A MASSIVE PROGRAM OF SOCIAL SECURITY- ILLNESS, DISEASES

✓ CAN IT BE CALLED SOCIALISM?

▪ SOCIALISM – HAS FEATURES

1. CONTROL OF RESOURCES TO ENSURE OVERALL WELFARE OF SOCIETY
2. PLANNING
3. ABSENCE OF COMPETITIVE FACTOR.

✓ SOME ACTS OF ROOSEVELT THAT HAD SOCIALISTIC FEATURES-

- II. EMERGENCY BANKING ACT- CLOSE SUPERVISION OF BANKS
- III. FEDERAL EMERGENCY RELIEF (FERA) - \$ 500 MILLION TO STATE, LOCAL
- IV. NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL RECOVERY ACT (NIRA) – INDUSTRIES COOPERATE TO SET WAGES, PRICE CONTROLS ETC
- V. SOCIAL SECURITY ACT- UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE + RETIREMENT PENSION

CONCLUSION-

- II. THERE WERE CERTAIN FEATURES IN NEW DEAL THAT HAD SOCIALIST FEATURES
- III. HOWEVER- IT WAS IN RESPONSE TO AN EXTRAORDINARY EVENT
- IV. THE GREATEST EVER DEPRESSION IN HISTORY
- V. HOWEVER- TO CALL IT OUTRIGHT SOCIALISM WOULD NOT BE RIGHT
- VI. IN US POLITICAL JARGON - SOCIALISM IS USED AS A WAY TO DESCRIBE FEARS OF COMMUNIST POLICIES (MCCARTHYISM)
- VII. HENCE- HAS SOCIALIST FEATURES- NOT SOCIALISM.

➤ GREAT DEPRESSION

- CATASTROPHIC ECONOMIC MELTDOWN
- FROM 1929-1941
- THE SEVERITY CAN BE UNDERSTOOD BY THE FACT-
- BETWEEN 1929-41 – WORLDWIDE GDP – FELL BY 15%
- 2008-09 RECESSION – ONLY 1%

➤ **DUTCH COLONIALISM- INDONESIA**

- PORTUGUESE CAME - 1ST- DRIVEN OUT BY 1600

✓ **WHY THIS AREA IMPORTANT?**

- INITIALLY ATTRACTED BY SPICES
- ALSO- GOOD TRADING ROUTE - MALACCAS
- LATER- PLANTATION EG- RUBBER, SUGAR , COFFEE, TOBACCO
- ALSO- OIL FOUND LATER

✓ **DUTCH ACTIVITIES-**

- DUTCH EAST INDIA COMPANY – FORMED-1594
- 1595- 1ST VOYAGE- GOOD SOLD- 400 TIMES PROFIT
- CAPITAL- ESTABLISHED AT BATAVIA (JAKARTA)
- UNDER A GOVERNOR – ADMINISTRATION
- UNDER- FORCES OF NEO IMPERIALISM FURTHER CONQUESTS TOOK PLACE
- NUMEROUS LOCLA REBELLIONS HAD BROKEN OUT

✓ **ECONOMIC POLICIES**

- USED- RESOURCES OF INDONESIA TO EXPAND TRADE
- FORCED PEASANTS- GROW NON INDOGENOUS CROPS- RUBBER, COFFEE
- ALMOST-25% OF REVENUES OF DUTCH STATE – FROM HERE

✓ **POLITICAL POLICIES**

- NO REPRESENTATION OF INDONESIANS
- INTIALLY UNDER DUTCH COMPANY- LATER THE CROWN

✓ **WORLD WAR II-**

- GERMANY CONQUERED – NETHERLANDS
- JAPAN INVADED EAST INDIES
- 3 AXIS POWERS DIVIDED AREAS – SPHERES OF INFLUENCE
- INDONESIA CAME UNDER JAPANESE SPHERE
- JAPAN- ALSO WANTED- RUBBER PLANTATIONS & OIL FOR WAR EFFORT

✓ **JAPANESE OCCUPATION**

- INITIALLY - PEOPLE THOUGHT THEY WPULD BE BETTER
- MORE BRUTAL- 4 MILLION DIED
- HOWEVER – JAPANESE ALLOWED INDONESIANS TO FILL HIGHER POSTS IN GOVT
- THIS WAS USED NPBY LEADERS- TO WORK FOR INDEPENDENCE AMONGST MASSES

✓ POST WAR-

- NETHERLANDS- TRIED TO RE EXERT CONTROL
- THIS WAS – REASON FOR ASIAN RELATIONS CONFERENCE IN DELHI IN 1947
- NETHERLANDS- GRANTED INDEPENDENCE- 1949.

➤ BELGIAN COLONIALISM-

- SMALL NATION BETWEEN – NETHERLANDS & FRANCE
- NOT BIG NAVY (UK) OR ARMY (GERMANY)
- YET – CONTROLLED A TERRITORY DOUBLE ITS SIZE

A) CONGO

- WAS EXPLORED- PORTUGUESE , ENGLISH TRAVELLER IN 1700'S
- HOWEVER- CLIMATE, NATIVES LED TO FAILURE OF EXPEDITIONS

B) HENRY MORTON STANLEY

- BRITISH BORN AMERICAN JOURNALIST
- ACHIEVED FAME- BY FINDING EXPLORER- DR LIVINGSTONE
- WAS RECRUITED BY KING LEOPOLD
 - I. TO EXPLORE CONGO
 - II. ESTABLISH A STATE

▪ KING LEOPOLD II

- CONSTITUTIONAL MONARCH
 - YET- HE WANTED TO EXPAND BELGIAN AREA IN AFRICA – VERY AMBITIOUS
 - MADE EFFORTS- PREVIOUSLY- TO COLONISE ARGENTINA, BUY PHILLIPINES, BORNEO ETC
 - BELGIAN GOVT- NOT INTERESTED IN A COLONY - VIEWED AS A WASTE OF RESOURCES
 - 1876- HE SPONSORED AN INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON AFRICA
 - LED TO- INTERNATIONAL AFRICAN ASSOCIATION- A SHELL COMPANY WHICH SERVED AS A FRONT FOR LEOPOLD
 - HE LATER RECRUITED - STANLEY
-
- BELGIAN GOVT STILL WASN'T INTERESTED - HENCE LEOPOLD WENT AHEAD WITH COLONISATION OF CONGO AS A PVT CITIZEN

D) CONGO FREE STATE- 1885

- ✓ **CONDITIONS IN CONGO FREE STATE**
 - **NATURAL RESOURCES—GOLD, COPPER ETC PRESENT**
 - **DISCOVERY IF RUBBER- LED TO- PLANTATIONS**
 - **RUTHLESS EXPLOITATION OF LABOUR**
- ✓ **RUBBER QUOTA FOR VILLAGES- IF NOT FILLED- HANDS CHOPPED OFF – TERROR WEAPON**
 - **FORCED CONVERSIONS + GREATER SCOPE FOR PVT INDUSTRIES**
 - **RACIAL SEGREGATION**

- ✓ **HOW WAS BELGIAN POLICIES DIFFERENT ?**
 - **BRITISH & FRENCH - ALSO USED REPRESSION**
 - **HOWEVER – MUTILATION ON A LARGE SCALE – NOT PRACTICED**
 - **BELGIANS – HAD WHITES IN ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS ALL OVER**
 - **BRITISH & FRENCH- FAVOURED- INDIRECT RULE BY NATIVES UNDER COLONIAL OVERSIGHT IN AFRICA**

- ✓ **LARGE SCALE REPRESSION+ RUTHLESS EXPLOITATION - LED TO BELGIAN GOVT TAKING UP ADMINISTRATION**

E) BELGIAN CONGO (1908-1960)

- **POLICIES- SAME**
- **GOVT- FAVOURED- PVT COMPANIES + RESOURCD EXTRACTION**

F) INDEPENDENCE-1960

- **PATRICE LUBUMBA , JOSEPH VUBU**

G) CONGO CRISIS (1960-65)

- **INDEPENDENCE ACHEIVED WOTHKUT SETTLLING QUESTIONS OF – FEDERALISM, ETHNICITY**
- **REVOLTS BROKE OUT**
- **INVOLVEMENT OF BELGIAN TROOPS**
- **ALSO- US/ USSR SUPPORTED ROVAL FACTIONS**
- **LUMUMBA – ASSASSINATED**
- **BELGIUM- LATER APOLOGISED FOR ROLE IN THE LPKILLKNG OF LUMUMBA**

➤ **FRENCH COLONIALISM IN AMERICA, AFRICA, INDO CHINA**

- **TWO PHASES-**
 - **1ST EMPIRE- 16TH CENTURY-1814**
 - **2ND EMPIRE- 1830-1955**
- **1ST EMPIRE- CONSISTING OF MANY ISLANDS IN THE CARIBBEAN + EXTENSIVE TERRITORY IN AMERICA- WAS LOST DURING A SERIES OF WARS WITH BRITAIN -19TH CENTURY**
- **2ND EMPIRE- POST CONQUEST OF ALGIERS**
- **ENDED- FREEDOM OF VIETNAM**
- **REPUBLICANS IN FRANCE- INITIALLY HOSTILE- BEGAN SUPPORTING WITH THE RISE OF GERMAN POWER.**

✓ **FRENCH WEST AFRICA-**

- **ESTABLISH- TRADING PORTS IN SENEGAL-1624**
- **FRENCH EAST INDIA COMPANY-1664**
- **WITH SENEGAL AS A BASE- FRENCH SOLDIERS - CONQUERED MANY AREAS**
- **1830- ALGIERS SEIZED – FRENCH SETTLERS CAME HERE**
- **SEVERAL AREAS- SEND REPRESENTATIVES- TO FRENCH PARLIAMENT IN EXCHANGE FOR- AFRICAN SOLDIERS IN FRENCH ARMY IN 1895- FRENCH WEST AFRICA CREATED**
- **THE AFRICANS- WERE NOT CITIZENS , RATHER- SUBJECTS- THEIR RIGHTS WERE LESSER**
- **THE CHANGES - IN FRENCH INDOCHINA LED TO THE INDEPENDENCE OF MANY OF THESE COLONIES -1960's**

✓ **FRENCH IN EAST ASIA**

- **FRENCH BEGAN TRADING WITH CHINA IN THE 17TH CENTURY**
- **THESE AREAS- NOMINAL CHINESE PROTECTORATE**
- **TRADING GAVE WAY- MILITARY COLLABORATION-1787**
- **RESULTED- TREATY - WHICH LED TO FRENCH INFLUENCE IN SEVERAL AREAS-**
- **LATER- SEVERAL AREAS- SAIGON, ANNAM ETC WERE CONQUERED**
- **ECONOMIC EXPLOITATION – PRESENT – COLONY SUPPLIED- TIMBER, SUGAR, RICE ETC**
- **1864- ALL TERRITORIES IN VIETNAM- DECLARED TO BE NEW COLONY OF COCHINCHINA**
- **1884- SINO- FRENCH WAR- CONFIRMATION OF FRENCH AS OVERLORDS**

✓ **FRENCH INDOCHINA**

- **FORMED- 1887**

- ANNAM, TONKIN, COCHINCHINA + CAMBODIA
- 1945- HO CHI MINH – DECLARED A REPUBLIC
- 1954- CATASTROPHIC FRENCH DEFEAT AT DIEN BIEN PHU
- GENEVA CONFERENCE-1954-LED TO DIVISION OF TERRITORY- NORTH & SOUTH VIETNAM

✓ VIETNAM WAR-

- DEPARTURE OF FRENCH- US CAME TO SUPPORT THEM
 - WHY?
1. DIDNT WANT INDO CHINA UNDER COMMUNIST INFLUENCE
 2. WAR IN KOREA- CONVINCED THEN THAT INDO CHINA WAR WAS ANOTHER THEATRE FOR WORLDWIDE STRUGGLE AGAINST COMMUNISM
 3. DOMINO THEORY- SPREAD OF COMMUNISM WORLDWIDE
 4. TRUMAN DOCTRINE- SUPPORT FREE PEOPLE EVERYWHERE
- NORTH VIETNAM- GUERRILA CAMPAIGN AGAINST SOUTH
 - HELP- USSR, CHINA
 - NORTH VIETNAMESE- SAW THE CONFLICT AS AN EXTENSION OF THE COLONIAL WAR
 - THEY WANTED TO UNIFY VIETNAM
 - INITIALLY- MILITARY ADVISERS SEND
 - LATER- FOLLOWING THE GULF OF TONKIN INCIDENT-1964 -TROOPS
- ✓ US DOMESTIC REACTION-
- MASSIVE PROTESTS
 - FLOWER POWER+ ANTI WAR
 - 1968- US PUBLIC OPINION TURNED DECISIVELY AGAINST WAR
 - ALL OVER WORLD- ANTI VIETNAM WAR PROTESTS
 - 1970'S – US TROOPS WITHDRAWAL
 - 1975- FALL OF SAIGON

✓ HO CHIN MINH-

- LIVED AS A YOUNG MAN IN FRANCE DURING WW I
- EMERGED- OUTSPOKEN VOICE OF VIETNAMESE INDEPENDENCE
- INSPIRED- 1917 REVOLUTION- JOINED COMMUNIST PARTY
- 1930- INDO CHINA COMMUNIST PARTY
- 1941- LEAGUE FOR INDEPENDENCE OF VIETNAM

✓ **POST WAR**

- **AT WAR'S END- VIET MINH FORCES- DECLARED A DEMOCRATIC STATE OF VIETNAM**
- **HO CHIN MINH- SERVED AS PRESIDENT FOR 25 YEARS**
- **HE GAVE UP POWER & REMAINED A SYMBOLIC FIGURE HEAD**
- **SERVED- AS A SYMBOL OF RESISTANCE IN VIETNAM- AGAINST SOUTH VIETNAMESE AND US FORCES.**
- **DIED-1973**

➤ **WHAT IS TOTAL WAR?**

- **INITIALLY WAR- SPECIALISED PROFESSION OF A MILITARY CLASS- EG- SAMURAI ETC**
- **LATER TRANSFORMATION- TO THE MOBILISATION OF ENTIRE ECONOMIC RESOURCES OF A SOCIETY - TO WAR**
- **STAGE 2- MOBILISATION OF HUMAN RESOURCES OF A SOCIETY TOWARDS WAR**

➤ **LEAGUE OF NATIONS VS UN**

UNITED NATIONS	LEAGUE OF NATIONS
ESTABLISHED BY 1945	ESTABLISHED BY- 1920
GOVERNED BY A CHARTER	GOVERNED BY A COVENANT
MEMBERSHIP- US/ USSR	MEMBERSHIP- BRITAIN, FRANCE
GENERAL ASSEMBLY- DID NOT WORK BY UNANIMITY	GENERAL ASSEMBLY - WORKED BY UNANIMITY
INCLUDES REPRESENTATIVES FROM- DEVELOPING NATIONS	EXCLUDES- NO SAY

➤ **CAN THE LEAGUE OF NATIONS BE CALLED A FAILURE?**

- **SUCCESS OF ANY SUCH ENDEAVOUR- CAN BE JUDGED –**
 - ✓ **ABILITY TO AVOID WAR**
 - ✓ **PEACEFUL RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES**

- **LEAGUE- AVOIDED MANY CONFLICTS- IRAN-IRAQ BORDER DISPUTES, LITHUANIAN CRISIS ETC**
- **HOWEVER- THESE WERE MIDDLE OR SMALLER POWERS**
- **WHEN IT CAME TO BIG POWERS- NOT EFFECTIVE- EG- NO CONDEMNATION OF JAPAN, ITALY**
- **LEAGUE HAD DEFECTS- BIT IDEA OF INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION - VERY RELEVANT**

- ✓ **HOW?**
 - **JUST AFTER WE II- U.N. SET UP WITH THAT IDEA**
 - **U.N.- LEARNT FROM MISTAKES OF LEAGUE**

- ✓ **CONCLUSION-**
 - **SOME ACHIEVEMENTS**
 - **FAILURE TOO**

➤ **US- JAPAN RIVALRY**

- **BOTH- EXPANDING- IN PACIFIC**
- **HENCE- A CLASH BOUND TO HAPPEN**

- **JAPAN- EXPANDING IN CHINA ALSO**

- **POST WORLD WAR-I**
- **WASHINGTON CONFERENCE, 1921**
- **WHY? TO CHECK JAPANESE EXPANSION IN PACIFIC**

AGREED-

1. **JAPAN WOULD RETAIN CONTROL- GERMAN ISLANDS IN THE PACIFIC**
2. **JAPANESE FLEET- TO BE 3/5THS OF THE US/ UK**

RESULTS-

1. JAPAN - EMERGED AS A POWER IN PACIFIC
2. US/ UK FLEETS – SPREAD THIN- JAPAN WAS ABLE TO MASS HER NAVY IN PACIFIC
3. USA- DID NOT INTERVENE- WHEN JAPAN INVADED CHINA IN 1930'S

➤ QUESTION OF VERSAILLES GUILT/ GERMAN RECOVERY/ PRE WAR GERMANY

- POST WAR- US LOANED MUCH TO EUROPE
- UK+ FRANCE- DEBT
- UK- PREFERRED- EASE OF PAYMENTS

WHY?

1. WOULD HELP GERMANY RECOVER- PAY LOANS FASTER
2. GERMANY- WOULD BE GOOD MARKET FOR BRITISH GOODS

- FRANCE- FULL PAYMENT OF REPARATION

WHY?

1. AFRAID OF GERMAN RISE
2. WANT A MILITARILY WEAK GERMANY

- DAWES PLAN-

AGREED THAT GERMANY WOULD PAY ANNUALLY WHATEVER SHE COULD
FRENCH WITHDRAWAL FROM RUHR

- LOCARNO TREATY-

FRANCO- GERMAN BORDER SET

- YOUNG PLAN-

GERMAN WAR REPARATION REDUCE

- GERMAN WAR REPARATION- CONSISTING OF TRANSFER OF GOODS, SERVICES & GOLD- IRONICALLY -HELPED GERMANY RECOVER.
- WHY? – HELPED CUT DOWN UNEMPLOYMENT – HENCE GERMANY WAS RECOVERING
- 1929 CRASH- RISE OF NAZIS- STOPPED THAT.

- WAS 1918 TREATY HARSH?

**YES- GERMAN PRIDE/ SENTIMENTS HURT
WAR – REPARATION - HUGE**

HOWEVER-

- 1. GERMANY WAS RECOVERING**
- 2. INCREASED EMPLOYMENT & INDUSTRIAL CAPACITY**
- 3. ALSO- GERMANS IMPOSED EQUALLY HARSH TERMS – ON RUSSIANS AT BREST LITOVSK.**

➤ RISE OF TOTALITARIANISM-

5 FACTORS-

- 1. MODERN MASS COMMUNICATIONS- NEWSPAPERS, BOOKS, RADIO- - AMPLIFIED MESSAGE**
- 2. POPULIST NATIONALISM**
- 3. WIDESPREAD ECONOMIC DISTRESS**
- 4. EXAGGERATED FEAR OF EXTERNAL/ INTERNAL ENEMIES**
- 5. EMERGENCE OF ABSOLUTIST LEADERS**

CASES-

A) USSR-

- 1. 1917 – REVOLUTION - 1ST SOCIALIST**
- 2. SLOGANS- WORKERS REVOLUTION + WORLD WIDE REVOLUTION- FRIGHTENED WEST**
- 3. HELPED- WHITE RUSSIA**
- 4. DISTRUST BETWEEN SOVIETS & WEST**
- 5. EMERGENCE OF STALIN- PURGES**

B) ITALY

- 1. NOT SATISFIED WITH SETTLEMENT IN WW II**
- 2. ECONOMIC DISTRESS**
- 3. MUSSOLINI- FASCISM**
- 4. ETHIOPIA INVASION-1935**

JAPAN

- 1. MEIJI RESTORATION- 1868**
- 2. MODERNISATION DRIVE**
- 3. BEST OF WEST- IMPOSE UPON – A HOMOGENEOUS & DISCIPLINED SOCIETY**
- 4. CIVILIAN GOVT- UNDER CONTROL OF CLIQUE OF ARMY/ NAVY OFFICERS- YOUNG TIGERS**
- 5. SELF IMAGE- LEADER OF ASIA**

GERMANY

1. PENALISED FOR WW I
2. GRADUAL RECOVERY- WALLMSTREET 1929
3. HITLER CAME TO POWER, PROMISES-
 - a. CANCELLATION OF 1919 TREAT
 - b. REUNIFICATION OF GERMAN SPEAKING AREAS- SUDETEN, DANZIG
 - c. RACIAL PURITY / HERRENVOLK- MASTER RACE, LEBENSRAUM

➤ NON ALIGNMENT-

DIMENSIONS-

- A) FOREIGN POLICY- REFUSE TO ALIGN WITH EITHER OF TWO BLOCS . INDEPENDENT ACTION
- B) MILITARY- NO MILITARY COOPERATION WITH EITHER BLOC
- C) ECONOMIC- STRESSING DEVELOPMENT, COOPERATION OF POOR COUNTRIES
- D) POLITICAL- STRESSING DEMOCRATIC GOVTS

WHY EMERGED?

- I. DESIRE TO AVOID WAR- PURSUE PEACE
- II. DESIRE TO AVOID DEVASTATION- EG- USSR AFTER GERMAN INVASION
- III. MASSIVE POVERTY, UNEMPLOYMENT
- IV. DOMESTIC CONCERNS- EG- INDIA'S PLURALITY, INDEPENDENT THINKINGJ- MADE HER A NATURAL PARTNER FOR NON ALIGNMENT

✓ DIFFERENCE BETWEEN NIN ALIGNMENT & NEUTRALITY-

- OFTEN – CONFUSED
- NOT THE SAME

<i>NON ALIGNMENT</i>	<i>NEUTRALITY</i>
POLITICAL CONCEPT	LEGAL CONCEPT, IE – NOT WRITTEN INTO CONSTITUTION
TEMPORARY FEATURE	PERMANENT FEATURE
POSITIVE FEATURES	NEGATIVE FEATURE

✓ WHAT DOES NON ALIGNMENT STAND FOR?

- TAKING - INDEPENDENT STANCE ON INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS- NOT BASED ON MEMBERSHIP OF MILITARY BLOCS
- FRIENDSHIP & COOPERATION WITH ALL
- NOT DIRECTED AGAINST ANY IDEOLOGY
- PROMOTE- DEVELOPMENT & PEACE

✓ CHRONOLOGY-

- INITIALLY - NEHRU, TITO, NASSER
- 1947 ASIAN RELATIONS CONFERENCE- DELHI- NEHRU'S INITIATIVE
- 1955- AFRO ASIAN CONFERENCE- BANDUNG
- 1ST NAM MEET- 1961, BELGRADE

✓ 1964 CAIRO

- 2ND SUMMI
- 46 COUNTRIES
- PEACEFUL SETTLEMENT OF INTERNATIONAL DISPUTES
- NOT RECOGNISE- WHITE GOVT OF SOUTH AFRICA
- REPRESENTATION OF CHINA- IN UN

✓ 1970 LUSAKA

- 3RD SUMMIT
- ANTI VIETNAM
- BOYCOTT- ISRAELI AGGRESSION

✓ 1992-DJAKARTA

- 10TH SUMMIT
- 1ST SUMMIT- POST COLD WAR

1995- CARTAGENA

- COLOMBIA
- CALL FOR GENERAL, UNIVERSAL- DISARMAMENT
- MAJOR VICTORY FOR INDIA

✓ ACHEIVEMENTS OF NAM-

- I. POLITICALLY- A VIABLE ALTERNATIVE TO 2 CAMPS- PROVIDED
- II. HELPED- END COLONIALISM, RACISM
- III. INCREASED- VOICE OF 3RD WORLD- IN UN
- IV. CONSCIOUSNESS OF DIVISION OF WORLD- INTO – RICH & POOR & NOT – EAST VS WEST.
- V. MADE ECONOMIC VOICE OF 3RD WORLD- KNOWN , EG- NATIONAL CONTROL OF ECONOMIC RESOURCES- ACCEPTED PRINCIPLE
- VI. MADE POSSIBLE- INDEPENDENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT POSSIBLE - EVEN THOUGH BEING PART OF INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT ORDER

✓ **VIABILITY OF NAM NOW-**

- 2 VIEWS REGARDING- IRRELEVANCE OF NAM
 - A) COLLAPSE OF USSR- HENCE NOT NEEDED
 - B) COLONIAL EMPIRES- ENDED, APARTHEID ENDED- HENCE IRRELEVANT

HOWEVER- NEED TO REMEMBER-

- A) EMERGED AS AN ADDITIONAL FOREIGN POLICY CHOICE
- B) CONSIDER- EACH ISSUE- ON MERIT

✓ **RELEVANCE OF NAM NOW-**

1. NOT UNIPOLAR- MULTI POLAR
2. TERROR, CYBER THREAT, CLIMATE CHANGE- GLOBAL THREATS
3. ECONOMIC PROTECTIONISM RISING
4. DEVELOPED COUNTRIES
5. RISE- FAR RIGHT, XENOPHOBIC PARTIES- NATIONAL FRONT, UKIP
6. PRESSURE ON DEVELOPING COUNTRIES - TO OPEN UP MARKETS- BALI NEGOTIATIONS
7. NO UN REFORMS
8. NUCLEAR HAVES AND HAVE NOTS

ANALYSIS-

1. TRUE- BIPOLAR WORLD- SOME SHEEN LOST
2. HOWEVER- CHALLENGES- ASSUMED NEW FORMS
3. POVERTY, HUNGER, TERROR- ALL REMAIN
4. RICH POOR DIVIDE- PRESENT
5. HENCE- STILL RELEVANT
6. NAM'S AGENDA OF – ECONOMIC EQUALITY, DESIRE FOR PEACE, INDEPENDENCE ALWAYS RELEVANT

✓ CAN NON ALIGNMENT BE CALLED NEUTRALITY?

- NEUTRALITY- NEGATIVE, NAM- POSITIVE
- NEUTRALITY- PASSIVE, NAM- ACTIVELY SUPPORTS AN INDEPENDENT STANCE

✓ CAN NON ALIGNMENT BE CALLED OPPORTUNISM?

- NO- IDEA WAS NOT TO EXTRACT FROM BOTH SIDES
- TRUE- SOME COUNTRIES HAVE BENEFITTED – FROM NAM
- HOWEVER- THAT'S WHEN – INTERESTS OF 1 POWER- COINCIDES WITH THAT OF A NAM MEMBER
- EG- INDIA- GOOD RELATIONS WITH USSR- HOWEVER- COMDEMND- CRUSHING OF HUNGARY- 1956

✓ WHY DIDN'T NON ALIGNMENT DISSAPPEAR WITH THE END OF COLD WAR?

- TRUE- EVENTS OF COLD WAR PRECIPITATED- NAM
 - HOWEVER- THROUGH TIME NAM BECAME SOMETHING MORE
- STOOD FOR-
 - I. JUST , EQUITABLE WORLD ORDER
 - II. CALLED FOR ECONOMIC PARITY
 - III. CALLED FOR- VOICE OF OPPRESSED TO BE HEARD

HENCE-

- I. THESE PRINCIPLES- TIMELESS
- II. HENCE- EVEN POST COLD WAR

➤ WHY DECOLONISATION HAPPENED?

- I. MAJOR WORLD POWERS- NO LONGER INTERESTED IN EXPENDING- MANPOWER & MONEY IN COLONIAL COUNTRIES
- II. ECONOMIES – DEVASTATED – WAR DEBT
- III. SOCIALLY-
 - A) NO SUPPORT- FOR COLONIAL POSSESSIONS- EG- VICTORY OF LABOUR
 - B) TIDE OF NATIONALISM- INDIA & ELSEHWERE
- IV. INTERNATIONALLY-
 - A) USSR- ANTI COLONIAL
 - B) US- NOT FAVOURED TOWARDS COLONIES, EG- FDR TO CHURCHILL

✓ PROCESS OF DECOLONISATION –

- STARTED- INDEPENDENCE OF INDIA / PAK , INDONESIA, MYANMAR
- LATER- GHANA- 1956
- 1960- MOST OF FRENCH AFRICAN EMPIRE- GONE
- UNGA- PASSED A RESOLUTION - TOWARDS DECOLONISATION

- HOWEVER- CONTINUED TILL 1990'S
 - A) APARTHEID REGIME- COLONIAL ERA
 - B) HONG KONG TO CHINA- 1999

✓ ROLE OF NAM

- I. NAM – INCLUDED MANY LEADERS FROM COLONISED NATIONS
EG- SUKARNO FROM INDONESIA,
- II. AFRICAN INDEPENDENCE- BOOSTED BY PRESENCE OF- KWAME
NKRUMAH, JOMO KENYATTA
- III. NAM- APPEALED TO BOTH BLOCS TO SUPPORT INDEPENDENCE
- IV. NAM- MORAL FORCE
- V. NAM- AS A BODY - BOOSTED ANTI COLONIAL CAMP AT UN-
PRESSURE GROUP

✓ NAM- ROLE IN ECONOMIC EQUALITY-

- CALLED FOR- NEW INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC ORDER
- COMPONENTS-
 - I. REFORMS- TERMS OF TRADE
 - II. REFORMS- INSTITUTIONS- SUCH AS IMF, WORLD BANK
 - III. 3RD WORLD DEBT
 - IV. TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER TO 3RD WORLD
 - V. INCREASING INTERFERENCE OF MNC'S

✓ PROBLEMS OF NEWLY INDEPENDENT COUNTRIES-

- I. POLITICAL-
 - A) ABSENCE OF DEMOCRATIC BASE
 - B) CAPTURE OF POWER BY AN ELITE WHO INVESTED MORE ON
WEAPONS & LUXURY THAN IMPROVE SOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE
 - C) SUPPORT OF DICTATORS BY POWER BLOCS- EG- IDI AMIN OR
MANUEL NORIEGA
- II. SOCIAL-
 - A) LOW LITERACY
 - B) LOW- HEALTH, ACCESS TO MEDICINES

- C) PRESSURE TO CONCENTRATE ON SHORT TERM ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT THAN INVEST IN SOCIAL INSTITUTIONS
- III. ECONOMIC-
 - A) POOR INDUSTRIAL BASE- THOUGH RICH IN RESOURCES
 - B) DEBT TO FORMER COLONIAL MASTERS
 - C) INCREASING INTERFERENCE OF MNC'S

✓ WEAKNESSES OF NAM

- I. ADMINISTRATIVE-
 - NO FIXED CRITERIA FOR ADMITTANCE OF NEW MEMBERS
 - EG- PAK- A MEMBER OF CEATO, CENTO- ALSO MEMBER KF NAM SINCE- 1979
- II. DIPLOMATIC
 - LIMITED- DIPLOMATIC OPTIONS
 - ALSO- VARIED COUNTRIES- VIEW FOREIGN POLICY VARIEDLY
- III. ECONOMIC
 - LIMITED ECONOMIC MEANS
 - NO OPTION OF SANCTIONS
- IV. INSTITUTIONAL
 - MANY NAM COUNTRIES HAD POOR QUALITY OF INSTITUTIONS
 - EG- ELECTIONS, SOCIAL SERVICES
- V POLITICAL
 - NO COHERENT POLITICAL VISION
 - NATIONAL INTERESTS- PUT AHEAD

➤ DECOLONISATION IN AFRICA

TRIGGERS-

- I. NATIONAL MOVEMENTS-
 1. BEFORE WW II – ONLY INDIA(1885 ONWARDS) & VIETNAM (1920'S) HAD SOME STRONG MOVEMENT
 2. BOOSTED BY SOLDIERS RETURNING FROM WW II- EXPOSED TO IDEA OF AXIS AGGRESSION- SAW COLONIAL AGGRESSION
 3. INCREASING EDUCATION OF AFRICANS
- II. WW II
 1. JAPANESE SUCCESS- CHANGED NOTION OF EUROPEAN INVINCIBILITY
 2. DEVELOPMENT OF GUERRILLA TACTICS BY LOCALS
 3. ATLANTIC CHARTER OF 1941- PROMISED- NO TERRITORIAL EXPANSION, SELF RULE

III. OUTSIDE PRESSURE

- I. ROLE OF US- TO ENCOURAGE BRITS TO GIVE INDEPENDENCE**
- II. USSR- ENCOURAGED ANTI COLONIALISM**

✓ CONTRAST OF BRITISH POLICY IN WEST & EAST AFRICA

- I. WEST AFRICA- LESS SETTLERS, EAST AFRICA- MORE**
- II. HENCE- BRITAIN -MORE IN FAVOUR OF INDEPENDENCE IN WEST AFRICA THAN EAST**
- III. WHITE SETTLERS- ALSO DESORED BRITISH RULE**

✓ WEST AFRICA-

I. GOLD COAST-

- 1. BOYCOTT OF FOREIGN GOODS IN 1951**
- 2. 1952- SELF GOVT OF FOREIGN GOODS**
- 3. 1952-57- AFRICANS GAINED EXPERIENCE OF GOVT- UNDER KWAME NKRUMAH**
- 4. 1957- INDEPENDENCE- AS GHANA**

II. NIGERIA

- 1. OIL RICH COUNTRY**
- 2. DIVIDED BETWEEN MANY ETHNIC GROUPS**
- 3. 1954- NEW CONSTITUTION**
- 4. 1960- FULL INDEPENDENCE**

✓ EAST AFRICA-

TANZANIA-

- 5. JULIUS NYERERE- LED MOVEMENT**
- 6. HE ADOPTED RECONCILIATORY APPROACH TO WHITES**
- 7. PROMISED THEM- FAIR TREATMENT**

KENYA-

- 5. CHALLENGE- RECONCILIATION BETWEEN BLACKS & WHITES**
- 6. WHITE SETTLERS- WANTED TO REMAIN WITH BRITAIN & SUPPORTED BY- BUSINESS LOBBY IN ENGLAND**
- 7. MAU MAU INSURGENCY- BRITS SEND TROOPS**
- 8. JOMO KENYATTA- A MODERATE- WAS RELEASED- INDEPENDENCE-1963**

➤ 20TH CENTURY AGE OF EXTREMES-

- BOTH- BEST & WORST SIDES OF HUMANS

+VE-

- I. HUMANITARIAN CONCERNS- UN/ RED CROSS
- II. ECO GROWTH- REDUCE – POVERTY & STARVATION DEATHS
- III. SCIENTIFIC INNOVATIONS- DISCOVERY OF VACCINES, COMMUNICATION TECH
- IV. POLITICALLY- DEMOCRACY- BECAME AN ACCEPTED SYSTEM

-VE

1. TWO WORLD WARS
2. BITTER IDEOLOGICAL DIVIDE- FASCISM VS NAZISM, US VS USSR
3. GENOCIDE- HOLOCAUST, PARTITION
4. USE OF WMD'Z- SARIN GAS- WW I, NUKES- WW II

➤ ROLE OF INDIA- BRITISH IMPERIALISM-

A) *ECONOMIC-*

- I. INDIA SUPPLIED- 2-3% OF BRITAIN'S NATIONAL INCOME- DRAIN OF WEALTH
- II. MARKET FOR BRITISH GOODS
- III. GROWTH OF BRITISH SHIPPING
- IV. INDIA- SOURCE OF 12% OF BRITISH EXPORTS

B) *CULTURALLY-*

- I. PRIDE OF BRITISH IMPERIALISM
- II. ABSORB- BRITISH POPULATION

C) *MILITARILY-*

1. HUGE MANPOWER
2. FOUGHT- OVERSEAS WARS, CONQUERED

➤ NEW IMPERIALISM-

- ***IMPERIALISM-***

POLICY OF EXTENDING TERRITORY OR INFLUENCE OVER NEW AREAS & POPULACE

- ***NEW IMPERIALISM***

- I. COLONIAL EXPANSION- EUROPEAN & JAPAN, USA
- II. FROM END OF FRANCO PRUSSIAN WAR -END OF WORLD WAR I

- ***WHY CALLED NEW IMPERIALISM?***

- I. DISTINCT FROM OLD IMPERIALISM
- II. FROM- 16-18TH CENTURY
- III. MORE ROLE- ECONOMIC, NATIONALISTIC ETC

- ***EARLY EFFORTS-***

1. ANCIENT GREEKS, ROMANS- COLONIES- ASIA MINOR
2. POST 19TH CENTURY- INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION- CHANGED IT
3. WAYS-
 - A) ROLE OF ECONOMIC FACTORS-EG- RAW MATERIALS
 - B) EXPORT- SURPLUS CAPITAL & POPULACE

- ***METHODS OF CONTROL-***

- I. MILITARY CONQUEST
- II. FRAUD- KING LEOPOLD IN CONGO
- III. REWARD FOR VICTORY- EG- MALTA, MAURITIUS BY ENGLAND FOR NAPOLEONIC WARS

- ***FACTORS THAT FACILITATED IMPERIALISM***

- I. DISCOVERY OF NEW LANDS- SPAIN, PORTUGAL
- II. ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS- SILVER IN SOUTH AMERICA, RUBBER IN ASIA & CARIBBEAN

- ***FACTORS THAT FACILITATED NEW IMPERIALISM***

- I. INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION-
 - A) REGULAR SUPPLY OF RAW MATERIALS
 - B) OUTLET FOR SURPLUS CAPITAL
- II. EXPORT OF SURPLUS POPULATION
- III. EVANGELISM- SPREAD OF CHRISTIANITY
- IV. WHITE MANS BIRDE- CECIL RHODES
- V. COLONIES- SYMBOLS OF PRESTIGE
- VI. WEAKNESS & DISUNITY OF ASIATIC POPULATION

- ***BRITAIN & NEW IMPERIALISM-***
 - I. PROTECTION OF OVERSEAS INVESTMENTS- EG – IN SECURITIES OF SOVEREIGN GOVTS OR GOVT BACKED DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES- LIKE RAILWAYS IN INDIA
 - II. DISRAELI- BOUGHT SHARES OF SUEZ
 - III. FEAR OF RUSSIAN EXPANSION-
 - A) CONTROL OF CYPRUS
 - B) GREAT GAME IN CENTRAL ASIA

- ***US & NEW IMPERIALISM-***
 - I. UNITY POST CIVIL WAR
 - II. WESTWARD EXPANSION- 1860'S-1890'S
 - III. HOWEVER- CLOSING OF FRONTIER- PUBLICISED- FREDERICK TURNER-1893
 - IV. NAVAL EXPANSION
 - V. MCKINLEY TARIFF BARRIERS
 - VI. BEGAN TO SHOW INTEREST IN LATIN AMERICA

- ***QUOTE- NEW IMPERIALISM THAT SPREAD AFTER 1870 WAS MORE EMOTIONAL & NATIONALISTIC THAN THE EARLIER NATIONALISM ?***

EMOTIONAL-

- I. CIVILISING THEORY
- II. RUDYARD KIPLING- WHITE MAN'S BURDEN
- III. JULES FERRY (FRANCE)- SUPERIOR RACES
- IV. CHRISTIAN MISSIONARIES- INTENSE DESIRE FOR CONVERSIONS
- V. EXPLORATIONS- BROUGHT BACK REPORTS OF RICHES
- VI. FUELLED- COMPETITIONS AMONGST NATIONS

ROLE OF NATIONALISM-

- I. GERMAN, ITALIAN UNIFICATION- GAVE FILLIP
- II. MYTHS OF SUPERIORITY
- III. COLONIES- SOURCE OF PRIDE
- IV. FURTHER CONQUESTS- TO PROTECT COLONIES- EG- PORT SAID OR SINGAPORE- PROTECT INDIA

✓ ***CONCLUSION-***

- I. DIFFERED FROM PREVIOUS- DUE TO EMOTIONS OF ALL SORTS
- II. MORE POWER- GERMANY, USA ETC

➤ **GOOD EFFECTS OF COLONIALISM IN INDIA-**

- **POLITICAL-**
 - I. **GAVE UNITY**
 - II. **SINGLE ADMINISTRATIVE MACHINERY**
 - III. **ELECTIONS- PARLIAMENTARY DEMOCRACY**
 - IV. **CIVIL SERVICES**

- **ECONOMIC-**
 - I. **TRADE & COMMERCE INCREASED**
 - II. **OPENING UP TO THE WORLD**
 - III. **DEVELOPMENT OF ROADS, PORTS, RAILWAYS**

- **SOCIAL –**
 - I. **FREEDOM OF SPEECH (THEORETICALLY)**
 - II. **INDIVIDUALISM, DEMOCRACY**

➤ **SOUTH AFRICA- APARTHEID TO DEMOCRACY-**

- **1ST EUROPEANS TO SETTLE- DUTCH- COLONY AROUND CAPE OF GOOD HOPE, 1652**
- **REMAINED- DUTCH TILL 1795- BOERS FORCED LOCAL PEOPLE TO WORK & LAND GRABBING**
- **CAPTURED- BRITS DURING FRENCH REVOLUTION WARS, 1795**
- **BRIT SETTLERS CAME- DUTCH RESTLESS – ADDED TO RESTLESSNESS- EMPIRE ABOLISHED SLAVERY IN 1838**

- **THIS THREATENED- THEIR LIVELIHOOD- GREAT TREK NORTHWARDS- SET UP ORANGE FREE STATE (1835-40)**
- **LATER- TRANSVAAL, NATAL**

- **BOER WAR(1899-1902)- BOERS WERE DEFEATED**
- **1910- UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA- SET UP**
- **RACIAL COMPOSITION- 70% OF BLACK , 18% WHITE**
- **OUT OF THEM- 70% DUTCH**

CONDITIONS-

- I. DOMINATION OF LIFE BY WHITES**
- II. BLACK PEOPLE- MOST OF THE JOBS- MANUAL WORK**
- III. RESERVE AREAS- ONLY 7% OF AREA - NOT LARGE ENOUGH FOR 70% OF POPULATION**
- IV. PASS LAWS- CONTROLLED MOVEMENT OF BLACKS**
- V. 1911 LAW- FORBADE STRIKES**

1948- NATIONAL PARTY OF BEERS- ELECTED- POLICY OF APARTHEID

A) DR MALAN

- **PM MALAN INTRODUCES APARTHEID- BY LAW**
- **SEPARATE AREAS FOR WHITES & BLACKS**

WHY INTRODUCED?

- I. INDEPENDENCE OF INDIA- PAK**
- II. GROWING RECOGNITION OF RACIAL EQUALITY IN COMMONWEALTH**
- III. RACIAL THEORIES OF MASTER RACE**
- IV. RELIGIOUS SANCTION- CHURCH SUPPORT PRESENT**

B) APARTHEID STRENGTHENED-

PM'S VERWOED, VORSTER (1966-1978)

C) FEATURES-

- I. COMPLETE SEPARATION OF BLACKS & WHITES- BLACK SEPARATE TOWNSHIP AREAS**
- II. SEPARATE BUS, COACHES, CAFES , TOILETS**
- III. IDENTITY MCARD HAD TO CARRY IT ALL THE TIME**
- IV. NO SEXUAL OR OTHER RELATIONS BETWEEN BLACK & WHITE**
- V. HOMELAND SYSTEM- TRIED TO DEVELOP BANTU STANS POLICY- FAILURE**
- VI. NO POLITICAL REPRESENTATIONS**

D) OPPOSITION TO APARTHEID-

- I. INSIDE SOUTH AFRICA-**
 - **1912- AFRICAN NATIONAL CONGRESS FORMED**

- 1955- MASSIVE DEMONSTRATION- KLIPTOWN (JOHANNESBURG)
 - CHALKED OUT – ANC PROGRAM-
1. EQUALITY BEFORE LAW
 2. FREEDOM OF ASSEMBLY, DEMONSTRATION
 3. RIGHT TO VOTE, WORK
 4. MEDICAL CARE, INSURANCE

- 1960 - SHARPEVILLE MASSACRE, 67 KILLED
- AROUND THIS TIME- SPEAR OF THE NATION- NELSON MANDELA
- 1976- SOWETO MASSACRE- OVER 200 KILLED AGAINST FORCIBLE IMPOSITION OF AFRIKAANER

III. OUTSIDE SOUTH AFRICA-

- EARLY 1960'S – WINDS OF CHANGE SPEECH- HAROLD MACMILLAN
- ALL OVER WORLD- ANTI COLONIAL & RACIAL FEELING
- UN & EU BOYCOTT OF SOUTH AFRICA
- HOWEVER- METHODS DIDN'T YIELD RESULTS- FRANCE, GERMANY, UK CONTINUED TO TRADE FOR FEAR OF A COMMUNIST TAKEOVER- FACTOR

E) END OF APARTHEID-

- JW BOTHHA- REFORED THE APARTHEID
 - WHY?
- I. OVERSEAS CRITICISM FROM- UN, OAU
 - II. COLLAPSE- PORTUGUES COLONIAL EMPIRE- 1975
 - III. AFRICAN- TAKEOVER OF ZIMBABWE
- REFORMS-
- IV. JOIM TRADE UNIONS
 - V. RELATIONS BETWEEN WHITES/ NON WHITES- ALLOWED
 - VI. PASS LAWS- ABOLISHED
- HOWEVER-
- I. NO CONCEDE RIGHT TO VOTE
 - II. NO ECONOMIC EQUALITY

EVALUATION-

- I. BOTHA- PREPARED TO REFORM SYSTEM
- II. HOWEVER- OVERALL APARTHEID SHOULD REMAIN

- III. HOWEVER FORCES HE UNLEASHED- WOULD CHANGE EVERYTHING
- IV. SAME AS WITH- GORBACHEV

FURTHER PRESSURE-

- I. EMBARGO
- II. BOYCOTT IN SPORTS
- III. US VOTED FOR SANCTIONS

F) FW DE KLERK-

- I. PRIVATELY CONCEDED – APARTHEID HAD TO GO
- II. RELEASED- MADELA- AFTER 27 YEARS
- III. TALKS FOR POWER SHARING
- IV. GENERAL ELECTIONS- HELD
- V. MANDELA- 1ST BALCK PRESIDENT

TRUTH & RECONCILIATION COMMITTEE-

- I. LOOKED INTO HUMAN RIGHTS ABUSES DURING APARTHEID TIME
- II. FORGIVENESS- HELPED TO HEAL WOUNDS

➤ **DECOLONISATION-**

FACTORS THAT AIDED IT-

- I. WEAKNESSES OF COLONIAL POWERS
 - ECONOMIC LOSS- UK, FRANCE - IN DEBT
 - MANPOWER LOSS- NO DESIRE FOR FURTHER
 - STUNNING SUCCESS OF JAPAN- DENTED MYTH OF INVINCIBILITY
- II. GROWING ANTI COLONIAL FEELING
 - AT A TIME- COLONIES SOURCE OF PRIDE
 - NOW- REMINDER OF PAST – EG- BRITS VOTING OUT CONSERVATIVE CHURCHIL & REPLACING WITH LABOUR 'S ATTLEE
 - DEMONSTRATION IN COLONIAL COUNTRIES
 - NATIONAL MOVEMENTS IN COLONIES
- IV. SOLIDARITY OF ANTI COLONIAL MOVEMENTS
 - NAM – PLAYED A CRUCIAL PART

- EXHORTED UN TO CONDEMN IT
- UN- 1960 RESOLUTION
- COUNTRIES- SUPPORTED EACH OTHER- EG- INDIA VEHEMENTLY OPPOSED SENDING OF INDIAN TROOPS TO QUELL INDONESIAN MOVEMENT AGAINST DUTCH RULE
- DOMINO ROLE OF INDIA

➤ **CONSTRAINTS IN DEVELOPMENT OF LATIN AMERICA & AFRICA**

I. AFRICA

1. POLITICAL-

- ARTIFICIAL BOUNDARIES- FORCED BY EUROPEANS- POPULATIONS SPLIT UP
- DEVELOPMENT OF LOCAL POLITICAL ELITES
- POOR POLITICAL INSTITUTIONS- ELECTIONS, POLITICAL PARTIES
- LED TO RISE OF DICTATORIAL GOVTS, MILITARY COUPS

2. SOCIAL

- POOR SOCIO ECONOMIC INDICATORS – EDUCATION , LITERACY, HEALTH
- NO SOCIAL INSTITUTIONS- NGO'S , PRESSURE GROUPS
- INTER TRIBE RIVALRY- HUTU & TUTSI IN RWANDA

3. ECONOMIC

- RICH IN ECONOMIC RESOURCES YET POOR
- RELIANCE ON 1 PRODUCT, EG—GHANA (COCOA), NIGERIA (OIL) HENCE DIFFICULT TO DIVERSIFY
- NEOLIBERAL POLICIES OF IMF- EG DEVALUE CURRENCY, REDUCE WELFARE PROGRAMS

4. INTERNATIONAL-

- AFRICA- OFTEN AS A STAGE FOR COLD WAR POLITICS EG- EGYPT
- INTERFERENCE OF OLD CONONIAL POWERS EG- ANGOLA WHICH WAS INVADED BY TROOPS OF BELGIUM, SOUTH AFRICA ETC

5. MILITARY

- OLD SOCIAL CONFLICTS BROKE INTO BLOODY CIVIL WAR
- EG- NIGERIA 1970'S , CONGO , RWANDA
- ALSO- REPEATED COUPS- IDI AMIN

II. LATIN AMERICA

1. SOCIAL

- MASSIVE POPULATION INCREASE WITHOUT CORRESPONDING INCREASE IN SOCIAL INSTITUTIONS
- EG- 1960 POPULATION OF LATIN AMERICA -14 MILLION
- LACK OF DEMOCRATIC INSTITUTIONS- FREE PRESS, FREE CIVIL SOCIETY
- POOR SOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE- HEALTH, EDUCATION
- FEUDAL ELEMENTS IN COUNTRYSIDE

2. POLITICAL

- LACK OF SOCIAL INSTITUTIONS- LED TO RISE OF DICTATORIAL RULE
- EG- CHILE- AUGUSTO PINOCHET, FIDEL CASTRO, MANUEL NORIEGA (NICARAGUA)

3. ECONOMIC-

- MASSIVE INTERFERENCE BY US BASED MULTINATIONALS
- COERCIVE POLICIES FOLLOWED
- ALSO- ECONOMIC IMPERIALISM BY US ITSELF

4. INTERNATIONAL-

- US DIDNT LIKE COMMUNIST OR SOCIALIST GOVTS IN LATIN AMERICA
- INTERFERED

➤ LATIN AMERICA- BOLIVAR

- EXPLOITATION OF SPANISH AMERICA BY SPAIN
- RESOURCES- TIMBER, SILVER, LIVESTOCK, HUMAN LABOUR OF SOUTH AMERICA
- NO REPRESENTATIVE INSTITUTIONS
- INFERIOR STATUS OF CREOLES
- STRUGGLE FOR INDEPENDENCE-

- UPPER CLASS INTELLEGENSIA- CREOLOES- TREATED AS SECOND CLASS CITIZENS BY SPANISH BORN ROYALTY
- DISCONTENT- BREWING

✓ VENEZUELA

- 1806-1811- STELLAR ROLE OF FRANCISCO DE MIRANDA
- 1ST VENEZUELAN REPUBLIC PROCLAIMED
- TWO ATTEMPTS- BOTH ENDED IN FAILURE
- 3RD ATTEMPT CAPTURED -1813
- 2ND VENEZUELAN REPUBLIC PROCLAIMED- HOWEVER- DEFEATED BY SPANISH
- 1817- INFLICTED CRUSHING DEFEAT ON SPANISH
- 1819- PROCLAIMED – UNITED STATES OF COLOMBIA
- 1821- BOLIVAR’S ABLE LIEUTENANT JOSE ANTONIO DE SUCRE LIBERATED ECUADOR
- LATER- REPUBLIC OF GRAN COLOMBIA – PROCLAIMED

✓ *SIMON BOLIVAR AS COMPARED TO GEORGE WASHINGTON-*

A) SIMILARITY-

- BOTH WERE BORN- SIMILAR SOCIAL SITUATIONS
- BOTH- WEALTHY LANDOWNING FAMILIES
- BOTH – INFLUENCED BY ENLIGHTENMENT, IDEALS OF LIBERTY, EQUALITY
- BOTH- LED THEIR COUNTRIES MILITARY TO VICTORY
- BOTH- CONSIDERED – FATHER FIGURE OF THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNTRIES

B) DIFFERENCES-

I. POLITICALLY-

- BOLIVAR- ASSUMED DICTATORIAL POWERS
- WASHINGTON- GAVE UP THE PRESIDENCY AFTER TWO TERMS SETTING A TRADITION THAT CONTINUED UPTO FDR- SAVED AMERICAN DEMOCRACY

II. MILITARILY-

- BOLIVAR- HAD MANY MAJOR VICTORIES OVER THE SPANISH
- RECEIVED – FRENCH & BRITISH HELP
- WASHINGTON- LED ALMOST A WAR OF ATTRITION OF GUERRILLA CAMPAIGN
- WASHINGTON- RECEIVED FRENCH HELP

- ALSO- BRITISH ARMY WAS STRONGER THAN THE SPANISH FORCES

III. OVERALL-

- BOTH – USED MILITARY MEANS TO COME TO POWER
- YET- BOLIVAR- USED AUTHORITY TO CREATE STABILITY
- WASHINGTON- SET A GREAT TRADITION FOR US DEMOCRACY
- HENCE- WASHINGTON’S ENDURING LEGACY- US POLITICAL SYSTEM
- BOLIVAR’S DREAM- GRAN COLOMBIA DIDNT SURVIVE HIS LIFETIME

- DIFFERENCE OF SPANISH & ENGLISH COLONIALISM IN AMERICA

- I. ENGLISH – GREATER DECENTRALISATION. SPANISH & PORTUGUESE- CARRIED ON UNDER ROYAL MONOPOLY & CONTROL
- II. ENGLISH- MORE CONCERNED WITH ECONOMIC EXPLOITATION AND LESS WITH RELIGIOUS CONVERSIONS ETC
- III. ENGLISH COLONIES- HAD MORE HETEROGENEOUS POPULATION’S – CATHOLICS , PROTESTANTS, HUGENOTS . SPANISH- EXPELLED JEWS & ARABS DURING RECONQUISTA
- IV. ENGLISH ENCOUNTERED LESS ORIGINAL INHABITANTS- LESS ORGANIZED , EG- SIOUX, APACHE ETC. SPANISH – ENCOUNTERED MORE – SOPHISTICATED EMPIRES- AZTEC, MAYANS, INCAS
- V. SOUTH AMERICA- MORE PHYSICALLY FORBIDDING- MORE DIFFICULT TERRAIN

- FACTORS THAT LEAD TO STRUGGLE AGAINST SPANISH IMPERIALISM-

- I. BRUTALITIES - SPANISH RULERS
- II. SUCCESS OF AMERICAN REVOLUTION
- III. IDEAS OF FRENCH REVOLUTION - LIBERTY, EQUALITY

➤ SCRAMBLE FOR AFRICA-

- AFRICA- ALWAYS KNOWN
- EGYPT & NORTH AFRICA- PART OF MEDITERRANEAN WORLD
- PHOENICIA & ROMAN EMPIRE
- HOWEVER- INTERIOR FORESTS & DIFFICULT TERRAIN – DISCOURAGED EXPLORATION

✓ WHY SCENARIO CHANGED?

- IV. PRESSURES OF NEWER COLONIAL PASTURES
- V. INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION- NEWER MARKETS
- VI. ROLE- EXPLORERS- STANLEY, LIVINGSTONE- INCREASED CURIOSITY
- VII. RISING NATIONALISM- POST UNIFICATION OF GERMANY , ITALY
- VIII. ROLE OF MONROE DOCTRINE-1823 – CLOSED AMERICAS FOR FURTHER EXPANSION

✓ EVENTS-

- KING LEOPOLD II – BROUGHT CONGO- UNDER CONTROL
- 1876- CONFERENCE CALLED TO CARVE OUT AFRICA
- LATER- GERMANY, ITALY CAME INTO PICTURE
- MORE RIVALRY
- HENCE- BERLIN CONFERENCE

✓ OBJECTIVE-

- SETTLE CONFLICTING COLONIAL CLAIMS
- SET STAGE- FULL FLEDGED EXPLOITATION OF AFRICA

✓ RESULT OF BERLIN CONFERENCE-

- I. NIGER RIVER VALLET SPLIT BETWEEN ENGLISH & FRENCH
- II. BRITS- AGREE TO FRENCH IN TUNISIA IN EXCHANGE FOR QUID PR QUO- EGYPT
- III. SPAIN GIVEN – WESTERN SAHARA
- IV. MAINTAIN BALANCE OF POWER

✓ NATURE OF SCRAMBLE FOR AFRICA

- TREATIES AMONGST POWERS
- ACCOMODATION OF CLAIMS

✓ NATURE OF COLONIALISM-

- I. EVERY EFFORT TO DRAIN OUT WEALTH
- II. INFRASTRUCTURE BUILT ONLY TO EXPEDITIOUSLY EXTRACT RESOURCES
- III. NO INVESTMENT IN SOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE- HEALTH, EDUCATION
- IV. NO POLITICAL EDICATION OF NATIVES

✓ RESULTS OF COLONIALISM IN AFRICA-

- I. NATURE OF ECONOMIES CHANGED – SINGLE CROP OR RESSOURCE BASED ECONOMY LED TO ECONOMIC DIFFICULTIES WHEN INDEPENDENT
- II. NO HEALTH, EDUCATION- LED TO POOR SOCIAL INDICATORS OF ALL COLONIES

- III. EDUCATION- ONLY TO AN ELITE
- IV. POLICY OF DIVIDE & RULE- LED TO HUTU TUTSI DIVIDE
- V. INEFFICIENT POLITICAL DEVELOPMENT- LED TO MANY COUPS- MILITARY JUNTAS

➤ SEMI COLONIALISM-

✓ PROCESS IN WHICH COLONIAL POWERS

- I. LEFT THE ACTUAL ADMINISTRATION IN HANDS OF LOCAL RULERS
- II. CONCERNED THEMSELVES WITH RAW MATERIALS EXTRACTION
- III. CREATING- MARKET FOR THEIR GOODS

✓ DEVICES ADOPTED

- I. FREE TRADE- REMOVAL OF ALL TARIFF & CUSTOMS BARRIERS
- II. ACTUALLY- WORKS WHEN BOTH COUNTRIES ON PAR- IN TERMS OF ECONOMIC CAPACITY
- III. OFTEN -IMPOSED ON HOST COUNTRIES

WHERE SEMI COLONIALISM ADOPTED?

- I. WHERE DIVERSE GROUPS PRESENT
- II. RUNNING OF COUNTRY- HANDS OF A LOCAL PUPPET RULER
- III. REAL POWER- AGENT OF THE COLONIAL POWER
- IV. NO RESPONSIBILITY, ALL POWER
- V. PROMOTION OF THEIR INTEREST – *DEVELOPMENT OF UNDER DEVELOPMENT*
– BY ANDRE FRANK

➤ CASE STUDY-

✓ CHINA-

- I. CHINA- LIMITED TRADE- OF FOREIGNERS
- II. ONLY TRADE- THROUGH- CANTON
- III. HAD NUMEROUS TRADE & SOCIAL RESTRICTIONS
- IV. CHINA- HAS A POSITIVE BALANCE OF TRADE
- V. BRITAIN- INTRODUCED OPIUM TRADE TO EVEN OUT BALANCE OF TRADE
- VI. PEOPLE ADDICTED- SOCIAL RAMIFICATIONS

✓ 1839-42-

- I. CHINA- BARRED OPIUM -OPIUM WAR – DEFEAT OF CHINA
- II. FORCED TO OPEN UP- PORTS FOR TRADE
- III. HONG KONG ANNEXED BY BRITS
- IV. SIMILAR TREATIES WITH- FRANCE & US
- V. BY 1860 CHINA FORCED TO OPEN UP EVEN MORE

➤ INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION-

- DENOTES THE SYSTEM OF MASS PRODUCTION OF GOODS ON AS LARGE SCALE WITH MACHINES DRIVEN BY ELECTRIC POWER OR STEAM

✓ WHY ENGLAND FIRST?

- TRADE NETWORKS
- POLITICAL STABILITY
- AVAILABILITY OF COAL, IRON
- TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATIONS
- ENTERPRISING ENGLISHMEN

✓ EFFECTS OF INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION-

A) ECONOMIC

- I. RISE OF FINANCIAL/ INDUSTRIAL CAPITAL
- II. FACTORY SYSTEM OF PRODUCTION
- III. UNIONS
- IV. RISE TO SOCIALISM

POLITICAL-

- I. IMPETUS TO COLONIALISM
- II. DIVISION OF COUNTRIES BASED UPON KNOWHOW
- III. REFORM OF FACTORIES

SOCIAL-

- I. GAVE RISE TO CITIES
- II. INEQUALITY
- III. SLUMS
- IV. WOMEN ENTERED WORKFORCE
- V. BREAK UP OF FAMILIES
- VI. SOCIAL INSTABILITY

VII. FILLIP TO SOCIALIST IDEAS

INDUSTRIALISATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES-

✓ USA-

CONDITION BEFORE IR-
HOMOGENOUS POPULATION – ENGLISH SPEAKING
NO TRADITION OF MONARCHY/ AUTOCRACY
POLITICAL PEACE- NO NAPOLEONIC WAR RAVAGES
SOME LARGE TOWNS + VIBRANT COUNTRYSIDE
LARGE LAND AVAILABLE

SIMILARITY OF EUROPEAN & AMERICAN INDUSTRIALISATION-
NEW MACHINES/ POWER SOURCES
NEW TOWNS/ CITIES
DEVELOPMENT OF TECH / FINANCE
DEVELOPMENT OF – STEAMBOAT, RAILROAD ETC
CREATE- INEQUALITY

DIFFERENCES-
DEVELOPMENT OF HUGE CORPORATIONS- ROCKEFELLER (OIL), CARNEGIE,
VANDERBILT (RAIL)
LIMITLESS LAND – EXPAND WESTWARDS- MANIFEST DESTINY

✓ GERMANY

CONDITION BEFORE IR-

- PRE 1815- 300 BIG OR SMALL STATES
- EACH STATE- OWN RULES/ REGULATIONS

WHAT WAS THE EFFECT-

- I. POLITICAL- DISUNITY
- II. ECONOMIC- INCREASE TAX RATES
- III. SOCIAL- GERMAN DISUNITY ON CRITICAL ISSUES

ZOLLVEREIN-

- NOT FREE TEADE

- RATHER CUSTOMS UNION
- EACH STATE- USED PRUSSIAN CUSTOMS RULES
- PAID DUE ACCORDING TO POPULATION

ADVANTAGE OF ZOLLVEREIN-

- ECONOMIC-
 - I. REDUCE INTERNAL TRADE BARRIERS
 - II. INCREASE OF TRADE/ COMMERCE

POLITICAL-

- INCREASE OF POLITICAL BONDS = NATIONALISM
- LEADERSHIP- PRUSSIA
- KEEP OUT AUSTRIA

POST 1870-

- COAL/ IRON PRODUCTION- SECOND ONLY TO ENGLAND
- MISSED OUT ON COLONIES- WANTED A PIECE OF THAT PI
- GERMAN ENGINEERS/ DESIGNERS- TURNED OUT THE BEST PRODUCTS- MERCEDES-BENZ, SIEMENS
- DEVELOPMENT OF CORPORATIONS- SIEMENS, VON KRUPP

✓ JAPANESE INDUSTRIALISATION-

- VIGOROUS STATE CONTROL OVER RESOURCES
- INVESTMENT- CAPITAL GOODS
- ABSENCE – CONSUMER GOODS
- CONTROL OF BANKING- EMERGENCE OF BUSINESS HOUSES- ZAIBATSU
- INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT RAPIDLY FEEDING – GROWING MILITARY POWER

OTHER FEATURES-

- MAIN EMPHASIS- TECHNICAL EDUCATION
- ENCOURAGEMENT TO STUDY ABROAD

WHY SUCH RAPID STRIDES?

- JAPANESE SPIRIT – YAMATO SPIRIT

ADAPTION TO

➤ NEO COLONIALISM-

A STATE WHICH WAS OUTWARDLY INDEPENDENT & SOVEREIGN BUT IN REALITY , ITS ECONOMY & POLITY WAS CONTROLLED BY EXTERNAL FORCES-

- KWAME NKRUMAH, PRESIDENT OF GHANA

✓ CHARACTERISTICS OF NEO COLONIALISM IN AFRICA-

- 3RD ALL AFRICAN PEOPLE'S CONFERENCE IN CAIRO-1961
- FOLLOWING CHARACTERISTIC-
 1. PUPPET GOVTS
 2. REGROUPING OF STATES BY A IMPERIALIST POWER- BASED UPON POWER, CONVENIENCE EG- CEATO, CENTO, WARSAW PACT
 3. BALKANIZATION EG- KATANGA, MAURITANIA
 4. DIRECT MONETARY DEPENDENCE
 5. MILITARY BASES

✓ TRANSNATIONAL CORPORATIONS-

- DEFINITION-

GIANT NATIONAL FIRMS WHICH OPERATE ACROSS NATIONAL FRONTIERS THROUGH SUBSIDIARY FIRMS & EXERCISE CONTROL OVER MORE THAN 1 NATIONAL MARKET

✓ FEATURES-

- V. OFTEN OPERATE THROUGH SMALLER LOCAL FIRMS
- VI. OFTEN- CREATE MONOPOLY
- VII. TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY TO 3RD WORLD- NO
- VIII. NOT ALLOW- INDIGENIZATION OF TECHNOLOGY
- IX. POLITICAL MEDDLING- ROLE OF TNC'S IN CHILE COUP- 1973

✓ IMF & WORLD BANK-

- MADE IN 1944 , BRETTON WOODS
 - DOMINATED BY US & ITS ALLIES
 - IMF- GIVES LOANS BASED UPON STRICT CONDITIONS-
- I. DEVALUATION OF CURRENCY
 - II. REDUCE BUDGET DEFICIT
 - III. CUTS IN PUBLIC SPENDING
 - IV. OPENING UP ECONOMY TO EXTERNAL TRADE
 - V. PRIVATISATION

✓ **RESULTS-**

- I. CUTS IN SOCIAL WELFARE DELIVERY, EG- REDUCED HEALTH, EDUCATION
 - II. HIGH INCOME INEQUALITY
 - III. INCREASE IN POWER- EXPORT ORIENTED UNITE
 - IV. SOME CASES- COLLAPSE OF SOCIAL WELFARE, RIOTS, UNREST
 - V. EXTREME CASES – MILITARY COUPS
- EG – OVERTURNING THE PERON GOVT IN ARGENTINA, 1976
SALVADOR ALLENDE IN CHILE

▪ **MILITARY INTERVENTION**

- I. OFTEN THROUGH CLANDESTINE AGENCIES
- II. PLAN- COUPS, ASSASSINATIONS, REGIME CHANGE, EG- MOSSADEGH (IRAN), ALLENDE (CHILE)
- III. NURSE HOSTILITY TOWARDS LEFT ORIENTED OR NATIONALIST POLITICIANS, EG- INDIA DUE TO HER SOCIALIST STANCE WAS LONG SUSPECTED BY THE CIA
- IV. PROPPING UP DICTATORIAL REGIMES , EG- NORIEGA IN NICARAGUA
- V. ALSO- SUPPLYING ARMS, AMMUNITION TO RIGHT WING REBELS, EG- CONTRA REBELS

➤ **SOCIALISM-**

- ANY ECONOMIC SYSTEM WHERE THE MEANS OF PRODUCTION & DISTRIBUTION CAN BE OWNED OR REGULATED BY THE COMMUNITY AS A WHOLE

SOCIALISM – FOLLOWING ASSUMPTIONS-

- I. IDEAL OF SERVICE- NOT PROFIT MOTIVE
- II. EGALITARIAN SYSTEM- LESS DIVISIONS ON CASTE, COLOUR
- III. COMMON OWNERSHIP

✓ **DIFFERENCE IN MARXISM & SOCIALISM**

ISM	LISM
-----	------

FORM OF SOCIALISM	ELLA TERM
OPED BY MARX- HENCE WITH HIM IT STARTED	ERS- BEFORE HIM ALSO- FOURIER, OWEN
- INDUSTRIAL WORKERS	MUCH WIDER , PEASANTS, WORKERS OF ALL
STATE	MAND ON ABOLISHING STATE
- VIOLENT REVOLUTION AS THE ONLY WAY	BE PEACEFUL TOO- EG- SALVATORE ALLENDE

- ***SIMILARITY BETWEEN MARXISM & SOCIALISM***

**BOTH- TALL OF EQUALITY OF OPPORTUNITY, EQUAL SAY IN DECISION MAKING,
IMPORTANCE OF ECONOMIC RESOURCES**

- ***FABIAN SOCIALISM***

- IN UK – GRADUAL SOCIALISM
- NOT OVERTHROW DEMOCRACY
- GRADUAL CHANGE- FABIAN SOCIETY (1883)
- GB SHAW, ANNIE BESANT & NEHRU

- ✓ ***DEMOCRATIC SOCIALISM***

- THRUST ON – SOCIALISM FROM BELOW
- POPULAR PARTICIPATION- CAN BE VIOLENT ALSO
- ANTI AUTHORITARIANISM- AS COMPARED TO STALINISM
- AGAINST STATE SOCIALISM- WHERE STATE CONTROLS
POWER

- ✓ ***EVOLUTION OF SOCIALISM AS A POLITICO ECONOMIC SYSTEM-***

- RIGID FEUDAL OORDER- CLERGY, NOBILITY
- FRENCH REVOLUTION- MAJOR FOCUS ON EQUALITY,
FRATERNITY

- HOWEVER- WORKERS COULD NOT WRING MAJOR CONCESSIONS AS THEY DID NOT GET LANDS CONFISCATED FROM NONILITY, CLERGY
- REIGN OF TERROR- DIVIDED FRANCE- BOURGEOISIE CAME TO POWER
- INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION- INCREASED IMPROTANCE & EXPLOITATION OF WORKERS
- 1830, 1848 REVOLUTION- INCREASED WORKERS CONSCIOUSNESS
- UTOPIAN THINKERS- OWN, ST SIMON, FOURIER- GAVE IT A THEORITICAL FRAMEWORK

✓ **INTERNATIONALS**

- **WHY?**
- I. **MARX- SINCE CAPITALISM- GLOBAL PHENOMENA- SOCIALISM NEEDS A GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE TOO**
- II. **UNITY OF WORKERS, PEASANTS**

1ST INTERNATIONAL (1864)

- I. **INTERNATIONAL WORKMEN'S ASSOCIATION**
- II. **AIMED- UNITING DIFFERENT LEFT WING, COMMUNIST & ANARCHIST ORGANISATIONS**
- III. **AIDED WORKERS MOVEMENT IN UK, NORTH AMERICA**
- IV. **COLLECTED FUNDS FROM WORKERS- FOR WORKERS WELFARE**

WHY SPLIT UP?

DIFFERENCE IN METHODS – ANARCHISTS UNDER BAKUNIN VS MARXISTS ALSO- DIVSIONS OVER FRANCO- PRUSSIAN WAR-1870

✓ **2ND INTERNATIONAL-**

- **WHY STRONGER THAN 1ST INTERNATIONAL-**
- **BY TIME OF 1ST INT'L- NO STRONG SOCIALIST PARTIES- IN EUROPE**
- **BY 1870'S & 80'S – MANY EURO0 NATIONS HAD WELL ESTABLISHED SOCIALIST PARTIES**
- **SOME HAD EVEN WON VOTES & SEATS IN LEGISLATURES**
- **SPREAD OF SOCIALIST MOVEMENT BEYOND EUROPE TO JAPAN, INDIA- EG- 1ST SIGNALLERS STRIKE- INDIA, 1899**

IMPORTANT CONTRIBUTIONS-

- III. **CELEBRATING - MAY DAY**
- IV. **IN FAVOUR IN INDEPENDENCE OF COLONIES- EG-DADABHAI NAOROJI SPOKE AT 1904 CONFERENCE OF 2ND INTERNATIONAL**
- V. **PREVENTION OF WAR- PROTESTED DURING 1905 WAR**
- VI. **INCREASED AWARENESS ABOUT- ROLE OF CAPITALISM IN FUELLING & GENERATING WAR.**

WEAKNESSES-

- I. **LOOSE FEDERATION**
- II. **STILL DIVIDED OVER- GRADUAL REFORM OR VIOLENT REVOLUTION**
- III. **SOME STILL SUPPORTED- COLONIAL ADVENTURES OF THEIR HOME COUNTRIES**
- IV. **WW I- SPLIT UP THE MOVEMENT**

➤ SOCIALISM IN INDIA-

- **SOCIALIST TRENDS- WITHIN FREEDOM STRUGGLE**
- I. **MODERATES- G AGARKAR- TALKED OF PROPER WAGES, WORKING HOURS FOR WORKERS**
 - II. **DADABHAI NAOROJI- ADDRESSED 1904 CONGRESS OF 2ND INTERNATIONAL**
 - III. **1917 REVOLUTION- ELECTRIFIED INDIAN SOCIALISTS**
 - IV. **NEHRU, BOSE, JP NARAYAN, LOHIA- SOCIALISTS**
 - V. **1931 – KARACHI SESSION – FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS & NATIONAL ECONOMIC PROGRAMME**
 - VI. **ALSO- PC JOSHI, SA DANGE, MN ROY- COMMUNISTS**
 - VII. **INDIAN COMMUNISTS -DECRIED VIOLENT REVOLUTION POST STALINIST PURGES**

✓ *STATUS OF INDIAN SOCIALISM-*

- I. **SOCIALISM - NOT SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED- PREAMBLE MENTIONED IT**
- II. **APEX COURT- NAKARA VS UNION OF INDIA, 1982- INDIAN SOCIALISM IS A MIX OF GANDHIAN & MARXIAN SOCIALISM- LEADING HEAVILY TOWARDS GANDHI**

✓ *FEATURES-*

- I. **REDUCE INEQUALITIES- THROUGH STATE LEGISLATION & STATE SPONSORED WELFARE SCHEMES**

II. PREVENT CONCENTRATION OF WEALTH- PROGRSSIVE TAXATION

✓ **GANDHIAN SOCIALISM**

- I. DECENTRALISED DECISION MAKING**
- II. IMPORTANCE OF SELF SUSTAINING ECONOMIC UNITS**
- III. CONCEPT OF TRUSTEESHIP**

➤ **1905 REVOLUTION-**

- **INCIPIENT INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT- DURING REIGN OF TSAR ALEXANDER III & NICHOLAS II**
- **HOWEVER- VACCILATION BETWEEN AUTOCRACY & DEMOCRACY**
- **GROWTH OF NIHILISM + SOCIALISM**
- **1879- SOCIAL DEMOCRATIC PARTY , LATER SPLIT INTO MENSHEVIKS & BOLSHEVIKS**
- **BY 1904- 4 CENTRES OF OPPOSITION-**
 - I. SUBJECT NATIONALITIES - SUCH AS UKRAINIANS, TATARS- BY RUSSIFICATION**
 - II. INDUSTRIAL WORKING CLASS**
 - III. BOURGEOISE LIBERALS**
- **JAN 22, 1905- BLOODY SUNDAY- PEACEFUL DEMONSTRATIONS CUT DOWN**
- **JUNE 1905- POTEMKIN MUTINY, LATER GENERAL STRIKES**
- **HOWEVER- PUT DOWN RUTHLESSLY**
- **TSAR – GAVE SOME CONCESSIONS-**
 - I. CIVIL LIBERTY GUARANTEES**
 - II. ELECTIONS TO DUMA**
 - III. DISMISS SOME REACTIONARY OFFICERS**

✓ **WHY 1905 UNSUCCESSFUL?**

- **SUPPORT OF ARMY + BUREAUCRACY**
- **END OF 1905 WAR- THEN TSAR DEPLOYED HIS COSSACKS**
- **RUSSIA- VAST COUNTRY WITH COMMUNICATION PROBLEMS- HENCE DIFFICULTY IN ORGANISING UPRISINGS**
- **NO SUPPORT FROM FOREIGN**
- **NO UNITY AMONGST REVOLUTIONARIES- MENSHEVIKS VS BOLSHEVIKS**
- **EVENTS BETWEEN 1905-1917 THAT PRECIPITATED THE REVOLUTION**

1. LAND IN HAND OF KULAKS (RICH PEASANTS) - SQUEEZED THE PEASANTS , RURAL EXPLOITATION BEGAN - RURAL PEASANTS
2. NO IMPROVEMENT IN WORKING CLASS CONDITIONS - WORKING CLASS ANGRY
3. DUMA NOT EFFECTIVE, CLAMP DOWN ON FREE SPEECH - LIBERALS ANGRY

- 1905 REVOLUTION- STARTED BY WORKERS BUT SURRENDERED TO MIDDLE CLASS?

1. MARCH 1917 - WORKERS IN ST PETERSBURG STRUCK WORK- SOLDIERS SEND TO SUPPRESS JOINED THEM
2. WORKERS + SOLDIERS FORMED - SOVIET
3. ARMY FORCED TSAR TO ABDICATE - PROVISIONAL GOVT, POWER TO KERENSKY
4. THAT IS LIBERAL BOURGEOISIE , NOT WORKERS

- WHAT MADE THE PROVISIONAL GOVT UNPOPULAR?

1. SOLDIERS- WAR WEARY BUT KERENSKY WANTED TO CONTINUE
2. NO IMPROVEMENT IN LABOUR CONDITIONS
3. NO LAND DISTRIBUTION + CHANGE OF RURAL AGRARIAN SITUATION - PEASANTS NOT SATISFIED

- ✓ SIGNIFICANCE OF 1905 REVOLUTION-

- GAVE THE TASTE OF CONSTITUTIONAL GOVT TO THE RUSSIAN PEOPLE
- SHOWED THE REVOLUTIONARIES- VIRTUE OF ORGANISATION
- LESSON LEARNT – BROADER SOCIAL BASE MUST BE BUILT BEFORE TAKING ON TSAR
- POLITICAL EDUCATION OF MASSES
- PREPARED GROUND FOR 1917 REVOLUTION

- DID INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT LEAD TO 1917 REVOLUTION?

1. INDUSTRIALISATION BEGAN UNDER ALEXANDER III, CONTINUED BY HIS SON NICHOLAS II
2. INCIPIENT + MODEST
3. YET- GAVE RISE TO 2 IMP CLASSES - WORKERS + MIDDLE CLASSES
4. THEY PROVIDED IMPETUS FOR REVOLUTION

- SIGNIFICANCE OF THE 1917 REVOLUTION-

- 1ST TIME- SOCIALIST STATE CREATED
- MEANS OF PRODUCTION- OWNED BY WORKERS, PEASANTS, NOT NOBILITY
- IDEAS OF RUSSIAN REVOLUTION- ALL OVER WORLD, PEOPLE INSPIRED
- GAVE UP COLONIES- ELECTRIFIED THE COLONIAL WORLD

- GAVE SUPPORT TO OPPRESSED PEOPLE IN COLONIES
- COMMUNIST PARTIES FORMED IN DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE WORLD
- COMINTERN, COMMUNIST INTERNATIONAL- SPREAD OF COMMUNIST IDEAS

✓ LONG TERM-

- GAVE RISE TO FASCISM
- GAVE RISE TO COLD WAR

➤ IMPACT OF FRENCH REVOLUTION WAS CONFINED TO EUROPE BUT
RUSSIAN REVOLUTION WAS GLOBAL

- FRENCH REVOLUTION- ESTABLISHED WORLD'S FIRST DEMOCRACY
- HOWEVER- MEANS OF COMMUNICATION, LITERACY LEVELS WERE NOT SO MUCH SO AS TO HAVE GLOBAL IMPACT
- RUSSIAN COMMUNIST PARTY MADE AN ATTEMPT TO SPREAD COMMUNISM AND ELSEWHERE (COMINTERN, 2ND & 3RD INTERNATIONAL – HENCE SPREAD WIDELY
- FRENCH REVOLUTION- POWER IN HANDS OF BOURGEOISE, IN RUSSIA- PROLETARIAT

➤ NEW ECONOMIC POLICY (NEP)

- BY LENIN
- WHY REQUIRED?
 - I. PEASANTS – HAPPY TO GET RID OF LANDLORDS
 - II. NOT TO HAND OVER SURPLUS TO THE STATE
 - III. FAMINE, DROUGHT- 1920'S – MILLIONS PERISHED

FEATURES-

- PEASANTS HAD TO PAY RESENT IN KIND INITIALLY , THEN CASH
- PRACTICE OF REQUISITION OF FOODSTUFFS FROM PEASANTS - DROPPED
- ALLOWED – SELL PRODUCE IN MARKET
- PVT ENTERPRISES - ALLOWED ON A SMALL SCALE
- PVT RETAIL TRADING – ALLOWED TOO

✓ ANALYSIS OF NEP-

- DEPARTURE FROM MARXIAN PATH
- ENVISIONED TO BE TEMPORARILY DEPARTURE- NOT A FULL SCALE RESTORATION OF CAPITALISM
- DESIGNED TO SAVE PEASANTS

● FIVE YEAR PLANS & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

STALIN - IN CHARGE, CHANGE FACE OF RURAL RUSSIA

1ST PLAN (1928-32)

1. INDUSTRIES ESTB, VAST LANDS CLEARED, COLLECTIVES OF PEASANTS
2. MANY FORCED + SEND TO SIBERIA, EXPLOITATION OF VAST AREAS OF RURAL RUSSIA

2ND PLAN (1929-33)

1. EXPANSION OF BASIC INDUSTRIES , INCREASE IN RURAL PRODUCTION - TARGETS SET UP
2. INDUSTRIAL + TECHNICAL SCHOOLS SET UP

3RD PLAN, 1933-39

EVALUATION-

1. VAST INDUSTRIAL MACHINE SET UP- FACTORIES IN HINTERLAND- URALS, DON RIVER BASIN ETC
2. ROBUST PRODUCTION - SURVIVED DROUGHTS, FLOODS & GREAT DEPRESSION
3. VINDICATION OF SOVIET MODEL - NEHRU, BOSE EVEN IMPRESSED

➤ CHANGES IN CHINA POST MAO

- B) POWER STRUGGLE- DENG XIAOPING- EMERGED AS LEADER
- C) POLITICAL REFORMS-

- I. CONFISCATION OF PROPERTY – RETURNED
- II. REVOLUTIONARY COMMITTEES SET UP- REPLACED BY MORE DEMOCRATIC GROUPS

- D) ECONOMIC REFORMS

- I. OPEN DOOR POLICY
- II. TOOK LOANS FROM FOREIGN GOVT
- III. JOINED- IMF, WORLD BANK-1980
- IV. SCHEMES- BONUSES, PROFIT SHARING – INTRODUCED

E) SOCIAL REFORMS

- I. SOME RELIGIOUS FREEDOM ALLOWED
- II. MORE OPPORTUNITIES FOR CHINESE WORKERS
- III. LESS CONTROL OVER- CULTURAL POLICY

F) RESULT-

- I. GRAIN OUTPUT- DOUBLED-1979
- II. CHINA'S ISOLATION ENDED- VISIT BY NIXON

WHY COMMUNISM SURVIVED IN CHINA BUT NOT IN RUSSIA?

- 1. CHINA- IMPLEMENTED- ECONOMIC REFORMS BEFORE GOING FOR POLITICAL ONE. USSR- IMPLEMENTED BOTH. LED TO DISCONTENT AS LACK OF ECONOMIC RECOVERY LED TO PROTESTS
- 2. LEFT RIGHT SPLIT IN CHINESE COMMUNIST PARTY- NOT TO MUCH AS IN RUSSIA. YELTSIN- GORBACHEV
- 3. ALSO- DENG LAID THE FOUNDATION OF THE REFORMS LONG BEFORE- GORBACHEV DIDNT HAVE SO MUCH TIME
- 4. CHINA – ETHNICALLY & CULTURALLY MORE HOMOGENOUS THAN USSR
- 5. CHINESE POLICIES- WERE FLEXIBLE- USSR – WERE NOT SO.

➤ **FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO MUSSOLINI'S RISE TO POWER-**

- I. DISSAPPOINTMENT WITH - VERSAILLES TREATY- PROMISED TERRITORY
- II. HIGH WAR EXPENDITURE- MOUNTING DEBTS
- III. SYSTEM OF PROPORTIONAL REPRESENTATION IN PARLIAMENT- CREATED MANY PARTIES- CHAOS
- IV. VIOLENCE BY COMMUNISTS- THREAT OF ANARCHY WHICH SWELLED FASCIST SUPPORTERS
- V. POPULARITY OF MUSSOLINI

✓ ACERBO LAW-

- I. ABOLISHED- PROPORTIONAL REPRESENTATION

II. 1924- FASCIST PARTY- WON WITH COMFORTABLE MAJORITY

✓ **MOVES TOWARDS TOTALITARIANISM**

- I. INCREASE IN PM'S POWER
- II. ONLY RESPONSIBLE TO THE KING
- III. ELECTORATE WAS REDUCED- MORE WEALTHY, AFFLUENT GOT CHANCE
- IV. CENSORSHIP
- V. LOCAL MAYORS- REPLACED BY NOMINEES OF ROMEO
- VI. TOOK TITLE- IL DUCE

✓ **CORPORATE STATE-**

- I. AIMS- COOPERATION BETWEEN WORKERS & OWNERS
- II. WORKERS TRADE UNIONS & EMPLOYER ASSOCIATIONS- GROUPED INTO CORPORATION
- III. BOTH SIDES- EXPECTED TO WORK IN HARMONY
- IV. WORKERS- NO STRIKES, EMPLOYERS- NO LOCK OUT
- V. ONLY FASCIST CONTROLLED TRADE UNIONS ALLOWED

✓ **POSITIVES OF FASCISM FOR ITALIANS-**

- I. SUBSIDY TO STEEL INDUSTRY- LED TO DOUBLING OF STEEL OUTPUT
- II. ITALY – BECAME SELF SUFFICIENT- WHEAT
- III. PUBLIC WORKS- SUCCESSFUL IN TIDING OVER UNEMPLOYMENT
- IV. BETTER PUBLIC MORALE
- V. SOME FOREIGN POLICY SUCCESSES- INITIAL INVASION OF ABYSSINIA

NEGATIVES-

- I. NOT WELL GROUNDED ECONOMIC GROWTH- LED TO LOW PRODUCTION IN SOME SECTORS
- II. CRITICAL SHORTAGE IN IMPORTANT RAW MATERIALS- COAL & IRON
- III. 1929 DEPRESSION – HIT ITALY HARD- UNEMPLOYMENT
- IV. REGIONAL INEQUITY- RICH NORTH VS POOR SOUTH REMAINED

- V. GOT DRAGGED INTO A WAR
- VI. LOST MANPOWER & GAINED NOTHING

✓ *PHILOSOPHY OF FASCISM –*

- I. EXTREME NATIONALISM
- II. TOTALITARIAN FORM OF GOVT
- III. GLORIFY WAR/ MILITARY CONQUEST
- IV. ONE PARTY SYSTEM
- V. CHARISMATIC LEADER
- VI. ECONOMIC ACTIVITY TO REDUCE UNEMPLOYMENT

✓ *PHILOSOPHY OF NAZISM*

- I. EXTREME GERMAN NATIONALISM
- II. OPPOSED TO SOCIALISM
- III. HATRED OF JEWS/ GYPSIES / SLAVIC PEOPLES- RACE THEORY
- IV. FUEHRER DEVOTION
- V. ONE PARTY SYSTEM
- VI. GEEARING UP OF WHOLE ECONOMY FOR WAR
- VII. ECONOMIC SELF SUFFICIENCY- TO ERADICATE UNEMPLOYMENT

✓ *SIMILARITY- FASCISM & NAZISM*

- I. FOCUS – EXTREME NATIONALISM
- II. TOTALITARIAN GOVTS
- III. GLORIFYING WAR
- IV. ANTI COMMUNIST
- V. CHARISMATIC LEADER - AROUND WHOM THE WHOLE MOVEMENT REVOLVED- IL DUCE, FUEHRER

DIFFERENCE IN FASCISM & NAZISM

1. NAZISM- SPREAD MORE WIDELY AMONGST GERMANS THAN FASCISM- THAT'S WHY GERMAN ARMY FOUGHT TILL THE END
2. NAZIS- MORE ATROCITIES- HOLOCAUST/ MASSACRES ON THE EASTERN FRONT- THAN FASCISTS
3. MUSSOLINI- MORE SUCCESSFUL TOWARDS CHURCH
4. HITLER- EMERGED AS SUPREME LEADER, HOWEVER- CONSTITUTIONALLY- VICTOR EMMANUEL WAS THE LEADER OF ITALY

SIMILARITY- FASCISM & COMMUNISM-

1. TOTALITARIAN FORM OF GOVT
2. ONE PARTY SYSTEM
3. GLORIFYING STRUGGLE OR WAR- BOTH SOVIET UNION OR FASCIST ITALY

DIFFERENCE- FASCISM & COMMUNISM-

FASCISM	COMMUNISM
DUAL OWNERSHIP OF WEALTH	COLLECTIVE OWNERSHIP OF WEALTH
GLORIFYING WAR	OPPOSITELY- ANTI WAR, EG- BOLSHEVIKSWERE OPPOSED TO THE WORLD WAR, SOCIALISTS- OPPOSED FRANCO- PRUSSIAN WAR
CULT OF PERSONALITY- HITLER, MUSSOLINI	OPPOSITELY- CULT OF PERSONALITY NOT ENCOURAGED- STALIN OR MAO DID- HOWEVER WERE LATER CRITICIZED

➤ **COLD WAR-**

▪ **WHAT?**

- I. SEQUENCE OF EVENTS FROM END OF WORLD WAR II TO DISINTEGRATION OF USSR, 1991
- II. US & USSR- COMPETED FOR HEGEMONY

▪ **WHY CALLED COLD WAR?**

- I. NO DIRECT WAR
- II. CONFLICT IN 3RD COUNTRIES
- III. PROXY WARS

▪ **WHY COLD WAR STARTED?**

1. **BIPOLAR WORLD-**
 - I. PRESENCE OF 2 EVENLY MATCHED SUPERPOWERS- MILITARY OR TECHNOLOGICAL CAPACITY
2. **ROLE OF OLD SUSPICIONS-**
 - I. RUSSIAN REVOLUTION- BOLSHEVIKS HAD TALKED OF WORLD REVOLUTION – SPOOKED WESTERN LEADERS
 - II. BOLSHEVIKS- REFUSED TO SUPPORT WW
 - III. WEST- PROVIDED HELP TO WHITE RUSSIAN ARMY
3. **CLASH OF INTERESTS-**
 - I. IN MANY COUNTRIES- WORKERS SUPPORTED COMMUNIST, PROPRIETARY CLASS SUPPORTED CAPITALISM
 - II. EG- GREECE, BULGARIA
 - III. WITHOUT LOCAL SUPPORT- US & USSR WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO INTERFERE SO FREQUENTLY IN AFFAIRS OF 3RD COUNTRIES
4. **DEFENSIVE APPROACH-**
 - I. INTENTION - TO PROTECT ONE'S OWN SYSTEM
 - II. THUS- CREATE MANY CLIENT / DEPENDENT STATES
5. **ROLE OF LEADERS-**
 - A) STALIN-
 - I. GOBBLED UP AS MUCH TERRITORY AS POSSIBLE IN EASTERN EUROPE
 - II. FINNISH WAR- JUST TO PROTECT LENINGRAD
 - III. ALWAYS SUSPECTED WESTERN INTENTIONS
 - IV. DIDN'T ALLOW FREE ELECTIONS IN EASTERN EUROPE
 - B) TRUMAN
 - I. MORE SUSPICIOUS OF STALIN THAN ROOSEVELT
 - II. KEPT ATOMIC BOMB SECRET FROM RUSSIANS WHO WERE THEIR ALLIES
 - III. TRUMAN DOCTRINE
 - C) CHURCHILL
 - I. ALWAYS WARNED ABOUT DANGERS OF COMMUNISM
 - II. WAS SUSPICIOUS OF STALIN EVEN DURING POTSDAM CONFERENCE- WHICH TOOK PLACE IN RUSSIAN OCCUPATION ZONE
 - III. FAMOUS FULTON SPEECH- IRON CURTAIN
 - IV. HIS ATTITUDE- OFTEN CITED BY USSR LEADERS TO INCREASE THEIR SUSPICION LEVELS
6. **DOMINO EFFECT-**

- I. US FEARED- 1 COUNTRY FELL THEN OTHERS WOULD SOON FOLLOW
- II. THIS- FORCED US INTERVENTION IN KOREA, VIETNAM

7. MUTUAL SUSPICION

- I. BOTH SIDES - KNEW VERY LITTLE OF EACH OTHER
- II. HENCE- SUSPICIONS
- III. McCARTHYISM- EXAMPLE OF COMMUNIST MONGERING

8. FAILURE OF UN-

- I. UN- JUST FORMED
- II. VETO POWER- CONTRIBUTED TO PARALYSIS

✓ **WHO IS TO BE BLAMED FOR COLD WAR?**

▪ **TRADITIONAL VIEW-**

- I. BLAMED ON STALIN
- II. WANTED TO SPREAD COMMUNISM OUTSIDE USSR
- III. FORMATION OF NATO, INTERVENTION IN KOREAN WAR- DEFENSIVE APPROACH
- IV. GOBBLED UP- TERRITORY IN EASTERN EUROPE- MUZZLED DEMOCRACY
- V. DIDN'T ALLOW FREE ELECTIONS

▪ **REVISIONIST VIEW**

- I. EMERGED AFTER- US OCCUPATION OF VIETNAM
- II. US SUPPORT FOR – BRUTAL REGIMES – POL POT / NORIEGA
- III. TRUMAN- RESPONSIBLE FOR PROVOKING HOSTILITY
- IV. USSR- DEFENSIVE APPROACH
- V. EG- EASTERN EUROPE AS A BUFFER AGAINST A POSSIBLE US ATTACK

▪ **POST REVISIONIST VIEW-**

- I. CAME TO LIGHT- AFTER DECLASSIFICATION OF FILES POST COLD WAR
- II. BOTH US & USSR- WERE TO BLAME
- III. US- FOR POLICY OF CONTAINMENT
- IV. USSR- FOR INTERVENTION IN DEMOCRATIC REGIMES- CZECHOSLOVAKIA, HUNGARY ETC

➤ **EUROPEAN UNITY-**

✓ ***CIRCUMSTANCES THAT LED TO UNITY-***

- I. END OF EUROPEAN HEGEMONY- EMERGENCE OF US/ USSR AS SUPERPOWERS & CONSEQUENT ECLIPSE OF EUROPE
- II. REALISATION- THAT ANY FURTHER CONFLICT BETWEEN EUROPEAN POWERS WOULD BE FATAL. . RESULT- FRANCO-GERMAN FRIENDSHIP AFTER YEARS OF ENMITY
- III. DESIRE- TO CREATE A BETTER ECONOMIC FRAMEWORK- WOULD REQUIRE UNITY OF PURPOSE & ACTION
- IV. ALSO- DIVISION OF EUROPE INTO EASTERN & WESTERN EUROPE- MARSHALL & MOLOTOV PLANS- LED TO FURTHER EFFORTS TO FOSTER UNITY AMONGST WESTERN EUROPE

✓ ***EFFORTS AT UNITY-***

A) **ORGANISATION OF EUROPEAN ECONOMIC UNITY(OEEC), 1948-**

- I. 1ST STEP TOWARDS UNITY, TRIGGER- MARSHALL PLAN
- II. UK- ORGANIZED GROUP OF 16 EUROPEAN NATIONS - TO DECIDE HOW BEST TO USE THE PLAN
- III. THEN CALLED- EUROPEAN RECOVERY PROGRAMME
- IV. BECAME PERMANENT- AS OEEC
- V. US, CANADA – JOINED 1961
- VI. BECAME - OECD

B) **COUNCIL OF EUROPE (1949)-**

- I. 1ST ATTEMPT - POLITICAL UNITY
- II. GROUPING OF FOREIGN MINISTERS
- III. BRITAIN, FRANCE, ITALY- FOUNDING MEMBERS
- IV. 1971- HAD 18 MEMBERS
- V. DEBATED ISSUES- NO REAL POWER

C) **BENELUX CUSTOMS UNION, 1947-**

- IV. REDUCED- TARIFF, CUSTOMS

D) **EUROPEAN COAL & STEEL COMMUNITY (ECSC, 1951) –**

- V. FRENCH INITIATIVE

VI. TO IMPROVE FRANCO- GERMAN RELATIONS+ SPUR INDUSTRIAL GROWTH

E) EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY (EEC, 1957)-

IV. CREATED- BY TREATY OF ROME, 1957

V. AIM- ECONOMIC INTEGRATION- COMMON MARKET

VI. 6 FOUNDING MEMBERS- BELUX + WEST GERMANY+ FRANCE+ ITALY

✓ **OUTCOME OF EEC-**

I. REDUCED CUSTOM DUTIES- FREE MOVEMENT OF GOODS

II. PROMOTED- COMPETITION AMONGST FIRMS

III. IN 5 YEARS- EEC- WORLD'S BIGGEST EXPORTER, WORLD'S LARGEST STEEL MARKET

✓ **SOME INSTITUTIONS OF EEC-**

A) EUROPEAN COMMISSION-

IV. DAY TO DAY WORKING OF EEC

V. STAFFED- CIVIL SERVANTS

VI. MAIN CENTRE OF DECISION MAKING

VII. UK- OBJECTED TO THIS AS IT BELIEVED IT COULD HAMPER THEIR OWN INDEPENDENT DECISION MAKING

B) COUNCIL OF MINISTERS-

I. REPRESENTATIVES OF EACH STATE

II. IN PRACTICE - APPROVED DECISIONS OF EUROPEAN COMMISSION

III. HOWEVER- COLLISION FREQUENTLY

C) EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT-

II. NOMINATED- PARLIAMENTS OF MEMBER STATES

III. FROM 1979 ONWARDS- DIRECT ELECTIONS

✓ **WHY DID BRITAIN NOT JOIN EEC?**

I. NOT WANT TO SHARE CONTROL OF ECONOMIC POLICIES

II. BRITAIN- ALSO IN BETTER CONDITION THAN OTHER EUROPEAN POWERS- NOT INVADDED, CITIES NOT SHATTERED (LIKE GERMANY, POLAND) – HENCE NOT WANT TO SHARE SOVEREIGNTY

III. FELT- TRADE WITH COMMONWEALTH WOULD BE AFFECTED IF- JOIN EEC

IV. TRADITION OF SPLENDID ISOLATION

✓ **WHY DID BRITAIN JOIN EUROPEAN FREE TRADE ASSOCIATION?**

I. DIDN'T REQUIRE- ECONOMIC INTEGRATION

II. DIDNT HAVE EURO0 COMMISSION TYPE OVERREACHING INSTITUTIONS

✓ **WHY BRITAIN WANTED TO JOIN AFTER 1961?**

- I. **RAPID INCREASE IN PRODUCTION OF EEC NATIONS, EG- GERMANY BY 90%, FRENCH- 75%, BRITAIN- ONLY 30%**
- II. **EFTA- LESS SUCCESSFUL THAN EEC IN TERMS OOF PROMOTING TRADE AMONGST MEMBERS**
- III. **BRITAIN- FELT- JOINING EEC WOULD STIMULATE COMPETITION DOMESTICALLY**

✓ **WHY DE GAULLE OPPOSED BRITISH ENTRY INTO EEC?**

- I. **FELT- BRITAIN'S ECONOMIC PROBS WOULD WEAKEN EEC**
- II. **FRANCE- FELT THAT US WOULD ATART DOMINATING EUROPEAN AFFAIRS DUE TO HER SPECIAL RELATIONSHIP WITH BRITAIN**
- III. **PROTECT FRENCH FARMERS FROM CHEAP BRITISH AGRICULTURAL PRODUCE**
- IV. **BRITAIN COULD ENTER EEC IN 1973- DUE TO DE GAULLE'S RESIGNATION IN 1969**

✓ **SITUATION IN FRANCE IN POST WAR ERA?**

- I. **POLTICAL INSTABILITY- 25 GOVTS IN 12 YEARS OF THE 4TH REPUBLIC**
- II. **3 DISASTERS- INDO-CHINA WAR-1946-54, SUEZ WAR, '56, FRENCH ARMY REBELLION IN ALGERIA**
- III. **5TH REPUBLIC - UNDER CHARLES DE GAULLE**

✓ **WHAT IS EUROPEAN UNION?**

A)

- I. **POLITICAL, ECONOMIC, MONETARY UNION OF 28 NATIONS**
- II. **ESTABLISHED- MAASTRICHT TREATY OF 1993**
- III. **17 OUT OF 28= EUROZONE**
- IV. **ADOPTED CURRENCY- EURO**

FEATURES-

1. **GREATER ECONOMIC & MONETARY UNION- SINGLE CURRENCY- EURO**
2. **COMMON- FOREIGN & SECURITY POLICY**
3. **ESTABLISH- CRITERIA TO JOIN EU OR EUROZONE**

✓ **HOW TO JOIN EU?**

COPENHAGEN CRITERIA

- I. **STABLE INSTITUTIONS- FUNCTIONING DEMOCRACY, RULE OF LAW, HUMAN RIGHTS**
- II. **FUNCTIONING MARKET ECONOMY**

✓ EUROZONE

- I. 17 OUT OF 28 NATIONS OF EU – WHI HAVE ADOPTED EURO AS THE COMMON CURRENCY
- II. BRITAIN & DENMARK- VOLUNTARILY KEPT OUT

✓ EURO AREA VS MONETARY UNION-

- I. ALL EU MEMBER STATES- PART OF ECONOMIC & MONETARY UNION
- II. MEANS- THEY HAVE TO COORDINATE THEIR ECONOMIC & MONETARY POLICIES FOR THE UNION AS A WHOLE
- III. EURO AREA- ONLY THOSE WHO HAVE EURO AS CURRENCY

✓ PRESENT SITUATION IN EU-

- I. 2008 CRISIS- WEAK ECONOMIES- GREECE, PORTUGAL
- II. REFUGEE CRISIS- SYRIA, AFRICA- DESTABILISING SOCIAL WELFARE SYSTEMS
- III. TERROR- LONDON, MADRID, BERLIN
- IV. RISE- XENOPHOBIC PARTIES ACROSS EU
- V. RISE- ISOLATIONISM- TRUMP, BREXIT
- VI. RISE- SEPARATISM- CATALONIA, SCOTLAND

➤ WAS INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION A REVOLUTION OR EVOLUTION?

I. REVOLUTION-
BLANQUI, ASHTON

CHARACTER-

TREMENDOUS INCREASE IN POPULATION
ADVANCES IN SCIENCE
APPLICATION IN TECHNOLOGY
RURAL AREAS TO URBAN- MIGRATION
ACCUMULATION OF CAPITAL

EVOLUTION-

- ARNOLD TOYNBEE

CHARACTERS-

1. SLOW EVOLUTION- EVENTS ADDED UP
2. 1. RENAISSANCE- NEWER LEARNING- RATIONALISM, HUMANISM
3. 2. REFORMATION- LOOSENING OF HOLD OF RELIGIOUS AUTHORITIES
4. 3. GEOGRAPHICAL DISCOVERIES- NEW SAILING METHODS,

5. INCREASE IN KNOWLEDGE, ASTROLABE- MARINERS COMPASS

i. RISE OF COLONIAL EMPIRES

ii. GROWTH OF TRADE

4 ACCUMULATION OF CAPITAL

➤ ARAB NATIONALISM-

- ARABS- CONSIDERED THEMSELVES AS TRUE SUCCESSORS OF PROPHET MUHAMMAD- ALSO CALIPH FROM THEIR ETHNIC STOCK- ABBASIDS, UMYAYYADS
- OTTOMAN EMPIRE- CONQUERED MOST ISLAMIC LANDS
- RESENTMENT- AS TURKISH SULTAN – PORTRAYED HIMSELF AS CALIPH
- START OF 20TH CENTURY- TURKS & ARABS- UNEASY CALM
- NATIONALIST FERVOUR PRESENT

✓ OUTBREAK OF WWI – 3 ASPECTS

I. SOME LOOKED UPON WAR AS A WAY TO FORGE ARAB COALITION

II. SOME- ARAB FAMILIES LOOKED UPON WAR- AS A WAY TO DRIVE TURKS + ESTB POWER

III. BRITS- LOOKED TO USE ARABS TO PIN DOWN TURKISH TROOPS

- LIASON OFFICERS- T. E. LAWRENCE, WINGATE – RAISED ARAB REVOLT

✓ POST WW I-

- HUSSEIN – GIVEN HEDJAZ

- HIS SON FAISAL- IRAQ

- ANOTHER SON- ABDULLAH- TRANS-JORDAN

- BLOW TO UNITY – SYRIA, PALESTINE, LEBANON AS MANDATES

- LATER- BALFOUR DECLARATION , JEWISH ISSUE

- EUROPEANS- TRIED TO PLAY ONE GROUP AGAINST ANOTHER- RESENTMENT

✓ WW II- SAW CONFLICT

I. 1948- ARAB ISRAELI WAR- MANY AREAS- EAST JERUSALEM, GOLAN HEIGHT LOST

II. 1945- ARAB LEAGUE FORMED

✓ POST WAR-

- I. EGYPT- EMERGED AS LEADER OF ARAB WORLD
- II. SHORT LIVED- ARAB UNION WITH SYRIA
- III. NASSER EMERGED AS LEADER- SUEZ CRISIS

➤ ARAB ISRAELI CONFLICT-

✓ HISTORICAL GRIEVANCES OF JEWS

- I. DESTRUCTION OF 2ND TEMPLE- 71 AD- EVENT HAS A TRAUMATIC VALUE IN JEWISH HISTORY
- II. THEY DATE- THEIR SCATTERING OVER THE WOLRD FROM THIS DATE
- III. POGROMS IN TSARIST RUSSIA
- IV. HOLOCAUST- 6 MILLION DEAD

CREATION OF ISRAEL-

- ZIONIST MOVEMENT- 1890'S – FOR ESTB – HOMELAND IN PALESTINE
- BRITAIN CAPTURED PALESTINE- 1917
- BALFOUR DECLARATION- FAVORED CREATION OF ISRAEL -INCREASE IN INFLUX
- POST WW II- INCREASE IN TERROR- IRGUN, STERN GANG KING DAVID HOTEL
- 1937 ARABS REJECTED TWO STATE SOLUTION
- 1948- UN VOTE- CREATED

AFTERMATH-

- I. INVASION OF ISRAEL BY ARABS
- II. ISRAEL DEFEATS THEM
- III. CAPTURED- GAZA, WEST BANK, SINAI

1956 SUEZ WAR-

- I. ABDEL NASSER- COMES TO POWER
- II. COMMITTED- REDUCING BRITISH INFLUENCE IN EGYPT

IMPORTANCE OF SUEZ CANAL

- I. 1869- OPENED- REDUCE TRAVEL TIME + IMPORTANT SEA LANE
- II. 1875- EGYPT FORCED TO HANDOVER CONTROL OF CANAL AREA
- III. 1922- EGYPT INDEPENDENT- YET BRITISH TROOPS REMAINED IN CANAL ZONE

NASSER-

- ANTI WEST POLICIES

- PRO PALESTINIAN STAND
- REFUSED TO RENEW- 1936 ANGLO BRITISH TREATY- THAT ALLOWED BRIT TROOPS IN CANAL ZONE
- NATIONALISATION OF CANAL
- INVASION – UK, FRANCE, ISRAEL

RESULT-

- US, RUSSIA BROKERED PEACE
- DENT TO UK PRESTIGE
- ISRAEL- CAPTURED SINAI

1967 WAR-

- MANY ARAB COUNTRIES INVADED ISRAEL
- ISRAEL – DEFEATED THEM- CAPTURED MANY AREAS

RESULT-

- SINAI, GOLAN HEIGHT- ISRAEL
- EAST JERUSALEM- CAPTURED FROM JORDAN (IMPORTANT FOR PALESTINIANS)

1973 YOM KIPPUR WAR-

- PRECEDED BY- 1970 BOMBING OF PLANE IN JORDAN, 1972 MUNICH OLYMPIC MASSACRE

RESULTS-

- I. OIL CRISIS DURING WAR
- II. ISRAEL WITHDREW FROM SINAI LED TO CAMP DAVID ACCORDS

CAMP DAVID ACCORDS, 1979-

- I. ISRAELI WITHDRAWAL FROM SINAI
- II. EGYPT- ALLOWED ISRAELI SHIPS- SUEZ

HOWEVER-

PROVED UNPOPULAR

ANWAR SADAT- ASSASSINATED 1981

✓ **OSLO ACCORDS-**

- I. ISRAEL- PLO RECOGNIZED
- II. PLO- PROMISED TO GIVE UP TERROR
- III. PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY ESTB

OIL FACTOR-

1. OIL DISCOVERED 1940'S, 50'S
2. HELPED ARAB STATES- BUILD ECONOMY

3. ALSO- HELPED- BUY WEAPONS & INVEST IN ARMY
4. HELPED- FUND TERROR GROUPS- EG- IRAN- HEZBOLLAH
5. ALSO- FUND IDEOLOGY- EG- SAUDI WAHABISM
6. BARGAINING CHIP- 1973 OIL EMBARGO

PRESENT STATE-

1. CLIMATE CHANGE, SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT
2. SEARCH FOR ALTERNATE FUELS
3. ARAB STATES- THEMSELVES MOVING AWAY FROM OIL BASED ECONOMY- EG- SAUDI ARABIA